

REPORT of CHIEF EXECUTIVE

to COUNCIL (EXTRAORDINARY) 29 JUNE 2017

Application Number	FUL/MAL/16/00150
Location	Land East of Drapers Farm, Goldhanger Road, Heybridge, Essex
	Relocation and provision of new Heybridge Swifts Football Club
Dwonogol	facilities including an all-weather pitch, practice pitch and youth
Proposal	team pitch. New access road, car parking, sound attenuation
	bund, landscaping & ancillary and associated development
Applicant	Bloor Homes Eastern
Agent	Mr Andrew Martin - Andrew Martin Planning
Target Decision Date	Time extended until - 01/07/17 by agreement
Case Officer	Mark Woodger, Principal Planner – Major Applications (Strategic
Case Officer	Sites) TEL: 01621 875351
Parish	HEYBRIDGE EAST
Reason for Referral to the	Departure from the Local Plan 2005
Committee / Council	Major Application
Committee / Council	Parish Trigger

1. <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

REFUSE for the reasons as detailed in Section 8 of this report.

2. <u>SITE MAP</u>

Please see overleaf.



3. **SUMMARY**

3.1 Proposal / brief overview, including any relevant background information

- 3.1.1 Full planning permission is sought for the relocation and provision of a new site for the Heybridge Swifts Football Club.
- 3.1.2 The proposal will include an all-weather 3G (Third Generation) football pitch with football spectator stands along both sides of the pitch (east and west sides) forming the football stadium. Along the eastern side of the pitch a spectator stand is proposed to accommodate 250 people all seated and to the western side of the pitch a spectator stand is proposed to accommodate 250 people all standing. There would be open standing terrace areas for the remaining parts of the two sideline areas. The capacity of the stadium would be 1,950 with the potential to expand to 3,000. The plan shows two additional stands behind each goal (north and south sides of the pitch) that would be subject of future development. Access to the stands in the stadium would be via turnstiles to the south of the pitch. A 5m high ball catch netting system is proposed behind each goal. The main pitch would be floodlit with 8 x 15m high lighting columns each mounted with 2 x 2,000w luminaires in an arrangement with four columns on each side of the pitch (east and west).
- 3.1.3 Two pitches would be located to the east of the stadium. One would be used as a grass practice pitch towards the north eastern site boundary, and would include 5m high ball catching net systems behind each goal. The other grass pitch would be the youth team pitch and would have a 5m high ball system positioned behind the most southerly goal. Both would not be floodlit.
- 3.1.4 A new access road would be formed from a new road traffic junction with Goldhanger Road with works within the highway proposed to create a new filter lane to access the site from the east and a new filter lane is proposed for access to Heybridge Basin from the west at the road traffic junction with Basin Road. The car park would be located to the east of the access road.
- 3.1.5 In the centre of the site, between the main 3G pitch and the two grassed pitches, a clubhouse building will be sited to provide the facilities to support the football club. The building will have an overall length of 68.2 metres and a width of 13 metres across most of the length of the building. It will however widen to 14.6 metres over 15.6 metres of the length to accommodate a 'members match day bar'. It will be mainly single storey with a pitched roof and a height of 9.1 metres rising to 9.42 metres over the bar area. The northern end of the building will be two storey with an overall height to the ridge of 12 metres. The two storey element of the building will occupy 21.26 metres of the length of the building. The building will be finished in horizontal fibre cement board cladding in an ochre finish with vertical fibre cement board cladding in green. The roof will be dark grey fibre cement slate.
- 3.1.6 The ground floor will contain a small reception area, toilets, a bar and large hall described as a 'members match day bar', kitchen, boardroom, two small offices, four changing rooms, two rooms for referees, physio room and separate match day toilets at the rear of the building accessed externally. Additional changing rooms will enable male and female players to change. An indicative layout is shown for the first floor which shows one large room to provide classroom or meeting / recreation room, a

- future gym / lecture room, toilets and small office. The bar and function room will also be hired out for private functions, as it the clubs existing facility at this time.
- 3.1.7 The facilities are proposed to open from 18:00 to 00:00 Monday to Friday, 12:00 to 00:00 on Saturday and 12:00 to 18:00 on Sunday. The applicants have caveated this to being "defendant upon whether there is a function". The stated "Members match day bar" would be hired out for functions as is the current facility.
- 3.1.8 In terms of vehicle parking approximately 120 parking spaces will be created with the possibility to expand the parking area, plus dedicated parking for coaches and buses. Dedicated parking will be available for people with disabilities.
- 3.1.9 The development will be screened from the closest residential dwelling to the south, Saltcote Hall, by a landscaped belt. The practice pitches and associated ball nets will stand close to the Chigborough Lakes development, used as a fishing venue in that specific location.
- 3.1.10 The provision of a 3G plastic pitch will represent a significant change over and above the facilities as present at the football club at this time. An artificial pitch is not constrained by weather conditions and the impact of use as much as a grass pitch. It will be possible to use the pitch for longer periods which will further be enhanced by the provision floodlights over the playing surface.
- 3.1.11 Increased use will cause additional wear and tear on the 3G pitch. Advice from Sport England indicates that the pitch will need replacing periodically at some considerable expense. To meet this expense and day to day running costs the facility will need to generate sufficient funds to finance itself over and above the level achieved at this time. Experience with the pitch adjacent to the Council offices at the Plume School shows how frequent such a use could be put too. Located where it is, to the north of Maldon, it is anticipated that there will be a market for teams / clubs etc. to hire out this pitch to represent a high level of use.
- 3.1.12 To assist, the developers have published a business statement to show how often the use will operate. This is also to inform Members as to the frequency and therefore the planning impact of the use.
- 3.1.13 The planning application proposes the use of the plastic pitch for the Club, its youth teams, for matches, practice and training, as well as private hire. The applicants have been asked to state the use of the pitch. This will be from 09:00 to 22:30 Monday to Friday, with the floodlights to be switched off at 22:00, and between 09:00 to 19:30 Saturdays and Sundays. In practice this means that teams, supporters and support staff will travel to and use the site between the above hours. There will be a regular turnover of users as the pitch / pitches are hired out on an hour by hour basis.
- 3.1.14 Detail as submitted show the development will create up to 15 jobs.

3.2 Conclusion

3.2.1 Whilst this application is submitted its own it is intrinsically linked to application FUL/MAL/00154 which as considered on this same agenda. The current football club

- is located in Scraley Road and the FUL/MAL/00154 application seeks to relocate the football ground, with this site being chosen as the one for its relocation.
- 3.2.2 The applicants have indicated that this site is preferred to others they have been offered in the Heybridge area, these being primarily to the south of Goldhanger Road, and of their wish to stay within Heybridge Parish.
- 3.2.3 Nevertheless the use is some distance southwards from the existing site and not linked to the new area by footpath, cycle ways or public transport. It is concluded, on balance, that the private car would be the principal mode of transport to the site. Permissive footpaths are available but these are un lit, un surfaced and go across land in third party ownership which cannot be relied upon.
- 3.2.4 This proposal has to be considered in light of the statutory planning policies for the area and its impact on its location. One issue which the applicant has not resolved is any legal agreement, which has been requested, linking the provision of housing on the FUL/MAL/00154 site to the provision of the new football ground wherever this is to be located. This has to be assured at any location to ensure the club is delivered, without it there would be no mechanism to ensure that should the existing football site be built on, the football club has to be built elsewhere as a necessity. Without this the Club could be lost, contrary to national and local policy guidance; and resulting in a fundamental objection from the statutory consultee Sport England.
- 3.2.5 This application has to be considered on its merits, and as floodlit football club with a 3G pitch. This would need frequent upkeep and as a result be hired out way in excess of the hours of use the current Club enjoys to be able to fund this upkeep. This use would increase traffic and disturbance to the local area, create addition light and noise in the local area, essentially a dark rural farmers field away from the current settlement boundary of Heybridge, next to Salcote Hall, and Chigborough Lakes, both of which carry out businesses which are sensitive to disturbance to continue with their existing business operations.
- 3.2.6 The use would be noticeable, particularly when the footlights were in operation, over a wide area to what is considered, on balance, to be injurious to the peace and tranquility of the local area, its setting and be to the detriment of the defined landscape character of the local area. The land there is flat and views across it extensive, not only from local properties, but in the wider area, for example from the sea wall to the south. The use would create some noise which may not amount to providing a statutory nuisance, but nevertheless would be injurious to the adjacent business uses.
- 3.2.7 In conclusion, and on balance, the use and it impact is not considered acceptable in the rural location and within a defined coastal zone, and due to its impact on ecology and biodiversity of this open rural area, and on enjoyed residential amenity.

4. MAIN RELEVANT POLICIES

Members' attention is drawn to the list of background papers attached to the agenda.

4.1 National Planning Policy Framework 2012 including paragraphs:

- Achieving sustainable development
- The Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development
- Core Planning Principles
- Section 1 Building a Strong, Competitive Economy
- Section 3 Supporting a Prosperous Rural Economy
- Section 4 Promoting Sustainable Transport
- Section 5 Supporting High Quality Communication Infrastructure
- Section 6 Delivering a Wide Choice of High Quality Homes
- Section 7 Requiring Good Design
- Section 8 Promoting Healthy Communities
- Section 10 Meeting the Challenge of Climate Change, Flooding and Coastal Change
- Section 11 Conserving and Enhancing the Natural Environment
- Section 12 Conserving and Enhancing the Historic Environment
- Decision Taking
- Annex 1 Implementation

4.2 Maldon District Replacement Local Plan 2005 – Saved Policies:

- S1 Development Boundaries and New Development
- S2 Development Outside Development Boundaries
- CON5 Pollution Prevention
- CON6 Contamination
- CC5 Protection of Wildlife on Development Sites
- CC6 Landscape Protection
- CC11 The Coastal Zone
- BE1 Design of New Development and Landscaping
- BE8 Lighting
- BE13 Development in Conservation Areas
- BE16 Extensions alterations to and additional buildings in the curtilage of Listed Buildings
- BE17 Preservation of Sites of Nationally Important Archaeological Remains and their Settings
- BE18 Control of Development at a Site of Local Archaeological Value
- REC2 Provision of public playing pitches
- T2 Transport Infrastructure in New Developments
- T6 Improvement to Pedestrian Facilities
- T7 Shared car parking in new development

- T8 Vehicle Parking Standards
- PU2 Recycling Facilities in New Developments
- PU6 Renewable Energy

4.3 Maldon District Local Development Plan submitted to the Secretary of State for Examination-in-Public on 25 April 2014:

- 4.3.1 The Maldon District Local Development Plan (LDP) was submitted to the Secretary of State for Examination-in-Public on 25 April 2014. At the initial Inquiry into the Maldon District Local Development Plan (the Plan) it was considered unsound as the then Inspector considered the then policy as it applied to Gypsy and Traveler provision was insufficient.
- 4.3.2 The LDP was then called in for consideration by the Secretary of State, with him communicating to the Council that the Plan in general was not unsound, scheduling a re-convened Hearing into the Plan in January 2017. The Council augmented its previous submitted appeal documents as requested by the Inspector and provided evidence on matters not covered by the original hearing, these being employment, retail, tourism, housing growth, provision of travellers, transport, the natural environment, design and climate change.
- 4.3.3 The Maldon District Local Development Plan has completed the Submission Examination stage. The January 2017 Examination was in respect to matters not Examined at the first Examination, and matters that arose from the Main Modifications Consultation in September 2016.
- 4.3.4 Post-Examination Modifications were published for public consultation in March 2017 and the consultation ended on 28 April 2017. A total of 43 responses were received, which have been sent to the Inspector for his consideration in his report. His report is due to be submitted to the Secretary of State in Spring / Summer 2017 and the Council aims to have the Plan adopted in the early Autumn 2017.
- 4.3.5 There remains a risk that the Inspector considers that further Examination is needed if the responses to the Post-Examination modifications raise new matters (as a consequence of the modifications) which have not previously been examined. However, the Post-Examination modifications, when read concurrently with the Main Modification and Additional Modifications consulted on in September 2016, only relate to matters which have been considered at the Examinations in 2015 and January 2017. Therefore, it was a focused consultation and no new matters previously not examined, or matters already examined, will be considered by the Inspector.
- 4.3.6 At this time it is considered that the Plan is at an advanced stage and can be afforded significant weight.
- 4.3.7 The following policies are considered relevant to this application:
 - S1 Sustainable Development
 - S2 Strategic Growth
 - S8 Settlement boundaries and the Countryside
 - D1 Design Quality and Built Environment

- D2 Climate Change and Environmental Impact of New Development
- D3 Conservation and Heritage Assets
- D4 Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Generation
- D5 Flood Risk and Coastal Management
- E3 Community Services and Facilities
- N1 Green Infrastructure
- N2 Natural Environment, Geodiversity and Biodiversity
- N3 Open Space, Sport and Leisure
- T1 Sustainable Transport
- T2 Accessibility
- I1 Infrastructure and Services

4.4 Relevant Planning Guidance / Documents:

- Car Parking Standards
- National Planning Policy Guidance (NPPG)

5. <u>MAIN CONSIDERATIONS</u>

5.1 Site Description

- 5.1.1 The site measures 5.57 hectares (ha) in total and is currently used as open arable farm land over two fields with hedgerow field boundaries. The development would involve the removal of one of the field boundaries through the centre of the site. One field is located to the north of Saltcote Hall and the other field is located to the west of where half of the field would be occupied by the proposal. There is access to the field to the west of Saltcote Hall from south east corner of the site.
- 5.1.2 Saltcote Hall is located to the south of the site and comprises of a farmhouse and a number of outbuildings to the rear of the farmhouse which are being used for stabling. There is a paddock area to the east and a piece of land to the west of the outbuildings which is used for recreational caravanning purposes.
- 5.1.3 To north and east of the site is the Chigborough Lakes complex, comprising fishing lakes, fields and woodland areas. To the south is the Goldhanger Road with properties located on the southern side of the road leading up to the road traffic junction with Basin Road for Heybridge Basin. To the west is an arable field which borders Drapers Chase and the edge of the settlement boundary for Heybridge.
- 5.1.4 The existing football club ground is allocated for a residential development as identified through LDP policy S2(h) and is currently subject of a separate full planning application for 100 dwellings with the application reference FUL/MAL/00154/16.

5.2 Relocation of the existing football club

5.2.1 The Planning Statement provides a history of recent alternative considerations for relocating the football club. It identifies that the current stadium has been used as the

- home ground for the football club since the early 1960's and has a 3,000 capacity but does not meet current Football Association (FA) standards. The existing club is in poor repair and lacks modern facilities.
- 5.2.2 Heybridge Swifts play in the Ryman Football League North Division. Attendances at home matches have varied this season, the last game had an attendance of 231, the first game of the 2016 / 17 attracting 108 spectators, this being the norm for home matches. In moving to a new stadium the Club has ambitions to raise their league status.
- A number of alternatives have been considered by the football club and the Planning Statement states that in 2006 the club considered a ground share with Maldon Town Football Club but this was not possible due to 'a lack of funds to aid the relocation' and due to difficulties with relocating the boys club and sharing with the boys club for Maldon Town Football Club. Another site for the relocation of the ground was considered on land to the north of Scraley Road but this land is under a long-term option for Persimmon Homes and could not provide a viable opportunity due to the valuation of the site and the incompatibility with plans to develop the site. That site was formerly identified as a 'Reserve Site' in the submitted LDP prior to its omission following the LDP Inspector's advice at the Examination in Public in January / February 2015. The 'Planning Statement' also explains that all remaining land to the north of the Heybridge village boundary is part of a long term commitment to housing as the North Heybridge Garden Suburb. The Planning Statement states that all land to the south of Goldhanger Road from the cemetery in Heybridge Basin was to be considered, initially for purchasing and for leasing but landowners decided against this and withdrew from discussions. Other sites were then considered to the east of the current ground with one further to the east along Scraley Road having to be discounted due to access restrictions, sustainability and the site being adjacent to a nature reserve. The Planning Statement advises that the application site is the only piece of land where the existing landowner is willing to sell to the Swifts and is therefore proposed for this development.
- 5.2.4 Objectors have indicated they consider the site search has been insufficient and other land may be available, however no specific details have been received.

5.3 Loss of Sports Playing Pitches

5.3.1 LDP policy N3 and Section 8 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) are relevant. Paragraph 74 of the NPPF advises that existing open space, sports and playing fields should not be built on. The site is defined as FB03 in the LDP and alongside policy N3 development should not increase existing deficiencies of sports facilities in the locality. Proposals that would result in the loss of sports grounds / facilities will only be considered where alternative and improving provision can be created in the most appropriate and accessible location in the locality for existing and future users. The proposed relocation of the football club to the Land East of Drapers Chase to the north of Goldhanger Road would provide a new sports ground / facilities. Therefore, if approved, there would be no loss of sports ground / facilities, which are in private ownership belonging to the football club so in terms of the existing and proposed the existing football ground site is not sports facilities that are accessible to the public. The key LDP policy N3 test for the application at Land East of Drapers

- Chase to the north of Goldhanger Road is whether the new site is the 'most appropriate and accessible location in the locality for existing and future users'.
- 5.3.2 Discussions have taken place with Sport England and their comments are reported here. On the basis that the facilities as proposed would replace and augment the facilities as available at this time they raise no objection on this development. However should the future of the club not be secured through a legal agreement requiring it to be delivered with the associated enabling development proposed by FUL/MAL/00154/16, and which the Council has requested, but not received, a fundamental objection would be raised due to the potential loss of the club.

5.4 Principle of Development

- 5.4.1 The existing Heybridge Swifts football ground was put forward as a site for residential development by the football club as part of the LDP plan preparation process as Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment. LDP policy S2(h) allocates the existing football ground site for 100 dwellings. The existing site does not contribute to the Districts claimed five year housing land supply. At the time of allocating the LDP strategic growth sites the football club did not offer an alternative site to accommodate the football club and therefore the submitted LDP does not identify a specific alternative site for the football club. Instead when a site came forward for the new ground it would need to be assessed on its own merits in accordance with existing Replacement Local Plan (RLP) and submitted LDP policies unless material considerations indicate otherwise.
- 5.4.2 The situation with the LDP, its re-examination, and comments on its likely adoption are explained earlier in the report. The LDP contains no specific allocation for the relocation of the existing Swifts Football Club (FC).
- 5.4.3 In principle and strategically, the site is located outside of the defined development boundary for Heybridge whereby RLP policy S2 seeks to protect areas outside of defined development boundaries from new development in the interests of protecting the countryside and coastal landscapes of the District as the alternative strategic policy, RLP Policy S1, requires all new development to be located within defined development boundaries. The more up to date policy position through the submitted LDP requires sustainable development through LDP policy S1 in accordance with the requirements of paragraph 14 of the NPPF and the 'presumption in favour of sustainable development' test, which needs to be considered alongside the economic. social and environmental roles as identified through paragraph 7 of the NPPF. Similarly to the strategic policies of the RLP (S1 and S2) LDP policy S8 identify the requirement to support sustainable development within the settlement boundaries and to protect the countryside for its landscape, natural resource and ecological value for sites outside the defined settlement boundaries. This policy also includes a settlement hierarchy whereby Heybridge is considered as a 'main settlement' but this site is outside of Heybridge in area considered in strategic terms as the 'countryside' for the purpose of the policy implementation. For these reasons the principle of the development is considered contrary to RLP policy S2 and LDP policy S8 with LDP policy S1 being considered as part of the sustainability assessment of this application.
- 5.4.4 However, as paragraph 11 of the NPPF states 'Planning law requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan

unless material considerations indicate otherwise'. In this instance there are other material considerations that need to be considered as the LDP has already allocated the existing football ground for residential development so the football club need to find an alternative location for the football club. The Planning Statement explains that the redevelopment of the existing ground for residential use can only proceed if permission is granted for the relocation of the football club at the site. It is therefore important that the details of phasing arrangements for both developments are recognised. It should also be noted that the existing football ground lies just outside of the Heybridge settlement boundary.

5.4.5 Taking all these factors into account the principle of relocating the football club is acceptable. However, this is dependent upon sustainability, location and all other material considerations being acceptable that are assessed in this report in weighing up the planning balance.

5.5 Location Assessment

- 5.5.1 RLP policy T2 and submitted LDP policy T2 refer to access requirements which are applicable to assessing the location of development. Paragraph 34 of the NPPF advises that decisions 'should ensure developments that generate significant movement are located where the need to travel will be minimised and the use of sustainable transport modes can be maximised'. Moreover, paragraph 37 of the NPPF states that 'planning policies (and hence planning decisions) should aim for a balance of land uses within their area so that people can be encouraged to minimise journey lengths for employment, shopping, leisure, education and other activities'. The NPPF also requires local planning authorities to actively manage patterns of growth to make the fullest possible use of public transport, walking and cycling, and focus significant development in locations which are or can be made sustainable.
- 5.5.2 For the relocation of the football club there is a limited explanation in the 'Planning Statement' of the history of the site and consideration of alternative sites and the reasons they have not come forward to accommodate. This information explains that this application site has come forward as it is the only site being offered by the existing landowner for sale to the football club.
- 5.5.3 The site's location is outside of and away from the adjacent the settlement boundary for Heybridge and is therefore in a countryside setting rather than abutting the settlement boundary. It is therefore questionable whether this site represents the most appropriate and accessible site as required by LDP policy N3. A more suitable site could, without prejudice, be adjacent to Heybridge's eastern settlement boundary in a similar arrangement to the existing football ground, which borders the eastern settlement boundary of Heybridge.
- 5.5.4 In terms of this location the western site boundary is located approximately 220m from the settlement boundary of Heybridge. In between is remaining part of the arable field up to Drapers Chase. There are properties immediately along the southern side of Goldhanger Road and further to the west along both sides of Goldhanger Road but this forms ribbon development along the roadside. With woodlands, fields, fishing lakes to the north and eastern boundary, Saltcote Hall to the eastern boundary, Goldhanger Road to the southern boundary, and the remainder of part of a field to the

- west the site is located within a countryside setting, the proposal would result in an intrusive development within the rural countryside.
- 5.5.5 In terms of access, the proposal would provide a new road traffic junction providing access to the site and including off street parking for vehicles. Access from the existing settlement of Heybridge can be achieved by a variety of vehicle means including private vehicle usage, cycling and use of public transport as there are bus stops within Goldhanger Road and Basin Road which serve the existing area but services are irregular. However, the bus services do not run late into the evening for any evening football matches or for people renting the site out in the evenings.
- 5.5.6 The site can also be accessed by walking as there is a public footway along Goldhanger Road into Heybridge village centre which is approximately 1.3km from the site's southern boundary. Heybridge village centre provides a range of facilities, services and community uses.
- 5.5.7 However this footpath is on one side of the street only, and it has been explained that there is no formal link between the Swifts current and future grounds.
- 5.5.8 The issue about access is further complicated by the fact that the use is to extended into the evening, not least of which will be to access the 3G pitch, but also the bar / function facilities. This will result in additional vehicle and pedestrian movements well into the evening. Although consultees have considered this in terms of the impact of this on the highway and on noise and raise no objection, this will nevertheless alter the character of this quiet rural area with considerable significance.
- 5.6 Layout, Scale, and Design and Impact on Character and Appearance of the Area
- 5.6.1 LDP policies D1, D2, S4, I1, N1, N3, T1 and T2 are all relevant. RLP policies BE1 and T2, and Section 7 of the NPPF are similarly all relevant.
- 5.6.2 The proposed layout of the development would result in a new access being formed onto Goldhanger Road and this would change the character of this side of the road significantly.
- 5.6.3 The proposed layout would position the main football pitch stadium and the two training pitches towards the northern site boundary away from the access onto Goldhanger Road. Two of the pitches would have 2 x 5m high ball catching systems. The main pitch would have eight floodlights and the training pitch would be un-lit. The proposed club house building with associated changing rooms and facilities would be a long rectangular shaped building located towards the south east corner of the stadium. The vehicle parking areas would be located to the south of the stadium including car parking, coach parking with turning head and refuse / recycling collection points. Saltcote Hall to the immediate south is proposed to be screened from the development by a "woodland and landscaping buffer strip" to act as a visual / noise barrier to the development. The proposed layout causes the majority of an existing field boundary hedge and mature trees which run through the centre of the site at this time to be removed which is unfortunate as this should be retained as much as possible with the development working within the confines of the existing established landscaped areas within and along the site's boundary.

- 5.6.4 In terms of usage reducing the use of the car to get to places is an important strand of sustainable development. As a local facility for a local football club that wants to remain in Heybridge there have to be good connections for pedestrians and cyclists, and safe and attractive routes to encourage alternatives to driving by motor vehicle. As a major element of the proposal is providing training and development for youngsters, they should be able to walk and cycle safely to the facility.
- 5.6.5 The spectator stands to the football stadium on either side of the pitch would be 4.8m high and 6.5m deep. The club house building would be a long rectangular shaped building 9.4m high for the single storey sections and 12m to the two storey section with both measurements taken to the ridge of the pitched roof of the building. Due to the club house's positioning on the site it would sit within the centre of the development. However the scale, mass and design of the building does not accord with it rural setting and would be much better served with a more traditional barn like structure made from more traditional rural materials. The Senior Urban Design Officer considers that the proposed club house is larger than an 'agricultural building' in terms of form and scale, and considers that metal sheeting would be more appropriate as a material used for agricultural buildings. The materials to be used would be a mixture of vertical and horizontal fibre cement board cladding with a dark grey fibre cement slate roof form and could be controlled by planning condition.
- 5.6.6 The tallest structures on site would be the floodlights which would be 15m high columns and in total there would be eight floodlight columns on the site, around the 3G pitch. When illuminated theses would be significantly visible outside of the site in this rural landscape. The applicants have provided details of light spill and lux diagrams to show how the light levels will not unacceptably illuminate neighbouring land. However this is considered not to represent the full picture.
- 5.6.7 Floodlights, no matter how restricted in terms of horizontal light spill, are visible in creating a distinctive glow, and this impact will be visible over a large area. At this time the current area is dark save for street lights on the southern side of Goldhanger Road and the impact of the lights on the existing rural area will be significant. The columns themselves would be metal poles, which are considered to appear incongruous in this flat open landscape.
- 5.6.8 The design of the spectator stands, which are typical of lower league football ground spectator stands, raise no objections. Whilst it is correct that the stand may be the subject of extension should the Club grow this would be the subject of separate planning submissions.
- 5.6.9 The proposal would urbanise an existing rural location considerably and alter its defined landscape character demonstrably given its isolated location away from the existing development boundary for Heybridge. It is concluded that the development would be significantly and demonstrably intrude into the rural landscape to its considerable detriment and contrary to adopted National and Local Planning policies. There is screening proposed but this is considered insufficient due to the extensive views of the site in this flat open landscape and the time in which this landscape would take to mature would be significant. The impact would be further exacerbated through the use of floodlight columns which would illuminate and result in a glow in the sky in an area where there is no existing external lighting. The proposed use of the site during match days and through training sessions would further add to the

change in character of the site causing noise through use of the pitch, club house, and traffic visiting the site.

5.7 Design and Landscaping

- 5.7.1 The creating of a football ground with associated infrastructure, fencing, parking courts, stands and floodlighting columns will have a significant impact on this flat coastal area permanently and dramatically affecting its character. This will also be compromised by the club house building in the centre of the site which does not resemble a more traditional barn building but is a poorly designed and planned for building which is proposed to use modern facing materials to the clear detriment of the location and the landscape in which it would sit.
- 5.7.2 Landscaping is considered through Section 11 Conserving and Enhancing the Natural Environment of the NPPF; RLP policy BE1 and LDP policy D1.
- 5.7.3 From the layout plans the existing hedgerow and trees around the boundary would be retained but the proposal would result in the loss of a hedgerow which currently provides a field boundary and runs through the site in a north to south direction. The proposal would involve new landscape planting throughout the site as well as enhancing existing landscaped boundaries. However, generally the scheme has a cramped appearance especially along the northern and eastern boundaries, landscaping along the Goldhanger Road would be lost.
- 5.7.4 In consultation the Tree Officer identifies that the scheme will result in an additional loss of trees and other vegetation, further back into the site, in the creation of the attenuation pond designed to take surface water from the road. If planted sympathetically, the attenuation pond and surrounding ground would eventually make a visual contribution to the appearance of the roadside, albeit different in character to the existing appearance of the land. The pond and surrounding vegetation may be vulnerable to the effects of pollution, however, appropriate plants may help with maintaining a healthy balance.
- 5.7.5 There is always a risk that the changes in the way water moves through the land along the frontage of the site will affect remaining mature trees through changes in water availability. The effects of these types of change are often delayed, but any changes in the trees environment may lead to long term deterioration and eventual loss of stature, if not total loss of the tree.
- 5.7.6 There are a number of trees that will be affected by the proposal, mostly Oak. A large number of these form part of the hedgerow network around the area. There is a proposal to plant a landscaped / tree barrier to the rear of Saltcote Hall and this may eventually serve to provide a screen once the plants mature, however, it will not replace the benefits of the continuous network of vegetation that is proposed to be interrupted by the removal a substantial section of hedgerow and trees. The new landscaped belt is likely to be more effective in screening the view of the property from the site, than screening the property from the effects of the site. This will result in impact on the adjacent dwelling and the business uses which operate from it.
- 5.7.7 A detailed Soft Landscape plan and management details would be required by condition to ensure this landscaped area provides a beneficial effect.

- 5.7.8 The original Tree survey and Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) that has been submitted was not considered suitable for this application. It appears to cover both this site and the existing Swifts Football Ground. It is not clear how much of the report deals with the site in question and how much of it refers to issues on the existing Swifts site. In addition to the report not being site-specific, the required information may not have been available to the author, for example the AIA identifies trees for retention and protection to the front of the site, in the position identified for the attenuation pond.
- 5.7.9 In addition, there are elements that have not been addressed in the report / plan. There is mention of what may be the creation of a substantial land drainage ditch, which in some parts may involve ditch re-profiling. The plans are not clear enough to be sure, however this could affect many of the trees that were assumed to be safe from effects of development.
- 5.7.10 On balance it is considered likely to result in the loss of many trees and hedgerows to the detriment of the site and its setting in this rural location within a Coastal Protection Zone.
- 5.7.11 With regard to landscaping, it was advised in pre-application meetings, that the Council would be looking for substantial tree planting and the information provided does not meet that requirement.
- 5.7.12 An amended and site specific arboricultural statement has been received and the Tree Officer re-consulted. The report provides the same preliminary level of information as before, but relating to the site subject of this application only. This is helpful in so far as it clarifies and separates the two sites.
- 5.7.13 The report does not address potential issues relating to the points previously raised in relation to the mention on plans of a diverted ditch which appears to be substantial although we do not appear to have detail of the construction, route and extent of the ditch. If this is likely to impact negatively on the trees and hedgerow and hence it is considered unacceptable.
- 5.7.14 The report contains no details of what appears to be an attenuation pond and hence it is unclear as to what is proposed, how it would be constructed, what the impact on the adjacent trees would be, themselves necessary for screening the development from Goldhanger Road, and how the proposed planting would be dealt with. The report shows tree protection to the front of the site, but not to trees / hedges on other boundaries. This is where the method statement would address any conflict, but this is not provided.
- 5.7.15 There are a couple of anomalies between plans supplied by the agent and those which accompany the arboricultural report. The large tree shown on some of the plans submitted, between the site and the west boundary of Saltcote Hall is not on the arb report. However, it also appears that a number of trees in the hedgerow on this boundary may not have been detailed in the report.
- 5.7.16 It is therefore concluded that the arboricultural consultant has not correctly assessed all matters relating to trees and hedgerows on and off site, and addressed issues where they may be affected by the proposed development.

5.8 Landscape and Visual Impact

- 5.8.1 LDP policies N2, D1 and RLP policies CC6 (Landscape Protection) and CC11 (Coastal Zone) are all relevant along with sections 7 and 11 of the NPPF. In terms of the 2006 Maldon Landscape Character Assessment the site falls within 'D2 Maldon Drained Estuarine Marsh' and this provides the baseline landscape character for this area. The key characteristics identify this area as a drained coastal marsh landscape now isolated from tidal influences, hedgerows, isolated farmsteads and the urban / suburban influence of Maldon on eastern edges of the character area. This character area has a high sensitivity to change. Indeed Policy CC11 states that only uses which are associated with requiring a coastal location are permitted here unless there is an overriding need with every effort being used to identify other land. Uses cannot affect the open and rural character of the site and have minimal impact on the views into and put of the area.
- 5.8.2 The site current comprises a flat agricultural field with field boundary hedging. The new football ground would be located in an area where there is more openness and this would therefore have more impact upon the landscape. The proposed removal of the existing vegetation to the southern boundary for the formation of the access road would visually expose the site from Goldhanger Road making it more noticeable visually. Whilst it is recognised that the new football ground has been designed to be a low rise development with the two storey club house, the proposed floodlights at 15m high would be visible from outside the site and would have a significant impact along with the development upon the rural landscape in this location.
- 5.8.3 The impact of the overall development has been assessed through a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment (LVIA) submitted with the application. This assesses the impact of the development through appraisal of the 'sensitivity' of landscape and visual receptors and the 'magnitude' of change leading to a 'significance of impact' assessment.
- 5.8.4 Although the LVIA identifies that it follows the guidelines and assessment criteria set out in the 'Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment', the initial approach to the LVIA, the conclusions drawn from it and the way the findings were presented were judged by the Countryside and Coast Officer not to be sufficient for a conclusion on impact to be made. Changes to the approach were requested from the applicant and the LVIA amended and added to since the original submission.
- 5.8.5 The LVIA recognises that the 'Landscape Baseline' comprises of a landform that is flat drained coastal marshland, used for arable farming with hedgerow field boundaries, there are also trees in the site. The 'Visual Baseline' explains that there are open views from the west as the site boundary cuts through half the existing field with views from Drapers Chase experiencing a level of landscaped screening. Currently views from the south are restricted but this would change through the development by providing a vehicle access and removing some of the semi-woodland / hedgerow areas along the southern site boundary. There are views of the site from the private land of Saltcote Hall. There are partial views from the south from St George's Close, the Blackwater Sailing Club and the footpath along the sea wall.
- 5.8.6 In terms of the landscape impact the LVIA judges the impact to be a 'minor adverse impact' from the 'significance of impact' assessment table with this impact being for

- a short term impact relying and new and additional planting to reach to a 'no impact' assessment for the medium to long term.
- 5.8.7 In terms of the visual impact a number of locations have been considered through the LVIA. The LVIA considers that the most affected views would be from the upper floors of Saltcote Hall, a private residence, north across the site would experience a 'magnitude' of change falling within the 'medium' 'significance of impact' assessment falling to 'low' after the planting for the landscape bund and within the site has developed with the residual impact to be 'moderate adverse' in the short term and 'minor adverse' in the medium to long term. The same views, in terms of the floodlighting impact, would experience a 'magnitude' of change falling within the 'medium' 'significance of impact' assessment falling to 'negligible' after the planting for the landscape bund and within the site has developed with the residual impact to be 'substantial adverse' in the short term and 'minor adverse' in the medium to long term. The LIVA as amended has considered the visual impact from other locations such as the footpath network, other residential properties, from higher ground to be limited, although the Planning Statement does confirm the floodlighting columns will be visible from longer distance views.
- 5.8.8 The Countryside and Coast Officer judges the impacts from several of the key viewpoints to be more significant than identified in the LVIA due to the effect of the floodlighting, including the unlit columns, night-time views and over optimistic tree growth rates.
- 5.8.9 In relation to views from the sea wall to Saltcote Hall, with the football development sitting behind this property, the assumption is made that the new woodland planting will screen the 15m floodlights. However, the Countryside and Coast Officer judges it is likely to be at least ten years before the fastest growing appropriate native trees (such as alder or birch) reach 10-15m in height, slower growing species such as oak will take longer still. Many understorey species (hawthorn, cherry) will struggle to get beyond 10m in height in mixed woodland conditions. It is reasonable to conclude that there is a medium term (10 15 year) significant impact remaining therefore, on both the setting of Saltcote Hall and users of the sea wall and estuary. These comments do not take account of any night-time impacts for which appropriate visualisation data has not been provided.
- 5.8.10 The night time time visualisations that have been submitted are taken from within the site and not from the viewpoint of a sensitive receptor so are judged by the Countryside and Coast Officer to be of limited use in visual impact assessment. They show the trees in full leaf which is not the most likely scenario for when the floodlighting will have the most impact which will be in the winter months between late October when the clocks change and mid-March. This is the time when the trees will not be in leaf or will only be in partial leaf and arguably the peak season for playing football. The applicants have said that to make maximum use of the site, increasing income needed for the upkeep of the pitch, which is considerable, the site will use its floodlights up until 10pm on weekdays. Restricting hours would seriously decrease revenue and have an impact on the continued viability of the Club to its potential severe future detriment.

5.8.11 In addition the site is on land that is Grade 2 and therefore classified as being of the 'Best and Most Versatile Land'. NPPF para 112 states that '...local planning authorities should seek to use areas of poorer quality land...' for development.

5.9 External Lighting

- 5.9.1 Specifically LDP policy D2, RLP policy BE8 and NPPF paragraph 125 are all applicable. The application includes details of the floodlighting identifying that floodlights would be provided along both football pitch sidelines for the 3G pitch stadium with four floodlights on each side, a total of eight. The floodlight columns would be 15m high and would provide a maximum luminance level of 2,000 luminaries. Compared to the floodlights at the existing ground the proposed floodlights are modern and aimed at focusing the light on the pitch and limiting light spillage so would be more appropriate installations when compared to the existing floodlighting at the current ground.
- 5.9.2 Environmental Health recognise that design and projected spillage calculations for the proposed floodlighting have been provided and note that light spillage off site will be significantly below the standards in the guidance documents produced by the Institution of Lighting Professionals. The proposed lighting layout shall be required as shown in the lighting assessment and maintained in that form thereafter. If necessary Environmental Health recommends a condition should be imposed to ensure that an alternative lighting arrangement would need to be agreed through condition.
- 5.9.3 The 'Planning Statement' explains that the floodlights will only be switched on when the site is being used and would be used less outside of the football season. The football club would accept a planning condition requiring the floodlights to be switched off at 10pm. Environmental Health does not object to the floodlighting but the lighting impact upon the landscape and ecology are matters separate to the Environmental Health considerations and the impact upon these two material considerations is stated in this report.
- 5.9.4 Schematic images showing the floodlights are provided, as well as lighting level lux drawings to show that the light will not effectively spill out from the site, but this is considered to tell only half of the story. Even if the lights are angled correctly they will be visible, creating a distinct glow in this current dark rural location. There are other examples of 3G pitches in the local area and these are visible from a considerable distance. Light from floodlights on poles simply does not disappear, they will be present up until 10pm and have a significant detrimental impact in the local area.

5.10 Noise

- 5.10.1 LDP policy D2, RLP policy BE1, NPPF paragraphs 120 to 125 and Planning Policy Guidance (PPG) are all applicable here.
- 5.10.2 The proposed development would introduce a number of different noise sources into this existing rural location. Similar levels of noise from the existing site would be relocated to this location. An 'Environmental Noise Report' has been submitted which identifies that the current site's noise impact occurs as a result of the following;

- match day pitch and spectator activity (including public address system);
- training pitch activity;
- bar activity;
- car parking;
- road traffic;
- mechanical services plant.
- 5.10.3 The report identifies that match day noise is within the World Health Organisation (WHO) guideline values and the training activity would be below the existing noise levels during periods when training may take place. Noise from the club house functions can be subject to a condition requiring amplified noise to be controlled and mechanical plant / services can be agreed through condition.
- 5.10.4 Noise surveys were undertaken on 27 and 28 march 2015 at three locations around the application site which including one at Saltcote Hall Farmhouse, which is the nearest neighbouring dwelling.
- 5.10.5 All the existing ground noise levels from match activity were undertaken at a match on 28 March 2015 when Heybridge Swifts played Needham Market and where the attendance was 200 people. This is higher than the typical attendance.
- 5.10.6 Noise from the proposed stadium activity would be from pitch activity, car parking, traffic and the public address system. Noise would also be generated by the club facilities, hired out as function space. The nearest properties would be Saltcote Hall 130m to the south east of the main pitch and this would be screened by a landscaped area around the south east of the site. The 'Environmental Noise Report' states that this would reduce the noise impact by 10dB. The properties along Drapers Chase are 200m away. Reference is made in the 'Environmental Noise Report' to the inclusion of 1.8m close boarded fence around the stadium. The predicated average noise level upon Saltcote Hall and Drapers Chase properties would be 52dB from stadium noise when matches are being played during a Saturday afternoon or a Tuesday evening and this would peak between 56 61dB when a goal is scored, so for a short time period which would meet the WHO guidelines for sleep disturbance.
- 5.10.7 Noise from the car parking facility is estimated to be lower in comparison to the football stadium noise at 37dB for Saltcote Hall and 40dB for Drapers Chase properties which would meet the WHO guidelines. Noise from traffic in terms of service vehicles on the access road would be 41dB for Saltcote Hall and 31dB for Drapers Chase which would meet the WHO guidelines. Details of the public address system would need to be agreed through conditions as the proposed system that would be used is not currently known.
- 5.10.8 Noise from the 3G pitch would be generated by training activities which would place during the day and into the evenings. Saltcote Hall would be subject to an average predicted noise level of 37dB and a maximum noise level of 47dB which would meet the WHO guidelines.
- 5.10.9 Noise from the match day bar should achieve a target of 36dB outside of Saltcote Hall and noise mechanical plant can be controlled through planning condition once the intended plant equipment details are known.

- 5.10.10 The applicant proposes to open the function room / club house until midnight Monday to Saturday (dependant on whether there is a function. Due to the location of the site and lack of connectivity by any mode of transport other than the car a use until this time will require traffic to leave the site very late at night if functions take place.
- 5.10.11 Environmental Health has reviewed the 'Environmental Noise Report' and raise a number of points which need addressing. Details of the proposed fencing and bund details need to be agreed prior to the commencement of the development along with another condition requiring details of the public address system. In terms of the club house bar finishing at 23:00 hour the objective should be not to exceed background noise levels. Saturday evening background is reported as being between 31 to 35dB at Saltcote Hall and 32 39 dB at Drapers chase but it is unknown how representative the noise levels were in the absence of detailed descriptions of the survey data and weather conditions during measurement on different days. There is very little information on the full assumptions given for the sound attenuation of the building and it is assumed that the 40dB attributed is based on a modern building with doors and windows closed. The report recommends that a detailed construction and acoustic performance of the building be submitted to and agreed by way of condition.
- 5.10.12 However the impact of noise should be looked at not only in relation to the measurements as provided in any submitted noise report, but in the impact in this very quiet coastal rural location where the only main source of sound at this time is from Goldhanger Road, and the impact any noise will have on amenity. This is setting a harder test. Consultation responses show the real level of concern from adjacent residences, and the potential impact any change will have on their enjoyed amenity. Allowing this development will change this quiet rural area completely and whilst not necessarily cause nuisance, will be audible. Football grounds can be noisy spaces whether spectators are involved or not, and the activities on site will be audible to some degree and be invasive on enjoyed residential amenity.
- 5.10.13 Both the adjacent Saltcote Hall and Chigborough Lakes depend on their quiet rural locations to carry out their successful business operations. Any additional light or noise source here could be injurious to each business and the Council has received confirmation from the vet associated with the Saltcote Hall business that introducing any noise would severely compromise its retention, and the owners of Chigborough Lakes consider this development, which will stand very close to an area use for night fishing, will seriously compromise it. This opinion is considered to be founded in fact.
- 5.10.14 The Council is charged with preserving and enhancing rural businesses. Whilst it is correct that jobs would be created were this development be approved, there is a real threat that existing business operations would be prejudiced as a result. The use and its associated noise and lights would alter this quiet dark rural location to the detriment of the enjoyment of this quiet rural area.

5.11 Effect upon Neighbouring Properties/Residential Amenity

5.11.1 LDP policy D1 along with RLP policy BE1 considers residential amenity.

- 5.11.2 This planning application has been subject of a high level of public interest with an excess of 1,000 representations received to the application with over 950 objections received including those from properties which border or are within close proximity of the site.
- 5.11.3 It is also correct to point out that the development has had support in the form of numerous letters.
- 5.11.4 As has been set out the nearest neighbouring property Saltcote Hall borders the site. There is a farmhouse, a number of farm buildings and the land is used for residential purposes, as a caravan site and for the grazing and keeping / breeding of horses. The neighbour has objected to the application. By siting the football pitches towards the north of the site, positioning the car park towards the western site boundary and by proposing a landscape area for sound / visual attenuation reasons closest to the nearest part of this neighbouring site it is recognised that the applicant has considered the impact upon this property in seeking to minimise the impact of the development. However notwithstanding this it is considered that the occupiers of Saltcote Hall would experience a significant change in land use and activity in comparison to the existing situation whereby their property currently borders quiet open and flat agricultural fields. The dwellinghouse of Saltcote Hall is located more than 30m from the boundary of the site with a usable side garden and buildings in between. The western elevation of this property has openings to habitable rooms onto this side garden. From Saltcote Hall the closest point of the car park would be 82m, the youth football pitch would be 125m to the north within existing farm buildings in between and the football stadium / club house would be 130m to the north with a landscape bund in between. All these features would help visually screen the impact of the proposal upon the residential amenities of the occupiers of Saltcote Hall. The farm buildings are not protected in the same way as a residential dwelling in planning terms but they are being used for stables for the keeping / breeding of a rare breed of horses, and they have provided evidence that additional noise would have a significant impact upon the keeping and breeding of horses to the detriment of the sites use at this time. The use of the caravan site towards the north and western part of the Saltcote Hall would be affected when the site is being used but with this area being sited closest the landscaped area it would be screened and sound attenuated. The occupiers of Saltcote Hall would be subject to a significant change and it is concluded, on balance that this would have a detrimental impact on their enjoyed amenity.
- 5.11.5 Drapers Chase includes residential properties that are 200m west of the site whose outlook towards the fields is currently screened by landscaping so the visual impact from those properties would not significantly alter.
- 5.11.6 The area to the north and east of the site is known as Chigborough Lakes comprises of a number of lakes, woodland areas and fields. The nearest residential property in this area is approximately 550m away and therefore would not be affected.
- 5.11.7 There are a number of properties located along the southern side of Goldhanger Road and these would be nearest to the proposed vehicle access and would experience an increase in traffic movements when the site is in use. The submitted Transport Assessment sets the worst case scenario at 45 car trips per hour (which equates to 90 vehicle movements. The Highways Authority has commented on this use and raised no objection from a technical point of view. Nevertheless such vehicle movements,

adjacent and to the rear of Saltcote Hall would cause disturbance to the local rural area where no vehicles visit the site at this time.

5.12 Access, Highway Network, Highway Safety and Parking

- 5.12.1 RLP policies T2, T6, T7, T8, LDP policies T1 and T2 and Section 4 of the NPPF are relevant. The Maldon Vehicle Parking Standards (VPS) forms supplementary planning guidance.
- 5.12.2 Access to the site would be provided via a new 'T' junction onto Goldhanger Road. This would include highway works widening Goldhanger Road to create a right hand turn lane for access to the site from the westbound carriageway and also a right hand turn lane to access Heybridge Basin via the 'T' junction with Basin Road on the eastbound carriageway. In between the turning lanes in the centre of the carriageway a pedestrian refuge island would be created allowing for a crossing point that would lead to a pedestrian footway along the eastern side of the proposed access road into the site. The access road would be a straight road 5.5m wide by 195m long constructed at a right angle to the highway and would include a 2m wide footway along the eastern side of the road. A 'T' junction would be created to access the road on the eastern side of the access road.
- 5.12.3 The Highways Authority has been consulted and raises no specific objections to the development as proposed. Given that the application has been with the Council for some time and it has been said that traffic has increased they comment that even with this, and the increases which are anticipated through planned housing growth, their conclusion is that this will not cause any significant volume increase on the highway network and generally outside peak hours. Re-assessment of this minor impact is not considered necessary.
- 5.12.4 Questions have been asked over the intentions for the access road north beyond its current termination point as this road appears to have been designed for future access arrangements. However this, and stated concerns that the land to the west have been reserved for potential future development are not part of this submission and all future planning proposals should be considered on their own merits.
- 5.12.5 In terms of access, access from the existing settlement of Heybridge can be achieved by a variety of vehicle means including private vehicle usage, cycling and use of public transport as there are bus stops within Goldhanger Road and Basin Road which serve the existing area but are irregular services operating every two hours. The bus services provide links to Maldon, Tollesbury, Chelmsford, Boreham and Hatfield Peveral as well as stops along the routes within the District. However, the bus services do not run late into the evening for any evening football matches or training uses which will take place until 10pm and are infrequent. The site can also be accessed by walking as there is a public footway along Goldhanger Road into Heybridge village centre which is approximately 1.3km from the site's southern boundary. Heybridge village centre provides a range of facilities, services and community uses. A Framework Travel Plan has been submitted in support of the application which seeks to reduce car journeys and promote sustainable forms of transport.

- 5.12.6 In terms impact upon the highway network, the proposed development would give rise to an increase in activity in the area from the existing situation but this level activity would represent a shift of the existing activity associated with the existing ground to this location. Activity would result from all forms of transport. The Transport Assessment submitted with the application had modelled trip generation taking into account the existing situation with the average attendance of 118 spectators, the ground at capacity and future promotion through the non-leagues and the increased attendance rate due to larger non-league football teams visiting. Goldhanger Road would experience the greatest impact in percentage terms of a 47% increase in traffic during the weekday match day peak hour and a 25% increase during the weekend match day peak hour. There would also be implications upon nearby road traffic junctions at the site access / Basin Road / Goldhanger Road junction, the Colchester Road / Goldhanger Road mini roundabout and the Colchester Road / Scraley Road priority junction. The Transport Assessment has undertaken the worst case scenarios and although there would be impact from the development the impact would be similar to the existing football club's site but shifted to this location. The Transport Assessment identifies that the impact can be accommodated within the highway network within the highway and junction capacities.
- 5.12.7 The car parking provision would be for 105 parking spaces in total, with 17 designated as "overspill" spaces. The layout plan shows that this would be formed in the form of one parking area located to east of the access road and close to the 'T' junction into the site, and be formed of a type 1 crushed granite / limestone surface. An overspill area would also be created directly to the west of this car park and the overspill car park would provide 17 car parking spaces over a grass-crete surface. A total of six further car parking spaces would be provided south of the club house building over a crushed limestone surface finish for disabled use. A total of four coach parking spaces would be provided south of the stadium over a tarmacadam surface finish. A further six spaces are shown north of the site access.
- 5.12.8 The car parking levels are assessed against the Maldon Vehicle Parking Standard, which has specific standards for stadia use, although this development includes more than just a stadia use. The stadia car parking standard requires one space per 15 seats and is therefore difficult to use for this development as only 250 seats would be provided within the stadium as the majority of the proposed capacity would be for standing purposes. In addition to this the club training pitches and club house uses would fall within a number of different Use Classes therefore there is no one car parking standard to be applied to this development. On this basis a comparison is made to the existing ground which in comparison terms would have a similar capacity and also includes existing training pitches. At this time the current Swifts site has a car park but spaces are not delineated in any form. It has not been shown that parking at this time causes highway disturbance and it is reasonable to conclude that the spaces as proposed are in excess of those at the current site. It is considered that the level of car parking provision is acceptable for the proposal.
- 5.12.9 There are no details regarding cycle parking which will need to be provided with this development. Similarly to the above there is no standard to be used for assessing cycle parking provision and cycle parking provision is needed with this development to promote and encourage sustainable forms of transport. The existing ground has no dedicated cycle provision. Any cycle parking should meet adopted standards for a use

- of this type and amount to one per 10 square meres of built space. This can be accommodated in covered areas and assured by planning condition.
- 5.12.10 Where the location is lacking is the availability of choice to access the site. These are primarily reliant on the motor vehicle. Given the developments proximity outside the defined residential boundary of Heybridge, the poor connections in terms of footpaths, infrequency of bus services particularly into the evening when the development will be used especially by children and youths, and unattractive connections for cyclists, the development I considered contrary to paragraph 61 of the NPPF and; Policy BE1 of the Adopted Maldon District Local Plan, and Policy S1 of the Local Development Plan.

5.13 Flood Risk and Surface Water Drainage

- 5.13.1 LDP policy D5 provides local drainage considerations and encourages the use of Sustainable urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) and flood response plans, LDP policy D2 seeks to minimise pollution prevention along with section 10 of the NPPF and the guidance contained within the PPG.
- 5.13.2 The site is located within a highest risk flood zone (flood zone 3a) as identified on the Environment Agency flood maps. This means that the site is subject to a high probability of flooding and the PPG provides guidance on flood risk and vulnerability. The proposal would involve uses falling within the 'less vulnerable' use where development is 'appropriate' for this flood zone. There is no requirement to apply the Exception Test but the Sequential Test is applicable. The Environment Agency raises no objections as the proposal is a 'less vulnerable' use for Flood Zone 3.
- 5.13.3 The Sequential Test aims to allow development on land that is at no or little risk of flooding in preference to area at higher risk. Sites within flood zone 1 and those allocated in Development Plan should not be subject of sequential testing. However, as this site falls in a high risk flood zone (flood zone 3a) and is not allocated for development so it needs to be sequentially tested. The existing football ground in Scraley Road is allocated in the LDP for a residential development but the LDP does not allocate a new site for the football club to relocate to. Therefore in terms of the current and submitted future Development Plan for the area there are no available sites allocated for this development. The Planning Statement explains the recent history of attempts by the football club to locate to other sites in the area. As the football club is part of the community it needs to be retained in or around the Heybridge area. This reduces the number of potential sites in the area and it also needs to be noted that large parts of the Heybridge area within and outside of the village envelope are located in flood zone 3. Therefore the Sequential Test would need to focus on this area rather than a District wide consideration.
- 5.13.4 The applicants indicate that there are no other available sites within the Heybridge area that are available for this scale of development either allocated in the Development Plan or unallocated. The PPG advises local planning authorities to take a pragmatic approach to applying the Sequential Test taking into account particular circumstances in any given case. The other alternative sites the Club has identified have been within the same food zone.

- 5.13.5 The 'Flood Risk Assessment' (FRA) identifies that the highest part of the site is 5.1m AOD in the north east corner of the site dropping to 2.7m AOD to the west of Saltcote Hall. The site currently drains by infiltration via sandy drift deposits but also through overland flow during periods of heavy rain which discharges to the ditch running through the centre of the site and this ditch drains overland flow from Chigborough Mere, which is the lake to the north of the site, through the site into the ditch adjacent to the southern site boundary.
- 5.13.6 The FRA identifies that site would be most susceptible to tidal flooding but Heybridge Basin and the surrounding area is protected from tidal flooding by the existing sea defences which have a 1 in 200 year standard of protection. There is existing development located much closer to the sea wall than this site. The FRA has considered an overtopping and a breach analysis. If the sea defences were overtopped then the lowest part of the site could flood to a depth of 2.36m based on a 1 in 200 year event taking into account climate change. For the breach analysis half of the site is above the current 1 in 200 year breach level but if a breach were to occur the southernmost part of the site would flood up to a depth of 3m over a 15 hour period. There are many other properties that would be 'more vulnerable' and affected first. Through flood warning measures the site would be evacuated if a breach scenario were to occur. This site and its intended use is 'less vulnerable' in flood classification terms. Accurate weather and tidal systems can predict such events in advance of their occurrence. A flood evacuation plan is included in the FRA.
- 5.13.7 The site is also at risk of fluvial flooding from Sprickets Brook but the level of flooding would not be as significant as tidal flooding. The FRA explains that there would be no concerns from other types of flooding including surface water, ground water, sewer and reservoir flooding.
- 5.13.8 The FRA states that surface water discharges up to the 1 in 100 year event with an allowance for 30% climate change but through the 'Surface and Foul Water Drainage Strategy' the use of SUDS features would allow for infiltration and attenuation to replicate the existing greenfield run off rate. The surface water strategy demonstrates that around the existing building permeable paving would be used, the proposed car park would include a filter drain system and an attenuation basin would be created. The existing ditch would be realigned to run around the eastern perimeter of the site around the buildings and football pitches. The Essex County Council (ECC) Flood and Water Management team raise no objections to the application subject to conditions requiring details to be agreed including a detailed surface water scheme and the future management and maintenance of the SUDS.
- 5.13.9 There have been issues raised by consultants particularly with regard to flooding which occurs in Goldhanger Road at the junction with the Heybridge Basin road which sits in a slight dip; however it is considered that the SUDS system would mitigate against this future impact.
- 5.13.10 In addition to the proposed surface water management approach other mitigation measures are detailed in the FRA which includes provision of safe refuge at first floor level of the club house building, use of flood resilient materials and the adoption of a flood evacuation plan. The Emergency Planner considers the flood water evacuation satisfactory for the site.

5.14 Foul Drainage and Water Supply

- 5.14.1 LDP policy D5 provides local drainage considerations, LDP policy D2 seeks to minimise pollution prevention along with section 10 of the NPPF and the guidance contained within the PPG.
- 5.14.2 There is currently no foul drainage infrastructure on site and no public foul sewer in Goldhanger Road but there is a combined sewer adjacent to the southern site boundary. The 'Surface and Foul Water Drainage Strategy' explains that foul water would drain to the existing combined sewer adjacent to the southern boundary. Anglian Water state that the sewerage system has available capacity for the foul and wastewater flows.
- 5.14.3 In terms of water supply, Essex and Suffolk water has no objections to the application.

5.15 Impact upon Heritage Assets

- 5.15.1 RLP policies BE13, BE16, BE18, LDP policy D3 and Section 12 of the NPPF are all relevant considerations.
- 5.15.2 The nearest listed building is the cottage at Drapers Farmhouse approximately 235m from the site and the nearest Conservation Area is the Heybridge Conservation Area at approximately 750m from the site. The Conservation Officer advises that neither of heritage assets would be adversely affected by the proposed development.
- 5.15.3 With regard archaeology, the 'Archaeology Desk Based Assessment' identifies the potential for Neolithic, Bronze Age, Iron Age and Roman, Anglo-Saxon and early Medieval findings on site. The Conservation Officer recommends planning conditions regarding an Archaeological Assessment and an Archaeological Fieldwork Programme to be submitted, approved and implemented.

5.16 Biodiversity and Ecology

- 5.16.1 LDP policy N2, RLP policy CC5 and NPPF section 11 are all relevant considerations.
- 5.16.2 The application site is within close proximity to the Blackwater Estuary Special Protection Area (SPA), Ramsar site, Special Area of Conservation (SAC), and also at a national level is the Blackwater Estuary Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). These areas are approximately 200m to the south east of the site. Natural England considers that the proposal 'is not likely to have a significant effect' on these areas.
- 5.16.3 The application includes a Phase 1 Habitat Survey and Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment. These show that consideration has been given to the impact of the development upon bats, badgers, reptiles, hedgerows, breeding and wintering birds.
- 5.16.4 For bats the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' shows there were three species present during activity surveys but there were no evidence of bats roosting in the study area (site). The bat activity therefore is based on foraging and commuting of bats from the woodland edge and hedgerows. The field boundaries of hedgerows and woodland offer habitat for bats and one of these hedgerows runs through the site

in a north / south direction and would be removed through the proposal. The 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' considered to be of 'local' value to foraging and commuting bats. In terms of mitigation the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' makes a number of recommendations which are listed as follows. There are three trees in the site which have the potential for future roosting and the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' advises against lighting in this area along the eastern boundary. The 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' recommends further surveys prior to works commencing and number of mitigation measures. The existing hedgerow between the two fields would be removed and a compensatory planting along the western boundary. Other bat mitigation includes a lighting strategy to retain the dark corridors along the north and eastern boundaries and the 'ball catch netting system' that needs to be rolled away at night. Enhancements are stated in the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' for potential roosting places for bats in the grassed areas to the south of the site through the hedgerow buffer.

- 5.16.5 Concerns are raised over the impact of the development upon bats with regard to lighting in the area, and ball catch nets behind the goals which would be erected when the site is in use, and the impact this would have upon bat activity. The proposed lighting along the eastern and western boundaries raises concerns in terms of the foraging and commuting routes. There appears no room within the site to accommodate a new planted hedgerow along the western boundary as the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' recommends. It would also be impossible to enforce a planning condition requiring the 'ball catch netting system' to be 'rolled away' at night.
- 5.16.6 The developers have asked to confirm whether identified bat activity, and the presence of lights close to netting, will have a detrimental impact on bat species. The lights would be operational after and during dusk, identified as the key time for bat activity, then the ball catch nets would be in situ.
- 5.16.7 For badgers the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' explains that foraging fields signs were found along the north, south and east site boundary areas and this represents low to moderate level. A number of old badger setts were found mainly in the woodland areas outside the site area apart from one within the site in the existing woodland area to the south. The 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' considers that three setts could be partially or well used by badgers. In terms of mitigation monitoring would take place and fencing would be erected around the site which would prevent badgers entering into the site and the development would reduce the amount of foraging areas. It is stated that planting along the western boundary would retain connectivity between the north and south of the site as an ecological corridor but the plans do not show any such planting so there would be no ecological corridor along the western edge of the site. For the badger sets a 20m exclusion zone would be created and if any requirements to close the setts are required this would require a license from Natural England.
- 5.16.8 Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) Mammals are the European hedgehog, brown hare and harvest mice but the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' shows that there was no signs of activity but areas within the boundary areas of the site are suitable for hedgehogs and brown hares. The mitigation measures include retaining habitat where possible, thickening of hedgerow boundaries.

- 5.16.9 The reptile surveys undertaken through the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' identified a small population of common lizards and grass snakes in the area and therefore hedgerow retention around the site would retain connectivity to the surrounding area. The 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' identifies enhancements through the creation of log piles and managed grass height areas which can increase reptile habitats.
- 5.16.10 The existing hedgerow which runs through the centre of the site in a north to south direction would be removed as a result of the development and it is recommended in the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' that a replacement hedgerow would be planted along the western edge of the site to replace the ecological value lost but as explained above a replacement hedgerow along the western boundary is not proposed through this development.
- 5.16.11 For Birds the 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' recorded 42 species of bird during the survey work. From these 29 were considered to be within the breeding season and the remainder were species not considered to breed at the site but instead in the local area. From the 29 breeding birds ten have notable conservation status and are included on the Red and Amber lists of Birds of Conservation Concern, listed as England Biodiversity Species. The 'Phase 2 Ecological Survey and Assessment' considers that the loss of two fields is unlikely to lead to a loss of wintering birds. The breeding birds are associated with hedgerow and woodland habitats, and the proposal seeks to retain and enhance hedgerows where possible.
- 5.16.12 This is a matter of planning balance. Whilst the applicants and their ecologists clearly state that the development will not likely have an impact on protected species the character of the local area and the surrounding land will alter significantly, not simply by the installation of buildings, hard surfacing, lighting columns and nets. It is also correct that Essex Wildlife Trust has, in consultation, indicated that the development would have an adverse impact on declining priority species and habitats, will result in a reduction in biodiversity and habitat quality on the tranquility of the area. They are of the view that the development would compromise habitats and species of significant biodiversity value. This view is also shared by the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB).
- 5.16.13 On balance and due to the character and nature of the development not only affecting the site but the surrounding area, the development is considered to adversely affect the ecological and biodiversity value of the local area in a way which cannot be mitigated by planning conditions. Hence the development is considered contrary to NPPF paragraphs 109, 110, 114, 117 and 118, as well as policies CC5 of the Adopted Replacement Local Plan and Policy N2 in the proposed LDP.

5.17 Refuse and Recycling

5.17.1 LDP policy D2, RLP policy PU2 and NPPF paragraphs 120 to 122 are all applicable. There are no details regarding the future refuse and recycling arrangements but a refuse collection point is shown on the layout plan adjacent to the 'T' junction access into the site.

5.18 Air Quality

5.18.1 LDP policy D2, RLP policy BE1, NPPF paragraph 124 and the PPG are all applicable. There is no information submitted regarding air quality and although the proposal is moving the football club from one location to another location this presents an opportunity for the inclusion of air quality mitigation measures such as electric vehicle charging points. Such air quality mitigation measures have been conditioned on other major planning applications in the area and are recommended by Environmental Health for this application.

5.19 Groundwork Surveys and Contamination

5.19.1 LDP policy D2, RLP policies BE1 and CON6, NPPF paragraphs 120 to 122 and the PPG are all applicable. The land is currently used for agricultural purposes and a 'Phase 1 Ground Condition (Land Contamination) Assessment' and a ground investigation report has been submitted in support of the application. The 'Phase 1 Ground Condition (Land Contamination) Assessment' demonstrates that this land has been used as farmland prior to Ordnance Survey mapping and is therefore unlikely to contain contaminated land. Overall the 'Phase 1 Ground Condition (Land Contamination) Assessment' identifies the 'environmental risks' to be 'very low' but recommends further ground investigation work which could be agreed through a planning condition. Environmental Health raise no objections but recommend a contaminated land condition should permission be granted

5.20 Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP)

- 5.20.1 LDP policy D2, RLP policies BE1, NPPF paragraphs 120 to 125 and PPG are all applicable.
- 5.20.2 A 'Site Waste Management Plan' has been submitted to demonstrate how waste would be managed by the developer during the lifetime of the project. However, there is need for a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) to be provided though a planning condition to demonstrate the mechanism for mitigating adverse environmental impacts and managing the construction of the development. The CEMP will include the need for a site waste management plan, materials management plan, pollution prevent plan, water management plan, traffic management plan and emergency response plan. The CEMP will form a planning condition requiring the information to be submitted prior to the commencement of development should the permission be granted.

5.21 Climate Change and Renewable Energy

5.21.1 LDP policies D1 and D2, RLP policy PU6 and NPPF paragraphs 95 to 99 are all applicable. The proposal represents the opportunity to include renewable energy installations such as solar / photovoltaic panels, wind turbines, ground and air source heat pumps, micro combined heat and power (CHP) and biomass boilers and can be conditioned.

5.22 Planning Obligations

- 5.22.1 For applying planning obligations The Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 Regulation 122 (also stated in paragraph 204 of the NPPF) need to meet the following tests:
 - necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms;
 - directly related to the development; and
 - fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development'.
- 5.22.2 The key issue here is that this development is enabled by the residential redevelopment of the existing Swifts site for residential purposes, this being proposed by application FUL/MAL/00154/16 also considered by this agenda. This should be likened to the provision of a new football ground, the provision of this football ground need not be counter linked to the current site. This is discussed in the report for this submission.

5.23 Environmental Impact Assessment

5.23.1 The proposed development falls within 'Schedule 2' of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations as 'EIA development'. A request for a Screening Opinion was validated by the Council on 13 January 2012. The authority is required to adopt a "Screening Opinion" under Regulation 7 to assess whether the effects of the development would be significant as to warrant the submission of an Environmental Impact Assessment. In this instance it was determined that the impact of the development would not warrant the submission of an Environmental Impact Assessment in a decision dated 6 February 2012.

5.24 Sustainability

- 5.24.1 Numerous LDP policies, RLP policies and paragraphs of the NPPF are relevant to this section and have been taken into account in consideration of the application.
- 5.24.2 Sustainability is a material consideration with the determination of this appeal and in terms of sustainability paragraph 14 of the NPPF applies the 'presumption in favour of sustainable development' and for decision-taking this means:
 - approving development proposals that accord with the development plan without delay; and
 - where the development plan is absent, silent or relevant policies are out-ofdate, granting permission unless:
 - any adverse impacts of doing so would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in this Framework taken as a whole: or
 - specific policies in this Framework indicate development should be restricted.
- 5.24.3 To assist with the assessment of sustainability it is necessary to assess whether the proposed development is 'sustainable development' with regard to the three dimensions to sustainable development as defined in paragraph 7 of the NPPF, which are the economic, social and environmental roles.

- 5.24.4 Economically the proposed development would bring employment opportunities in the construction sector for the duration of the construction phase of the development and for operation phase of the development once completed. The proposal would increase local expenditure from existing and visiting football supporters and other users to the site to the benefit of the local economy using existing and new local services and businesses.
- 5.24.5 Socially the proposal would continue to provide a local community football club and the youth and children's football clubs. This is beneficial to promoting healthy communities and for creating up to date modern sports pitches and facilities.
- 5.24.6 However this has to be balanced against the sites location and its reliance primarily on the motor vehicle for access as has been mentioned elsewhere in this report. The use will attract children and youth teams, connectivity with the exiting site is nonexistent and connections to the site other than by vehicles are considered poor.
- 5.24.7 Environmentally the sustainability of this development has to be questioned, not least of which is due to its impact on ecology and biodiversity.
- 5.24.8 Taking these considerations into account it is considered that the NPPF's 'presumption in favour of sustainable development' can apply to this development.

5.25 Planning Balance and Conclusion

- 5.25.1 This application has been assessed against the development plan policies from the RLP, the policies detailed in the submitted LDP which in accordance with paragraph 216 of the NPPF are afforded material weight, and the relevant section/paragraphs of the NPPF that are applicable.
- 5.25.2 The proposal would provide the following positive benefits:
 - A new bespoke purpose built football ground with extended facilities for use by the wider community and associated benefits.
 - Provide an additional sporting facility which is considered by the applicant to be acceptable in the rural area.
 - Increasing employment opportunities.
 - Providing a club house.
 - Provide for new and enhanced planting.
 - Placing the use in an assessable location reduce the need to travel and provide for car parking in excess of that available onsite at this time.
- 5.25.3 The proposal would provide the following negative benefits:
 - Alter significantly the rural tranquility of this existing site.
 - Cause damage to the rural landscape by reason if its use and associated floodlighting.
 - Have a detrimental impact on neighbouring amenity by reason of noise, traffic and light.
 - Have a detrimental impact on ecology and biodiversity.

- Have a detrimental impact on the landscape character and value of the defined Coastal Zone contrary to its wide open character, wildlife value and rural character of the area.
- 5.25.4 Overall and on balance the negative impact on the development is significant and demonstrably outweighs the considered benefits. The site is not considered acceptable for the use as proposed.

6. ANY RELEVANT SITE HISTORY

• SOR/MAL/12/00038 - Request for a formal screening opinion on the possible requirement for an EIA under regulation 5(2) in relation to the proposed development of Heybridge Swifts Football Club (HSFC) – EIA not required 06.02.2012.

7. CONSULTATIONS AND REPRESENTATIONS RECEIVED

7.1 Representations received from Parish / Town Councils

Name of Parish / Town Council	Comment	Officer Response
Heybridge Parish Council	Recommend refusal of the planning application. Area is subject of flooding, relocation of the stadium encroaches on existing uses, the development is contrary to local and national planning policies.	Noted, see the report.
Great Totham Parish Council	The Council had commented on the original application on 4 April 2016 when it supported the application. The Council now withdraws this support. Since April the Council has become aware of a very high level of opposition to the development in the community. In listening to the public and hearing their concerns; and learning from the many environmental appraisals; and about the likely serious impact of the proposed development on its setting and surroundings the Council finds it necessary to realign its thoughts and views. Whilst the need for improved sporting facilities remains, the Council believes that the balance has shifted heavily against such a development in this location. Therefore it now objects to the development and recommends refusal.	Noted.

7.2 Statutory Consultees and Other Organisations (summarised)

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
Highways Authority	From a highway and transportation perspective the impact of the proposal is acceptable to the Highway Authority.	Noted see the report
SUDS	Having reviewed the Flood Risk Assessment and the associated documents which accompanied the planning application, we do not object to the granting of planning permission.	Noted, see the report
Essex and Suffolk Water	No objection	Noted
Essex Police	No objection	Noted
Essex Bat Group	No response received	
Essex Wildlife Trust	The proposal will have adverse impacts on protected, rare and declining priority species and designated habitats. This will result in a reduction in biodiversity and habitat quality, and a loss of tranquility in the area. Consequently, we are of the opinion that this is an unacceptable location for development and we urge the local planning authority to refuse permission for this application.	Noted, see section in main report.
Natural England	Statutory nature conservation sites - no objection. Natural England has assessed this application using the Impact Risk Zones data (IRZs). Natural England advises your authority that the proposal, if undertaken in strict accordance with the details submitted, is not likely to have a significant effect on the interest features for which the Blackwater Estuary (Mid Essex Coast Phase 4) Ramsar and SPA and the Essex Estuaries SAC have been classified. Natural England therefore advises that your Authority is not required to undertake an Appropriate Assessment to assess the implications of this proposal on these sites conservation objectives.1 In addition, Natural England is satisfied that the proposed development being carried out in strict accordance with the details of the application, as submitted, will not damage or	Noted. See man report.

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
Organisation	destroy the interest features for which the Blackwater Estuary SSSI has been notified. We therefore advise your authority that this SSSI does not represent a constraint in determining this application.	
	Protected species We have not assessed this application and associated documents for impacts on protected species. Natural England has published Standing Advice on protected species. The Standing Advice includes a habitat decision tree which provides advice to planners on deciding if there is a 'reasonable likelihood' of protected species being present. It also provides detailed advice on the protected species most often affected by development, including flow charts for individual species to enable an assessment to be made of a protected species survey and mitigation strategy.	
	You should apply our Standing Advice to this application as it is a material consideration in the determination of applications in the same way as any individual response received from Natural England following consultation.	
	The Standing Advice should not be treated as giving any indication or providing any assurance in respect of European Protected Species (EPS) that the proposed development is unlikely to affect the EPS present on the site; nor should it be interpreted as meaning that Natural England has reached any views as to whether a licence may be granted.	
	If you have any specific questions on aspects that are not covered by our Standing Advice for European Protected Species or have difficulty in applying it to this application please contact us at with details at consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.	

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
V	Local sites If the proposal site is on or adjacent to a local site, e.g. Local Wildlife Site, Regionally Important Geological/Geomorphological Site (RIGS) or Local Nature Reserve (LNR) the authority should ensure it has sufficient information to fully understand the impact of the proposal on the local site before it determines the application.	
	Landscape enhancements This application may provide opportunities to enhance the character and local distinctiveness of the surrounding natural and built environment; use natural resources more sustainably; and bring benefits for the local community, for example through green space provision and access to and contact with nature. Landscape characterisation and townscape assessments, and associated sensitivity and capacity assessments provide tools for planners and developers to consider new development and ensure that it makes a positive contribution in terms of design, form and location, to the character and functions of the landscape and avoids any unacceptable impacts.	
Environment Agency	No Objection.	Noted.
Anglian Water Services	No objection subject to detailed comments as to the provision of water services on site and connection to the same.	Noted.
Essex Fire and Rescue	It is considered necessary that an additional fire hydrant is installed within the curtilage of the proposed site.	This could be assured through a planning condition.

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
Sport England	No objection proving the site is linked to ensure the development will be completed if the associated residential scheme replaces the existing ground	This comment is caveated that the development would not result in the loss of a sporting facility, with the introduction of a 3G pitch the facilities would improve in terms of usability. If the new ground were not to be provided by the enabling development there would be objection raised.
RSPB	The RSPB currently objects to this proposal as insufficient information has been provided to allow the Council, as the competent authority1, to assess whether there will be any likely significant effect on the Blackwater Estuary Special Protection Area (SPA)2/Ramsar site3. Nature conservation interest of the application site. It has been brought to our attention that fields within or immediately surrounding the development site are grazed by dark-bellied brent geese, which are a Qualifying Feature of the nearby SPA. We note that the applicant's winter bird survey consisted of a single visit on the 23 January 2015 and that there is a caveat within that report (paragragh 6.6) that these results should not be considered definitive. Dark-bellied brent geese will regularly use the same fields year after year and such areas should be considered as functionally-linked to the SPA. We recommend that further surveys are undertaken to gain a full understanding of the extent of field usage by these geese as without this information, the Council will not be able to make an informed decision in accordance with the	Noted, see main report

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
Organisation	Habitat Regulations.	
	1 in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended).	
	2 Special Protection Areas are designated under Council Directive 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds (the 'Birds Directive'). The Directive provides the framework for the conservation and management of, and human interactions with, wild birds in Europe. Annex 1 of the Directive lists vulnerable species for which the Special Protection Area can be designated. The Directive is transposed in to UK law by the Conservation of Species and Habitats Regulations 2010 (as amended) ('The Habitats Regulations').	
	3 The Ramsar List of Wetlands of International Importance is a result of an inter-governmental treaty "The Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat" which was signed in the town of Ramsar, Iran, in 1971 ("The Ramsar Convention"). It was ratified into UK Law in 1976 and the UK Government is fully committed to implementation of the Convention.	
	Should surveys confirm these fields are used, then alternative feeding areas should be provided to mitigate any impacts on this species.	
	We would like to draw the Council's attention to paragraph 119 of the NPPF which states, "the presumption in favour of sustainable development (paragraph 14) does not apply where development requiring appropriate assessment under the Birds or Habitats Directive is being considered, planned or determined.	
	In summary, planning permission should not be granted until the relevant information is provided.	

Name of Statutory Consultee / Other Organisation	Comment	Officer Response
Essex Bat Group	No response received.	

7.3 Internal Consultees (summarised)

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
Urban Design Officer	When considered against the Key Planning and Land Management Issues identified on page 225 Landscape Character Assessment, Chris Blandford, 2006 the proposed development will impact on the proposal site by: • Further loss and decline in hedgerows; • Pressure of increased traffic on the B1026; • Potential for more visually intrusive expansion to the settlement edges; • Potential for visually intrusive development. I would also add that the access road and the general overall development of accommodation and parking will urbanise an existing rural context and its defined landscape character. Whilst particular development proposals could be acceptable in this particular defined character area i.e. new farm buildings the proposed clubhouse is larger than an 'agricultural building' in terms of form and scale. The loss of the hedgerow is regrettable. The materials proposed are alien to the special characteristics familiar to historic farmsteads in the vicinity where traditional materials are natural slate, red brick and painted timber weatherboarding. Whilst the use of fibre cement cladding was explained in terms of viability and maintenance, I remain of the opinion that profiled metal sheeting would be a more appropriate material for the proposed use and is familiar to the character area where sheet metal is used on modern agricultural buildings.	Noted, these issues are assessed in the main report.

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	Reducing the use of the car to get to places is an important strand of sustainable development. As a local facility for a local football club that wants to remain in Heybridge there have to be good connections for pedestrians and cyclists and safe and attractive routes to encourage alternatives to driving by motor vehicle. I accept that visiting fans will probably drive. As a major element of the proposal is providing training and development of youngsters, they should be able to walk and cycle safely to the facility.	
	The fundamental considerations regarding good design [connections between people and places and the integration of new development to the natural, built and historic environment] have not been properly addressed and further consideration should be given to the layout, access, appearance, scale and landscaping as detailed above.	
Environmental Health	 This service has some concerns regarding the proposed relocation of the Heybridge Swifts Football stadium as follows: Lighting from the floodlights associated with the main pitch and training pitch may cause loss of amenity to nearby residential dwelling Noise from use of the regular use of training pitches and main pitch may cause loss of amenity Noise from the Public address (Tannoy) system may cause loss of amenity to neighbouring residents Noise from the use of the Clubhouse for music functions may cause loss of amenity to neighbouring residents I can confirm that I have no issues with the lighting based on the lux levels and likelihood of glare shown in their lighting assessment for the previous app. If there are any changes to location or equipment I would expect and updated lighting impact 	The issues as to the impact on residential amenity are considered in detail in the main report. Impact on amenity is a material planning consideration.

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	There no recorded complaints of any sort against the current stadium. After the previous application went for consultation we received 3 complaints about the tannoy noise. However no records sheets were returned and the complaints were closed. These are considered to be unsubstantiated complaints. Additionally the new stadium will be fitted with a modern tanoy system that should ensure there will be less tannoy noise propagation of site.	
	Regarding the crowd size. They have surveyed the existing use on one of their worst case days and is in my opinion a reasonable assessment based on likely use.	
	If the club could attract crowds of 1000 on a regular basis the crowd noise is not constant so would only be an impact if they were to all sing or when a goal is scored and would only be relevant to First team games. This may equate to a 6dB increase in noise for very short and limited time periods. In my opinion the likelihood is low and the potential impacted on amenity of limited duration.	
Leisure and Liveability	Concerns are regarding the impact of the scheme on the wider landscape. The approach to the LVIA, the conclusions that are drawn from it and the way the findings are presented are not sufficient for a judgement on impact to be made. Supplementary information is required, in particular: The Zone of Visual Influence (ZVI) does not take into account the potential impact of the floodlights and their supporting structures on the wider landscape. The viewpoint locations are not correlated with the assessments in the text making understanding of the impacts difficult to judge.	The site as located in a sensitive position and these comment are material as far as they relate to landscape and ecological impact. On balance these commented and those as made by statutory consultees are pertinent in this case.
	Additional viewpoint photographs are needed to correlate with the assessment text e.g. from the Goldhanger Road	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	properties. Making judgements about the impact of the scheme, particularly that of the floodlight structures, is currently hard to gauge.	
	• The viewpoint photographs do not show the view at the scale it is seen in the field giving the effect that the site is located much further from the receptors than it really is. If a panorama image is required to demonstrate breadth of view this should be clearly noted and preferably, an individual comparator image provided from each viewpoint to show the actual field view. These should be amended.	
	Technical details of e.g. camera used, the focal length, distance from development etc. should be provided with each photograph to make interpretation easier.	
	 Viewpoint photographs should be annotated to identify key features and the lateral extent of the proposed development to enable interpretation. These should be amended. 	
	Visualisations should be provided to demonstrate what the proposed development would look like from key viewpoints. Currently it is difficult to visualise the impact of the floodlight structures on the surrounding countryside and key receptors as no cross-sections or visualisations are provided. Visualisation locations should be agreed with Maldon District Council. An alternative would be to simulate the height of the floodlight columns in the field by using a cherry picker or similar at various floodlight locations and retake the viewpoint photographs to demonstrate the effect.	
	The likely residual effect on both landscape and visual receptors is greater at key points (such as the sea wall, the Public Rights of Way (PRoW) and permissive footpaths to the east and the quiet recreation sites to the north) than is	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	reflected in the LVIA but this cannot judge this without the additional information identified above.	
	Landscape Design and Green Infrastructure Generally the scheme has a cramped appearance especially along the northern and eastern boundaries. It would be preferable if the surrounding rural character could better inform the onsite landscape strategy e.g. by creating broad grassland buffers to the marginal hedgerows and trees thus enhancing their sense of place as well as their ecological function. In addition a new hedgerow and buffer should be provided along the western margin to create a landscaped	
	margin in keeping with local character. Moving the football club to this site disconnects it from the recreation facilities at Draper's Farm owned by Maldon District Council. The scheme generally is not well-connected for walkers and cyclists within the wider recreation provision of the fishing lakes and the EWT nature reserve to the north. A potential opportunity exists to enhance permissive access for the residents of Heybridge to the lakes area and the wider countryside beyond via the site, as well as encouraging pedestrian access for users and visitors of the new stadium. Improved pedestrian access across Goldhanger Road to the new ground needs to be provided as part of the new junction should the scheme be approved.	
	Ecology The scheme results in both direct loss of a valuable hedgerow and ditch in the centre of the scheme and part of the vegetated boundary planting to the south and causes indirect impacts on protected species that rely on the existing hedgerows, trees and marginal vegetation on the northern and eastern boundaries. Reading the ecology report the understanding is that the development could potentially impact on	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	several bat species, badgers, native reptiles and bird species of conservation concern that may be vulnerable to disturbance.	
	It would be preferable to see a far wider buffer between the proposed development and the northern and eastern boundaries; also that mitigation be provided in the form of a new hedgerow with trees and appropriate buffers along the western boundary to offset the loss of the hedgerow running north to south through the centre of this scheme. Coppicing and transplanting of the existing hedgerow - or sections of it - would help establish a rich ecological community sooner than if young transplants were used.	
	Concern is raised that the proposal will result in adverse impacts on adjacent sensitive habitats, as well as causing a loss of tranquility. The winter bird surveys were only carried out on one day in winter 2015 and this is not enough to properly assess use of the site and its surroundings e.g. by dark-bellied Brent geese. The Winter Bird Survey claims the site is subject to considerable existing disturbance but this isn't true for the eastern site as opposed to the west.	
	Following The submission of additional plans the following comments were made: • The two daytime wireframe visualisations produced have been enhanced with coloured sketching which confuses the evidence of the impact of the lighting columns.	
	• In relation to views from the sea wall to Saltcote Hall the assumption is made that the new woodland planting will screen the 15m floodlights. However it is likely to be at least 10 years before even the fastest growing appropriate native trees (Such as alder or birch) reach 10-15m in height, let alone slower growing species such as oak. Many understorey species (hawthorn,	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	cherry) will struggle to get beyond 10m in height in mixed woodland conditions. I would judge that there is a medium term (10-15 year) impact remaining therefore, on both the setting of Saltcote Hall and users of the sea wall and estuary. These comments do not take account of any night-time impacts for which appropriate visualisation data has not been provided.	
	• Visualisation 2 from the fishing lake car-park demonstrates the extent of the significant impact of the flood light columns long term on the wider countryside to the east in daytime, footpath and car-park to the east. These comments do not take account of any night-time impacts for which appropriate visualisation data has not been provided. The existing lighting columns at Draper's Farm do not form a feature of the open countryside to the east of Draper's Farm as claimed. I judge there is a long term impact on the local landscape character not no adverse impact as claimed.	
	• The night-time visualisations are taken from within the site and not from the viewpoint of a sensitive receptor so are of no use in visual impact assessment. They show the trees in full leaf which is not the most likely scenario for when the floodlighting will have the most impact which will be in the winter months between late October when the clocks change and mid-March. This is the time when the trees will not be in leaf or will only be in partial leaf and arguably the peak season for playing football.	
	• This development is proposed on land that is Grade 2 and therefore classified as being of the 'Best and Most Versatile Land'. NPPF para 112 states that 'local planning authorities should seek to use areas of poorer quality land' for development.	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
Consuitee	The following comments have been made following the receipt of amended information. The Arb report that was originally submitted provided preliminary information on both this site and the site relating to 16/00154, with the proviso built in that detailed information and method statement would be required post-planning permission.	It is concluded that the site remains deficient in the way the tree stated tree concerns have been raised. This is considered an overall objection to the scheme despite the submission of amended details, this is discussed in full within the report.
	The report that was received, dated 14/9/2016 provides the same preliminary level of information as before, but relating to the site subject of this application only. This is helpful in so far as it clarifies and separates the two sites.	
Tree Officer	The report does not address potential issues relating to the points previously raised in relation to the mention on plans of a diverted ditch which appears to be substantial although we do not appear to have detail of the construction, route and extent of the ditch. If this is likely to impact negatively on the trees and hedgerow, it will be unacceptable.	
	There was what appeared to be an attenuation pond detailed on drawing 31796-002-003 -P4, although there were no details in the Arb report. I am unclear whether this is still proposed and if so, how will it be constructed, how will it affect the trees that are close-by and how will the planting be dealt with.	
	The Tree Protection Plan (TPP) shows tree protection to the front of the site, but not to trees/hedges on other boundaries, where the Root Protection Area (RPA) extends into the football pitch areas. This is where the method statement would address any conflict, but we do not have this.	
	There are a couple of anomalies between plans supplied by the agent and those which accompany the arb report. The large tree shown on some of the plans submitted, between the site and the west boundary of	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	Saltcote Hall is not on the arb report. However, it also appears that a number of trees in the hedgerow on this boundary may not have been detailed in the report.	
	Given the concerns raised previously, the applicant should ensure that all necessary information is provided to his Arboricultural Consultant so that the arb report can accurately reflect all matters pertaining to trees and hedgerows on and off-site, and address issues where they may be affected by the proposed development. This information should have been completed and submitted prior to the application being assessed.	
	I am in favour of the deletion of the bund and woodland planting. Information of landscaping and planting is insufficient, much more detail should be agreed. If this is to be conditioned, the applicant must be prepared to provide a high quality planting scheme with provision of rooting environment which will ensure sustainable and successful growth - ref: Trees in the Townscape - Trees & Design Action Group.	
Planning Policy	The proposal is for the development of 3.24 Hectares of Greenfield site that is currently occupied as recreational facilities for the local football team. The local road infrastructure consists of the B1022, connecting the Maldon and Heybridge areas with Great Totham. Additionally, an alternative site has been found for the relocation of Heybridge Swifts Football Club.	Noted, this response is combined with that as for application FUL/MAL/16/00154. It is clear that the development causes concerns for its connectivity to existing spaces in respect of what is to be considered by this
Planning Policy	Relevance of Application to the Maldon Local Development Plan: • Policy S2 - Included within the Maldon and Heybridge Strategic Allocations, the Heybridge Swifts site (S2(h)) will provide up to 101 units of allocated housing within the District. It will enable the redevelopment of the existing site and help facilitate the relocation of Heybridge Swifts FC to	application, and for the affordable housing the other application which will enable this development will be able to contribute. This is considered to be a substantive objection to this

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	more modern facilities. • Policy S3 - Within the Local Development Plan, a number of key points stated in Policy S3 highlight the necessary measures needed for communities to flourish and prosper. It is essential that there is a network of safe and usable pathways for pedestrians and cyclists. This will not only create sustainable public practices but will also enable social mobility in the Maldon and Heybridge area. The developer has stated a strong emphasis in the creation of new pedestrian and cycle links through the western boundary of the site to the adjacent residential estates and primary school. In addition, updated access links via Scraley Road will provide a safer route into the proposed development site for vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists. These mitigation measures comply with Policy S3, points 7, 8, 9 and 10. • Policy D5 - It is understood that the	application.
	proposed site is susceptible to surface water flooding and is categorised in flood zone 2 (medium probability). Although Policy D5 states developers must not increase flood risk on site or elsewhere, it also states development proposals within a flood risk area will need to demonstrate that flood risk will not be increased elsewhere. The developer has proposed a surface water strategy, which seeks to replicate the existing Greenfield run-off by returning rainfall run-off generated by the development to the ground. In addition, foul water drainage measures have been adopted in conjunction to existing foul sewers. • Policy H1 - The proposed development, by providing 30% affordable housing, is not consistent with the Maldon LDP. Policy H1 has indicated Sub-area Requirements within the District and has allocated 40% affordable housing to the strategic areas of Maldon,	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	Heybridge and Burnham-on-Crouch. It is outlined clearly within the Maldon LDP that the actual level of contribution will vary depending on the locality within the District as set out within the policy, reflecting local need and viability. Therefore if the developer can provide evidence to assure the Council that the required 40% affordable housing allocation is not a viable option, necessary amendments to the Affordable Housing level can be considered.	
	• Policy N3 - Policy N3 states that development should not increase existing deficiencies of open space, sports and leisure facilities in the locality. Proposals that do result in the loss of, or negative impact upon designated or proposed open spaces will only be considered where alternative and improved provisions can be created in the most appropriate and accessible location in the locality for existing and future users. Although the proposed site's fundamental purpose is a sport and leisure facility, it has been outlined there is an alternative site for which Heybridge Swifts FC will be relocated to. An assessment of the alternative site does however raise certain concerns. The locality of the new site is situated some distance from the original site, which will be predominantly accessible by vehicles. Considerations of the application will need to determine if sufficient pedestrian access to the new ground is provided in accordance with the aims and objectives of LDP Policy N3.	
	Policy T2 - To ensure that residents can access required services and facilities, the Council will seek to ensure all new developments are well connected to existing public transport routes. The new facility will be located on the B1026 Goldhanger road. Whilst access via car is available, there are limited	

Name of Internal Consultee	Comment	Officer Response
	alternative travel arrangements via pedestrian walkway. This contravenes a number of points of Policy T2, most notably point 2, 'development proposals must provide safe and direct walking and cycling routes to nearby services, facilities and public transport where appropriate.' This becomes most significant given the immediate situation regarding a new sports and leisure facility for Heybridge Swifts FC, where by the previous site was easily accessible for the Heybridge community via pedestrian footpaths.	
	Conclusions There are several areas of concern regarding policy matters drawn from the submitted LDP. 1. Accessibility to the new Heybridge Swifts FC via pedestrian footpaths has the potential to compromise a number of social aspects relating to the access to facilities as well as safety concerns. Without appropriate mitigation measures to ensure access to key services and facilities is available for all members of a community, some members of society will be excluded from using them due to a lack of vehicle ownership. Safety concerns are possible if facility users attempt to travel to the site via walking on road. 2. A provision of affordable housing at 30% does not conform to the required 40% outlined in the submitted LDP. Aforementioned, if the developer can provide evidence to illustrate the 40% allocation is not viable for the site, necessary amendments can be	
Emergency Planner	considered. A flood evacuation plan should be provided	Noted

7.4 Representations received from Interested Parties (summarised)

- 7.4.1 Letters were received **objecting** to the application from the following and the reasons for objection are summarised as set out in the table below:
 - A Hydes & J Howells 3 Park Mount Pool-in-Wharfedale Leeds
 - Geoff Abbott 28 Knights Basildon Essex
 - W Abrehart 23 Merryfield Leigh-on-Sea Essex
 - Mr & Mrs Aers 3 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
 - Mr R.E Ager 11A Roasebank Road West Mersea Colchester
 - Alister L. Aitken 8 The Stiles Heybridge Basin Maldon
 - Patrick Alford 16 Harestone Hill Caterham CR3 6SX
 - Cloud Alford 16 Harestone Hill Caterham CR3 6SX
 - G Allen Oak Farm Oak Farm Road Woodham Walter
 - John Allum 92 Milton Road Corringham Essex
 - Matthew Aly 13 Kingston Hill Avenue Romford Essex
 - George Anderson Springfield Nurseries Steeple Road Latchingdon
 - Mr R. A Anderton 63 Heywood Way Heybridge Maldon
 - Mr Wiliam Andrews Hylands Goldhanger Road Heybridge
 - Mrs B Andrews Hylands Goldhanger Road Heybridge
 - Steve Andrews 96 Wantz Road Maldon Essex
 - Nigel Angus Beech Hall Oak Avenue Crays Hill
 - Richard Anniss 19 The Leas Ingatestone Essex
 - George Antoniou 44 Pembroke Avenue Enfield Middlesex
 - Remy Antoniou 47 Stanley Road Chingford Essex
 - N Apostaudis 284 Southend Road Wickford Essex
 - N Archer 8 Southend Road Stanford-Le-Hope Essex
 - Ryan Archibald Lyngate 30 Rookery Lane Great Totham
 - Ben Ash 38 Jubilee Rise Danbury Chelmsford
 - Paul Aspinall 84 Moor Lane Cranham Upminster
 - Julie Atkinson 6 Strutt Close Hatfield Peverel Essex
 - Matt Attew 8 Tallis Road Basildon SS15 5QZ
 - Gemma Austin Charwood Equestrian Birds Farm Blacksmiths Lame
 - Dianne Averis 13 Truro Avenue Kingswood
 - W. J & L. M Bacon 164 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
 - A Badley 61 Victoria Avenue Grays Essex
 - Susan Bailey 3 Broad Mead South Hanningfield Chelmsford
 - Mr David Baker 3 Juilers Road Canvey Island SS8 7EW
 - M Baker 84 Thornford Gardens Southend-on-Sea Essex
 - Keith Baker 65 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Maldon
 - Mark Baker Flat 2 Home Farm Colchester Road

- Richard Banks Mallards Harfred Avenue Heybridge Basin
- R. H Banks Daisy Bungalow Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Sally Banks Mallards Harfred Avenue Heybridge Basin
- Stuart Banks National Trust Coggeshalll Grange Barn Grange Hill
- Barbara Barbrook 15 Ramsey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Mr J Barclay 2 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Essex
- Dan Barden 14 Tennyson Road Maldon Essex
- Clive Barnes 69 The Furlongs Ingatestone Essex
- Joe Barrow 21 Sedge Field Close Romford Essex
- Kevan Barry 3 Hay House St Marys Road Kelvedon
- Lee Barry 61 Young Road Custom House London
- Mr & Mrs C Bates 25 Whiston Avenue Ashmore Park Estate WV11 2QH
- Mr M Bates 1 Spring Meadow Walsall Staffordshire
- Paul Battagliola 30 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Nicholas Beckley 29 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Jacqueline Beckley 29 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Tony Bedding 34 St Georges Avenue Hornchurch Essex
- G Beeney 23 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Ann-Marie Beeney 23 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- M Behen 1 St Marys Lane North Ockendon Upminster
- Mr Bell C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Graham Bell C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Mrs G Bell 1 Sandpiper Close Heybridge Maldon
- A Bendall Hall Cotts Little Braxted CM8 3EU
- Kim Beney 15 Leighville Grove Leigh-on-Sea Essex
- Tony Benjamin 29 Balmoral Road Pilgrims Hatch Brentwood
- Terry Benjamin 29 Balmoral Road Pilgrims Hatch Brentwood
- G Bennet 20A Warwick Road Rayleigh
- C Bennett Little Warren Spring Elms Lane Little Baddow
- Mr David Berry 23 Summer Lane BRIXHAM TQ5 0DL
- R Berry Ridgeway Maldon Road Steeple
- Mrs Judy Betteridge The Cottage 3 Station Road Tolleshunt D'Arcy
- John W. Betteridge The Cottage 3 Station Road Tolleshunt D'Arcy
- Michael Bewes Clifton House Church Lane Lexden
- Molly Bhaduri 139 Holloway Road Heybridge Essex
- Julie Billingsley Ballakaneen Equestrian Centre Andreas Road Andreas
- Clive Bilney Woodstock Mock Road South Woodham Ferris
- R Birch 106 Heybridge Road Ingatestone Essex
- Graham Birch 44 Hunts Drive Writtle Chelmsford
- Joe Birch 44 Hunts Drive Writtle Chelmsford

- M. R Bird 22 Carlton Road Grays Essex
- Edward Bishop 8 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Mrs Janet Bishop 8 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Emily-Jayne Bishop 8 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Mr Ian Blackwell 36 Cranmer Road London E7 0JW
- John Boakes 77 Hastings Road Pembury Kent
- W Boley 109 Regent Road Brightlingsea Colchester
- Richard Bolton West Acre Trout Farm Westacre Kings Lynn
- Max Bond Glebe Barn Dennington Road Woodbridge
- P Booth C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Mr D Bowes Swatchways Runsell Green Danbury
- P.L Bowling 48 St John Street Woodbridge Suffolk
- Gary Boyce Mill Lodge Farm 15A Mill Road Great Ryburgal
- C Boyd 47 Brassey Avenue Eastbourne BN22 9QG
- Enid Bradford 9 Inverness Avenue Westcliff On Sea Essex
- Mr & Mrs M Bradley Paternoster House Barnhall Road Tolleshunt Knights
- Dave Bradshaw 142 Beechwood Close Colchester CO2 9QT
- Charlie Bragg 58A Main Road Danbury Essex
- Mitchell Branton 35 High Elms Road Hullbridge Essex
- Dr Mark Bray 22 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Sandra Bray 22 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Roy & Lynne Breaker-Rolfe 5 Walnut Tree Cottages Broads Green Great Waltham
- Brian Brewer 6 The Stiles Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Melanie & David Bridle 7 Maennes Crescent Brightlingsea CO1 0RX
- Mr & Mrs MD Briggs 50 Cherrytree Road Wales Sheffield
- Mr D Brindley 39 Partridge Green Pitsea Basildon
- Yvonne Broadhurst 14 Laburnham Gardens Grangham Essex
- D Brock Chelmer End Lock Hill Heybridge Basin
- Mrs P Brock Chelmer End Lock Hill Heybridge Basin
- Mr Neil Brodie 29 Williamsons Way Corringham Essex
- Brian Brooker 4 Hall Road Heybridge Maldon
- Ester Brooker 4 Hall Road Heybridge Maldon
- S. A Brown 17 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Mrs Valerie Brown 17 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- B Brown 31 Mayo Road Shipton-on-Stour CV36 4BH
- Gilbert Brown 10 Knight Street Sawbridgeworth Herts
- Mrs E J Brown 10 Knight Street Sawbridgeworth Herts
- Mr M.S Brown Plot E20 Barrow Marsh Caravan Site Goldhanger Road
- D Brown 32 Woodhurst Road Canvey Island Essex

- Lucas Brown Saltcote Lodge Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Eddie Brown 5 Pembroke Avenue Corringham Essex
- Mrs S Brown 15 Bromley Road Bristol BS7 9JA
- Christian Brown 12 Macbeth Close Colchester CO4 3SZ
- D Browning 15 Tylers Avenue Billericay Essex
- M.A Browwin 97 Cressing Road Braintree CM7 3PW
- Robbie Bryan 1 Mulberry Walk Tiptree Essex
- Lloyd Buckley 2 Bakersfield The Drive Mayland
- Jason Burrell Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road Heybridge
- R Burt Ramblings Cackle Street Brede
- Lee Bushell 13 Westhead Road Cookley Worcestershire
- EJ Butcher 1 Connaught Road Rayleigh Essex
- J. F Byam Shaw Drum Cottage 77 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Anthony Caccavale Pengelly Drapers Chase Heybridge
- Virginia Cain 3C Dane Road St Leonards On Sea TN38 0QU
- Mrs D Calton 12 Steeple Close Heybridge Maldon
- Mr M Calton 12 Steeple Close Heybridge Maldon
- Miss Harriet Calver 27 Sunnyside Close Norwich Norfolk
- Campaign To Protect Rural England RCCE House Threshelfords Park Inworth Road
- Mr Leigh Campbell 12 Seaview Avenue Vange Basildon
- Lee Campbell 122 Keeble Way Braintree Essex
- Jean Campbell Cockett 27 Lawling Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- Deborah Cannon 63 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Martin Canter 21 Ipswich Gr Norwich NR2 2LU
- Margaret Canter 21 Ipswich Gr Norwich NR2 2LU
- T Carding 20 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Essex
- Mike Carding 63 Wagtail Drive Heybridge Maldon
- Graham Carey 30 Waunci Crescent Gorleston-On-Sea Great Yarmouth
- Matthew Caryl 10 Firbank Road Romford Essex
- M H Case 30 The Spinnaker South Woodham Ferris CM3 5GL
- Mr R Cassidy 5 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Jane Cassidy 5 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Earl Catchpole 22 Blakeney Close Norwich NR4 7QP
- Charlie Caulfield 7 Golf Ride Benfleet Essex
- Karen Chalkley Barrow Marsh Caravan Park Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Mr David Chalkley 22 Brocks Mead Great Easton CM6 2HR
- Steve Chambers 105 Sunneydon Basildon Essex
- S Chaplin 3 Nevendon Road Wickford Essex
- A Chatton 2 Lophams Close Haverhill Suffolk

- Daniel Chick 1 Plover Road Whittlesey Peterborough
- Ricki Chiddicks 86 Saxon Gardens Southend-On-Sea Essex
- Mr M.G & Mrs S.A Childs 104 Wood Road Heybridge Maldon
- Ian Christopher 9 Doubleday Gardens Bocking Braintree
- P Churchman 155 Heywood Way Heybridge Maldon
- Lorna Citron 35 Kingwell Road Hadley Wood Barnet
- John Clapp 8 Roslyn Gardens Gidea Park Romford
- Kelly Clark 69 Bryony Close Witham CM8 2XE
- James Clark 11 Ballingdon Gardens Sudbury Suffolk
- R. J Clark 28 Snow Drop Close Springfield Chelmsford
- Mr R Clark 6 Coscrove Ave Leigh-on-Sea Essex
- Dawn Clark 11 Winifred Road Basildon SS13 3JG
- Jack Cleary 31 Runnyhede Road Stanford-Le-Hope
- AJ Cleave 3 Trinity Close West Mersea Essex
- Louise Clements 8 Tallis Road Basildon Essex
- Geraldine Clow 64 Bemerton Gardens Frinton-On-Sea Essex
- Nigel Clow 64 Bemerton Gardens Frinton-On-Sea Essex
- Theo Clowes 10 Andrews Close Buckhurst Hill Essex
- Jeff & Kay Coe Tara Tan Lake Lt Clacton
- J Coldron 8 Sheldroke Drive Ipswich Suffolk
- Paul Coldrow Flat 1 Woodrose Lodge Lupin Drive Chelmsford
- John Cole 26 Tamage Road Acton Sudbury
- Lewis Cole 68 Gordan Road Basildon Essex
- Ian Cole 31 Victoria Avenue Grays RM16 2RL
- Tom Collings 41 Coopers Avenue Heybridge Essex
- David Collins 43A Fairleigh Drive Leigh-on-Sea SS9 2HZ
- J Connelly 2 The Grove Barham Canterbury
- B Cook 17 Pelican Close Ipswich IP2 9NX
- Jeremy Cook 24 Kensington Road Colchester Essex
- J Coombe 2 Chestnut Way Tiptree Essex
- Denis Cooper 16 Mayfields Martlesham Heath Ipswich
- P Cooper Flat 7 10 Southwood Smith Street
- R Copanas 7 Rainsford Way Hornchurch Essex
- A Corbett 17 Fernlea Road Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Gary & Maddie Corder Longley Hillcrest Road Kingsdown
- Paul Cornwell 37 Lottern Road Canvey Island Essex
- Joanne Cornwell 37 Lottern Road Canvey Island Essex
- Mrs B.M Cotterill 10 The Stiles Heybridge Basin Essex
- Mr W.H Cotterill 10 The Stiles Heybridge Basin Essex
- Yvonne Couch 11 Venette Close Rainham Essex

- Adam Cowan 16 Hillside Road South Benfleet Essex
- E Cox 68 Stanley Road Hornchurch Essex
- B Cracknell 13 John Street Brightlingsea Essex
- Peter Crane Quay Cottage North Quay Hill Newquay
- Nicole Crane PO BOX 21 Herring Neck Newfound Lord
- Mr & Mrs Crome 489 Mill Beach Caravan Park Heybridge
- Paul Cross 12 Colville Close Corringham Essex
- Harry Crossley 109 Lake Rise Romford RM1 4EF
- Tony Cudby Richmond House 33 Coopers Avenue Heybridge
- A.J Cussen The Poplars Mill End Bradwell-On-Sea
- Tina Cutler Dorp House Maldon Road Goldhanger
- Mr & Mrs Daniels 46 Calle Onda Rojales 03170
- Mrs Davey 27 Limbourne Drive Heybridge Maldon
- Kay Davey Ridgeway Maldon Road CM0 7RT
- Dean Daveys 36A Parker Way Halstead Essex
- Ashley Davies Blue Jay Way Rayleigh Essex
- Lynne Davis 119 Woodfield Cottages Heybridge Maldon
- John Davis 34 Church Lane Lincoln LN3 4AB
- Sue Dawson 11 Nash Close Lawford Manningtree
- Jorden Day 17 Camellia Court Conifer Close Colchester
- Mrs Margaret Day 62A Victoria Road Maldon Essex
- Mitchell Day 7 Bery Avenue Canvey Island Essex
- Mrs C De Bolla 52 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Mr S De Bolla 52 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Mr & Mrs P Dearing 21 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Essex
- Martin Dezille 40 Beech Walk Crayford Kent
- Mr Tom Dixon Merchants House Wapping Road Bristol
- Mr G Dixon 5 Rose Terrace Waddesdon Aylesbury
- Mrs J Dixon 5 Rose Terrace Waddesdon Aylesbury
- L Dixon Wyelands Farm Shop Maldon Road CO5 0QA
- Mrs Anna Dodsley 7 Foxwood Gardens Dunmow Road Little Canfield
- Donald Wright C/O The Society For Sailing Barge Research 3 Saltcote Cottages Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- S Dorman 29 Horsley Cross Basildon Essex
- Harry Douvaitzidis 13 Trure Avenue Kingswood
- Jack Dower 24 Langley Drive Wansted E11 2LL
- Jason Downs 81 Hillary Close Heybridge Essex
- Ross Downs 17A Walnut Tree Drive Tiptree Essex
- Shane Drake 186A Cressing Road Braintree Essex
- Toby Drake 8 Hillwood Grove Wickford Essex

- Mandy Duggan 30 Steeple Close Heybridge Essex
- Kate Dumycz 27 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- Tony Dumycz 27 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- Mr & Mrs Dunn A8 Barrow Marsh Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Mr & Mrs P Dunsford 135 Whitemore Avenue Grays Essex
- S Dyer 64 Lawling Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- F Dyer 64 Lawling Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- David Dyke 39 Norfolk Road Maldon Essex
- Jack Dykes 1 Falkland Green Post Office Road Woodham Mortimer
- Matthew Eid 18 Erriff Drive South Ockendon Essex
- Richard Elliot 12 Church Road Idmiston Salisbury
- Mr Michael Emptage Kingfisher Lodge 26 The Colliers Heybridge Basin
- Mr Aaron Emptage Kingfisher Lodge 26 The Colliers Heybridge Basin
- Mrs Sandra Emptage Kingfisher Lodge 26 The Colliers Heybridge Basin
- Laura Epps 51A Mill Road Maldon Essex
- Mrs Thelma Etchells Boucherne 30 Holloway Road Heybridge
- Mr John Evans 5 Lavender Close Tiptree Essex
- N F Evans 25 Elms Farm Road Hornchurch RM12 5RH
- Sarah Everiss Square Cottage Chapel Lane Heybridge Basin
- R Eyles 4C Westman Road Canvey Island Essex
- Michael Faly 19 Shelduck Crescent Braintree Essex
- Terry Farrant 2 Shortlands Wilton Salisbury
- Stephen Farrant 50 Boscombe Avenue Grays Essex
- Diane Farrow Barrow Marsh Caravan Park Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- M. J Fennell 24 Lenthall Avenue Grays Essex
- V Fifield 99A Claude Road London E10 6NF
- Peter & Ann Fisher 17 Weybridge Walk North Shoebury Essex
- Mrs Kirstin Fitheridge 6 Woolmers Way Chelmsford CM3 0DA
- D Fitzpatrick 21 Les Bois Layer-de-la-Haye Colchester
- Kelvin Fleuty 16 St Giles Close Maldon Essex
- E Flowerdew 6 Green Lane Roxwell CM1 4NA
- T Flowergarden 6 Green Lane Roxwell CM1 4NA
- Daniel Flowers 18 Acer Grove Ipswich Suffolk
- Gavin Foakes 55 Orchard Road Maldon Essex
- Karen Forbes 88 Station Road Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Patrick Forsyth 28 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Mr Thomas Foster C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- S J Foster 2 Cobden Walk Pitsea Basildon
- Mrs J Foulds 20 Wharf Road Heybridge Essex
- Chris Fountain 123 Campkin Road Cambridge CB4 2NP

- Matt Franks 11 Wulvesford Road Witham Essex
- Iain Fraser Stanford House The Street Woodham Ferrers
- W Freeman 1 Claydon Close Castle Lamps Cambridge
- Stephen French 37 Wimpole Road Colchester Essex
- S Frost 76 Wagtail Drive Heybridge Maldon
- Vanessa Frost Courtmans Ulting Road Hatfield Peverel
- Mrs J Fullalove 188 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- Mr Ray Fullalove 188 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- Daryl Fuller 21 Norman Close St Osyth Essex
- C. W Furlong 21 Fir Tree Walk Heybridge Maldon
- Margaret Fyfe Mayfield Farm Wyberton Boston
- Martin Gadd Apple Tree Cottage Crays Hill Road Billericay
- Ray Gafney Veronique 66 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Mrs Anne Gallagher 21 Cromwell Lane Maldon Essex
- Mitchell Garner 53 Tring Gardens Romford Essex
- Mr R Gawsburhler 91 Bodmin Road Chelmsford Essex
- Laimis Gedvilas 118 Keeble Way Braintree Essex
- George Paul Company Secretary To Suffolk Horse Society Suite 8 Britannia House
- Lewis Gibbons 114 Ferry Road
- Brandon Gibson 81 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- David Gibson Handsel House Walden Close Great Totham
- Nicky Gildersleve 18 Heaton Way Romford Essex
- John Giles Devonia Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Mr Giles 65 Fairholme Avenue Gidea Park Romford
- C Gill 30 Newbridge Road Tiptree Colchester
- Mrs Gill 11 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- Mr S Gledhill 54 Bucklebury Heath South Woodham Essex
- G Glover 1 The Barns The Lowe Wern
- Marc Godice 24 Asquith Gardens Benfleet Essex
- Mrs J.M Goodhead 15 Maritime Avenue Heybridge Basin Essex
- A Goodman 8 Griffin Avenue Upminster Greater London
- J Goodson 16 Islinglass Mews West Street Coggeshall
- D Gould 141 Woodside Leigh-on-Sea SS9 4RD
- Mollie Graham 91 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- Mr N Graham 12 Martrin Road Ipswich IP2 8BJ
- Barry Graves 138 Little Wakering Road Little Wakering Essex
- Christian Gray 1 Meeson Meadows Maldon Essex
- Jason Green 61A Palfrey Heights Brantham Manningtree
- Ron Green Pond House 54 Seaview Avenue Mersea Island

- David Green 19 Suffolk Avenue West Mersea Colchester
- Patricia & Keith Greenhalgh 5 Spinnaker Drive Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Matthew Gregory 23 Hollydrive Chingford E4 7NG
- Mr Mark Grimwade 32 Church Street Tollesbury Maldon
- James Gulleford 149B Maldon Road Tiptree CO5 0PN
- Darren Guy 30 East Hill Colchester CO1 2QX
- Julie HIll 32 Wentworth Meadows Maldon Essex
- Simon Hagger 2 Harringson Mead Chelmsford Essex
- Craig Hailstone 13 Heywood Way Heybridge Maldon
- Simon Hales 37 Bourne Avenue Laindon Essex
- Mrs V Haley Ivy Cottage 83 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Jodie Hall 20 Botelers Basildon SS16 5SD
- Darren Hall 3 Sandmartin Crescent Colchester Essex
- Kallie Hall 101 The Fremnells Basildon Essex
- D Hallam 11 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- Lorraine Hallam 11 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- Mr Graham Hamilton 6 Epsom Close Billericay Essex
- Harry Hammond 6 Dudley Road Harold Hill RM3 3LH
- Adam Hankin 4 Frinton Road Romford Essex
- Mr Simon Hannon 9 Hornet Way Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Jayne Hare Kontiki 16 North Drive Mayland
- Jack Hare The Warren Post Office Lane Little Totham
- Jack Hare The Warren Post Office Lane Little Totham
- David Hare The Warren Post Office Lane Little Totham
- Mitchell Harrington 14 Sandpiper Close Heybridge CM9 4TH
- Mrs Patricia Harris 19 Maritime Avenue Heybridge Basin Maldon
- M. W Harris 19 Maritime Avenue Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Ken Harris 38 Munster Gardens Palmers Green N13 5DT
- D Harris 64 Paxfords Laindon
- Stephen Harrison 32 Stanley Rise Chelmer Village Chelmsford
- Ivor Harrison Miranda Cottage Lock Hill Heybridge Basin
- Mrs E Hart 188 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- Mr C Hart 188 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- Mr & Mrs Hart 27 Deben Tilbury RM18 8RG
- Matt Hawkins 12 Dorset Road Maldon Essex
- Luke Haws 17 Chestnut Walk Witham CM8 2PR
- Patricia Hay 51 Mill Lane Chelmsford Essex
- Mr M Hay 75 Barley Croft Harlow
- Mrs D Hay 24 Morningtons Harlow Essex
- Mr A D Hay 24 Morningtons Harlow Essex

- Mrs T Hay 75 Barleycroft Harlow Essex
- Mr Neil Hay 51 Mill Lane Chelmsford Essex
- David Hayhurst 31 Belmont Drive Burn Lanes
- Barbara Hayhurst 31 Belmont Drive Burnt Lanes
- P Haylock 37 Charlottes Washbrook Ipswich
- Mr Hazel 91 Holloway Road Heybridge Essex
- Mrs VL Hazell Wayside Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- P R Heath 59 Harman Road Enfield Middlesex
- Tim Hett 12 Roding Court Braintree Essex
- Ross Heubner 8 Spinnaker Drive Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Bruce Heubner 8 Spinnaker Drive Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Julie Heubner 8 Spinnaker Drive Heybridge Basin Maldon
- William Heubner 8 Spinnaker Drive Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Mike Heylin The Angling Trust And Fish Legal Eastwood House 6 Rainbow Street
- Kathryn Hill 99A Claude Road London E10 6NF
- Russell Hill Trout Fisherman Magazine Bauer Media Ltd Lynch Wood Business Park
- Mrs M Hillman 12 Heynes Way Dovercourt CO12 3YA
- Jo Hills 10 Anchor Lane Heybridge Essex
- M Hirst 62 Abbey Road Hullbridge Essex
- Perry Hirst 62 Abbey Road Hullbridge Essex
- Ryan Hirst 62 Abbey Road Hullbridge Essex
- Vanessa Hodges Lake View Cottage Minnow End Great Waltham
- A Hodges Lake View Cottage Minnow End Great Waltham
- A Holder 17 Shelley Road Chelmsford Essex
- Paul Holland 8 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- C Hollington Waterways Harfred Avenue Heybridge Basin
- Chris Holmes 324A Station Road Westcliff-on-Sea Essex
- Glenn Hood 102 Windermere Avenue Hullbridge Essex
- B Hook-Child 19 Greenfield Houses Birch Colchester
- F Hopper 180 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- S Hopper 180 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- Rob Horton Flat 5 Springbok House Holcroft Way Chelmsford
- Mrs Vanessa Howie Wicks Manor Cottage Witham Road Tolleshunt Major Maldon
- Mrs Huckle 64 Longacre Chelmsford Essex
- Richard Huett 1A Falcon Fields Fambridge Road Maldon
- Matthew Hunt 24 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Janice Hunter Holmlea Main Street Flixton
- A Hutchison Bray Cottage Wycke Hill Maldon

- M & J Inkster 2 The Boarhouse Waterside Brightlingsea
- James Irvine 3 Cherry Garden Road Maldon Essex
- Mr S Irving 299 High Street Great Wakering Essex
- C.M Ives 32 Stainer Close Witham Essex
- Geoffrey Jackson 26 Richmond Avenue Southend On Sea SS3 9JG
- Sean Jackson Lambton And Jackson Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Gary Jackson Alouetta 190 Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Janet Jackson Alouetta 190 Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Laura James 9 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Trevor James 3 Roots Lane Wickham Bishops Essex
- Alastair James 9 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- J.M James 3 Roots Lane Wickham Bishops Essex
- J James 211 East Wood Road Rayleigh SS6 7LE
- Mrs Nora Jan Sunnybank 21 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Troy Jan Sunnybank 21 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Louis Jaques Oaklea Leighams Road Bicknacre
- Sydney Jaques 2 Elysian Gardens Tollesbury Maldon
- R Jenkins 28 Cranley Road Westcliff-on-Sea Essex
- Peter Jennings 1 Orchard Avenue Rainham Essex
- Stephen Jennings Strathmore 123 Holloway Road Heybridge
- Doreen Jerome 4 Green Lane Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Joe Jess 60 Mornington Road Canvey Island SS8 8BB
- Jill Doubtfire And Derek Fox 1 Chapel Lane Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Lee Johnson 99 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Patricia Johnson The White House Colchester Road Layer Breton
- T Johnson 124 Nipsells Chase Chelmsford Essex
- Andrew Jolley 7 Milton Crescent Ongar Essex
- Elizabeth Jones Flatt 11 Deed House 21 London Road Maldon
- Trevor Jones 7 Auriel Avenue Dagenham Essex
- Tom Jones Birds End Brixton Lane Rickling Green
- Richard Jones 85 Barling Road Great Wakering Southend-on-Sea
- Dennis Jones 15 Cadiz Road Dagenham RM10 8XL
- Mark Joslin 25 Fifth Avenue Shotgate Wickford
- Karen Juby Saltcote Hall Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- B.R Keeble 15 Elmstead Road Wivenhoe Essex
- Claire Keys Rohan Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Dianne Keys 26 Tiptree Crescent Ilford IG5 0SZ
- Lewis Kimmence 9 Tarragon Close Tiptree Essex
- Lauren King Plot C18 Barrow Marsh Caravan Site Goldhanger Road Maldon
- P King Plot C25 Barrow Marsh Caravan Park Goldhanger Road Haybridge

- Donna King 44 Fitch's Crescent Maldon Essex
- C King 63 Wagtail Drive Heybridge Maldon
- Mrs J King 51 The Street Latchingdon Essex
- S King 11 Gilderdale Close Colchester CO4 0NL
- Matthew Kingston Managing Director Mill Beach Seaside Resort Goldhanger Road
- Adam Kinsuille-LLoyd 81 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- Hazell Kinsuille-Lloyd 81 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Maldon
- Geoff Kirby 84 Foytaine Avenue Romford Essex
- Julian Kirby 17 Brook Square Maldon CM9 6DS
- Andy Kness 9 Malda Way London E4 7SL
- Evelyn Knighting 36 Holroyd Road Claygate Surrey
- Ricky Knott 43 Frahorshoe Crescent Shoeburyness Essex
- Mike Lake 15 Redcliffe Road Chelmsford
- Graham Lake 20 Ash Grove Heybridge Essex
- J Lamb Saffrons Church Road Hockley
- Kevin Lapwood 75 Hillary Close Maldon CM9 4LB
- Caroline Large 18 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Rob Large 18 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Allen Lattier C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- S. M Lawrence 2 The Stiles Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Walter Layzell 174 High Street Great Wakering S-o-S
- R Lead Poplars Laxfield Road Stradbroke
- Rebecca Leader 15 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Tony Leahy 65 Renfrew Close London E6 5PG
- Mark Lennon Manor Road Stanford-Le-Hope
- L Levins Barrow Marsh Caravan Park Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- R Levins Barrow Marsh Caravan Park Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Ron Lewis 24 Welland Close Crowborough TN6 3BF
- Nathan Linfield 182 Ambleside Drive Southend-on-Sea SS1 2UF
- Mrs A Linton 32 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Mr J. W Linton 32 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- R. C Little 2 Fir Tree Walk Heybridge Maldon
- Mr D. H Little 83 Cedar Chase Heybridge Maldon
- S. M Little 2 Fir Tree Walk Heybridge Maldon
- Mrs M Lloyd 24 Waltham Road Rayleigh Essex
- Robert Arthur Loader 15 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Jane Loader 155 Whirlowdale Road Sheffield S7 2NG
- Matt Loader 155 Whirlowdale Road Sheffield S7 2NG
- Colleen & John Long 28 Cedar Close Walton-on-the-Naze Essex

- Brown Louise Saltcote Lodge Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Mr M Loveridge Old Mill End Cottage Mill End Bradwell-On-Sea
- John Lowe 123 Woodfield Cottages Heybridge Essex
- Philip Luke Box Lodge Manse Chase Maldon
- F Macdonald 34 Birchdale Gardens Chadwell Heath Essex
- N Macdonald 34 Birchdale Gardens Chadwell Heath Essex
- G Macdonald 34 Birchdale Gardens Chadwell Heath Essex
- Mr & Mrs Macdonald 21 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- J Mackenzie 3 Gainsborough Court Burkitts Lane Sudbury
- Tim Madelin Square Cottage Chapel Lane Heybridge Basin
- Jack Maison 14 Red Admiral Court Romford RM3 7FA
- Major Pine C/o Andrew Wager Unit H2 Beckingham Business Park Tolleshunt Major
- Colin Mansell 21 Ramsey Close Heybridge Essex
- Elaine Mansell 21 Ramsey Close Heybridge Essex
- S Mapes 20 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Essex
- Mark Stevens C/O Dovetail Architects Suite 4 First Floor Clocktower House
- Mr & Mrs Wager C/o Mark Stevens MD For Dovetail Architects Ltd Suite 4 Clocktower House Horndon Industrial Park
- J Marshall 17 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Maldon
- R. F Marshall 92 Squirrels Health Lane Hornchurch RM11 2DZ
- R Marshall 92 Squirrel Heath Lane Hornchurch Essex
- T L R Marshall 17 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Essex
- Peter Marven Dabchicks 22 Wharf Road Heybridge
- N Marven Dabchicks 22 Wharf Road Heybridge
- Joyce Massett Barrow Marsh Heybridge CM9 4RA
- Cliff Matthews 52 Olive Avenue Leigh-On-Sea Southend-On-Sea
- Hannah And Craig Mayes 28 Pryors Road Galleywood Chelmsford
- Ricky Mayson 53 Tolbut Court Romford RM1 2DY
- H McCarthy 36 Queens Terrace London E13 9AL
- Joe McDonald 66 The Willows Colchester Co2 8PX
- Ian McDonald 14 Haslemere Road Bexleyheath Kent
- Craig McGregor 3A Harmsworth Street London SE17 3TJ
- Leonie McIntosh The Thatched Cottage Whelford Fairford
- Marty McKenzie 53 Hill House Drive Chadwell St Mary
- Luke McKenzie 3 Lever Square Grays Essex
- Samantha McNamara 9 Greenwell Road Witham CM8 1SY
- Mrs C McPherson Rose Cottage 87 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- C. R Mead 34 Sandhill Road Leigh-on-Sea SS9 5BX
- Esmee Meddle 30 Marine Avenue Leigh-on-Sea Essex

- Mr & Mrs A Melbourne 16 Four Oaks Park Eye Road Brome
- Trent Mellor 9 Penrith Road Ilford Essex
- Mr Daniel Mellvish 68 Welcome Avenue Dartford DA1 5JW
- Irene Mess South View Allendale Northumberland
- Douglas Mess Southview Thorrley Gate Allendale
- Graham Michie 30 Spring Lane Balderton NEWARK
- M Middlebrook 3 Chapel Road Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Mrs A Middleton 31 Steeple Close Heybridge Maldon
- Peter Milner 27 Emblems Great Dunmow Essex
- Barbara Mitchell 61 Southwold Road Wrentham Beccles
- J.G & S. Mitchell Woodmans Well Wick Lane Woolage Green
- Laurentiu Mitroi 15 Thornwood Road Epping Essex
- Jennifer Moll 31 Runnacles Street Silver End Witham
- Joe Mollion 76 Wood Road Heybridge Maldon
- D Mongor 41 Langdale Dive Colchester CO4 9TU
- T Moore School House Feering Essex
- Robert Moore 7 Ingram's Piece Ardleigh Colchester
- Paul More 3 Genotin Mews Hornchurch Greater London
- Lee Morgan 1 Melbourne Chase Colchester CO2 8UE
- Mr Paul Morgan Brook Lodge Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Bob Morris 35 Sweet Briar Road Stanway Colchester
- J Morris 3 Poplar Close Witham Essex
- Mr Cyril Morris 41 Blackbush Avenue Chadwell Heath RM6 5TU
- Nicola Morris 16 Wharf Road Heybridge Essex
- Pamela Morton Hope Cottage 98 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Janet Moss 12 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Andrew Moss 12 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Maldon
- David Moss 12 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Maldon
- C A Moss 34 Eastern Road Burnham-on-Crouch CM0 8BT
- N Mullinder 24 Steeple Close Heybridge Essex
- Nuala Murphy Bridge Bungalow Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Ben Murphy 21 Linley Crescent Collier Row Romford
- Dana Murton Kedge Cottage Lock Hill Heybridge Basin
- Liam Nee 12 Harebell Way Romford Essex
- Warren Neicho 124B Maybank Road South Woodford E18 1EQ
- J Neicho 42 Hathaway Gardens Romford RM6 5TL
- Alex Neophytoo 101 Lower Road Hullbridge Essex
- Mr Michael Newberry 61 Overton Road Benfleet Essex
- Mrs Anita Newberry 61 Overton Road Benfleet Essex
- Mr Chris Newenham Bounds Farm Fish Street Goldhanger Maldon

- Roger Newlyn 7 Hopewell Drive Gravesend Kent
- Mrs S Nichols 14 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Essex
- Chris Nichols Blackwater Sailing Club Basin Road Heybridge
- Keith Nicholson 8 Walton Road Tonbridge Kent
- Sybil Nightingale Flat 11 Helene House 25 Vandome Close
- Kevin Norman 8 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Essex
- Wendy Norman 19 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Richard Norman 19 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Callum Norman Cashel Church Road Braintree
- Charlie Norris 4 Hall Road Heybridge Maldon
- Beverley Northwood 18 Wambrook Southend On Sea SS3 8BW
- K Noyce Sukarita Drapers Chase Heybridge
- S Noyce Sukarita Drapers Chase Heybridge
- Jennifer O'Kane 23 Curlew Close Heybridge Maldon
- John O'Keiffe 16 The Glen Vange Basildon
- J Ockendon 10 Wimarc Crescent Rayleigh Essex
- Lydia Olley 16 Longship Way Maldon Essex
- Mr Tim Olley 16 Longship Way Maldon Essex
- Alex Olley 19 Longship Way Maldon Essex
- Michelle Olley 16 Longship Way Maldon Essex
- S Orchard 25 Regimental Way Dovercourt Essex
- A Osborne 2 Cherry Trees Ingatestone
- Craig Ottley 15 Crown Aveune Basildon Essex
- R Painter 3 Cornfields South Woodham Ferrers CM3 5UD
- Mr T A Palmer 38 Coopers Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Elizabeth Palmer 38 Coopers Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Mrs G. M Palmer 38 Coopers Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- G A Papworth 27 Hillie Bunnies Earls Clone Colchester
- R E Parckar Rural & Leisure Dawley House Ashburton Industrial Estate
- Brandon Parish 2 South House Chase Mundon Road Maldon
- Samantha Parker 29 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Danny Parker 113 Danbury Cress South Ockendon Essex
- S Parker 41 Bennett Road Chadwell Heath RM6 6ER
- A Parker 42 Nelson Road Rayleigh Essex
- B. M Parker 42 Nelson Road Rayleigh SS6 8HB
- Miss Harriet Parker 12 Martin Road Ipswich Suffolk
- Alan Parlour 1 Wadley Close Tiptree CO5 0SL
- Anthony Parrott 55 Stanley Road Hornchurch Essex
- J Paternostro Finkenweg 25 69214 Eppelheim-Heidelberg
- Silvia Paternostro Finkenweg 25 69214 Eppleheim-Heidelberg

- G Paterson 12 Pearmain Way Stanway Colchester
- Diana Patterson 7 Mill Lane Frisby On Wreake LE14 2NN
- Michael Patterson 7 Mill Lane Frisby On Wreake LE14 2NN
- Mrs Ann Payne Devonia Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Matthew Pecoravo 4 Digby Walk Hornchurch Essex
- Mark Penny 17 Blind Lane Goldhanger Essex
- R.H Perrin Cramond 12 Marsh Road Burnham-On-Crouch
- P Petchey 128 Blythe Avenue Shoeburyness Essex
- D M Peters 165 Fambridge Road Maldon Essex
- Sarah Pethurst 16 Montfort Court Haverhill Suffolk
- Petition C/o Chigborough Fisheries Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road Heybridge
- Petition C/o JD Barlow 1 Grouts Farm Cottages Kelvedon Road Tolleshunt D'Arcy
- Michael Petryszyw 193 Norwich Road Ipswich
- Mr Lloyd Phillips Bridge Bungalow Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Mr Graham Phillips Birchwood House St Stephens Road Cold Norton
- Barbara Phillips Birchwood House St Stephens Road Cold Norton
- Ms J Phillips 36 King Street Maldon Essex
- Mrs Lyndsey Phillips 22 Catchpole Lane Great Totham Essex
- M.J Phillis Godfreys Farmhouse Sheepcoates Lane Little Totham
- J.S Phillis Godfreys Farmhouse Sheepcoates Lane Little Totham
- Chris Pluck 2 Lyndsey Court Sycamore Road Great Cornard
- P Polden 95 Wagtail Drive Heybridge Maldon
- Susan E Polden Pilot Cottage 85 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Jason Polley 104 Cross Road Maldon Essex
- John Poppleswell 4 Tudor Close Clacton-on-Sea CO15 2PR
- Dr Ruth Pottinger 1 Steeple Close Heybridge Maldon
- Danny Pottle 175 Swan Lane Wickford SS11 7DG
- A Potts 23 Temple Way Heybridge Maldon
- Michael Powell 331 South Street Romford Essex
- Danny Powers 75 Hill Close Maldon
- Mr G Pratt Newton Tiles House Lane Great Horkesley
- Michael Preston 18 Victoria Avenue Rayleigh SS6 9BT
- Susan Preston 18 Victoria Avenue Rayleigh SS6 9BT
- Oliver Prin 19 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Sue Prin 19 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Maldon
- G Prior 18 Fennel Close Tiptree CO5 0TF
- Christine Prior 18 Fennell Close Tiptree Essex
- Paul Probyn 11 Thirft Wood Bicknacre Chelmsford

- Mrs Irene Procter 31 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- PJ & SJ Property Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road Heybridge
- Tessa Proudfoot 106A Lexden Road West Bergholt Colchester
- Keith Prout 7 Estuary View Road Dawesuille Western Australia
- Mr & Mrs Pugh 79 Boulton Close Weymouth DT4 9UY
- Ashley Purcell 22 Walter Way Silver End Essex
- J Purse Mill Drove Farm Mill Drive Sohovn
- Charlotte Quick Flat 9 Apen Green 543 Ashingdon Road SS4 3HX
- R. J Oakley & P.T Murphy 4 Bower Gardens Maldon Essex
- Mr Peter J Radford 1 Chigborough Road Heybridge Maldon
- Peter Radford 1 Chigborough Road Heybridge Maldon
- Peter Radley 18 Ellesmere Road Canvey Island Essex
- Ms L Radley 19 Fenn Road Halstead CO9 2HQ
- Wayne Radley 49 Chestnut Way Tiptree Essex
- David Ramsey 16 Hawthorne Gardens Hockley Essex
- M.C Read 136 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- Mr Dean Reed 121 Durant Court Chelmsford CM1 6YR
- Julia Reeve 1 Redshank Drive Heybridge Maldon
- Carole & Terry Reeve 42 Temple Way Rayleigh Essex
- Kathryn Revitt Ballaseyr Stud Limited Ballaseyr Stables Andreas Road
- M. G Reynolds 31 Whitethom Gardens Enfield EN2 6HF
- Mrs L Ribbits 2 Drapers Cottages Drapers Chase Heybridge
- Katie Rice 4 Richmond Mews Richmond Road Seaford
- Nigel Richard 1 All Saints Avenue Colchester Essex
- Margaret Richards 42 Tunbridge Road Southend-on-Sea SS2 6LT
- Matt Richards 26 Burnham Road Leigh-On-Sea Essex
- Darren Richardson 52 Cedar Walk Acton CO10 0UP
- Nancy Richardson Plumeria House Cock Road Little Maplestead
- W Ridgers 8 Hambro Close Rayleigh Essex
- Mrs Harriet Rigby 36 Shepherds Mount Compton Berkshire
- Kristine Rivnaja 24 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Adam Roache 34 Mayland Close Heybridge Maldon
- Mr Noel Robel 49 Warwich Road Rainham Essex
- T Roberts C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- S Robertson 28 Goirle Avenue Canvey Island Essex
- Lee Robertson 40 Boyce View Drive Benfleet Essex
- Mr & Mrs G Robinson 63 Rolfe Crescent Nether Hayford Northampton
- A Robson 2A Park Drive Wickford SS12 9DH
- D. A Ross 11C Douglas Road Clacton-on-Sea CO15 3JN
- Ben Rothwell 16 Cherry Orchard Southminster Essex

- Ronnie Rowe 223 Fambridge Road Maldon Essex
- P Rowe 14 Hilton Road Canvey Island Essex
- Mrs Rowland Harding Drapers Bungalow Drapers Chase Heybridge
- Fred Ruck Eva's Garden Mount Lodge Chase Great Totham
- Matt Russell 218 The Vista Eitham London SE9 5RN
- Dave Ryan 39 Third Avenue Chelmsford Essex
- Rachel Ryan 14 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- Anthony Sabin 68 Nayling Road Braintree Essex
- Stephen Sach 37 Cedar Road Chadwelll Grays
- Christopher Salmon 97 Heywood Way Heybridge Essex
- Mrs Samantha Tallowin C/o Chigborough Fisheries Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road Heybridge
- Mr D Sanderson 103 Queens Road Southend-On-Sea SS1 1PX
- P Sargeant 11 New Avenue Langdon Hills Essex
- Miss Alison Saunders 47 Harebridge Crescent Ingatestone CM4 9DR
- B Saunders 10 Orchard Cottages Westergate Street Woodgate
- Ryan Saville Gardeners Bungalow Mowden Hall Lane Hatfield Peverel
- Mich Scholes 35 Bourne Avenue Laindon Basildon
- Mark Scofield 31 Runsell View Danbury Essex
- Mr R. W Searl 4 Ramsey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Mrs A. H Searl 4 Ramsey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Miss A. E Searl 4 Ramsey Close Heybridge Maldon
- P.J Searl Windrush 64 Holloway Road Heybridge
- K Searl Windrush 64 Holloway Road Heybridge
- Dr Emma Sharp Cedar Cottage, Thornbush Lane Earl Stonham, Stowmarket
- Mr Mark Shaw 10 Walnut House Barge Lane London
- Barbara Shaw 77 Basin Road Heybridge Basin CM9 4RW
- Christopher Shawyer 111 Belgrave Road Leigh On Sea Essex
- Robert Shields 53 Parsonage Road Rainham Essex
- K Shrimpton 1 Lawlinge Road Latchingdon Essex
- R Sidebottom 57 Wentworth Road Pewistone Nr Sheffield
- Mary Simmons 5 Long Common Heybridge Maldon
- M Simmons Riverton Harfred Avenue Heybridge Basin
- C Sinton 20 Lovelace Avenue Southend-on-Sea SS1 2QU
- Gary Skeels 9 The Close Kingsley Lane Benfleet
- Mrs S Skinner Woodseats Farm Matlock Derbyshire
- David Skinner Woodseats Farm Cromford Matlock
- John Slade 31 Potter Street Sibue Hedingham Halstead
- Anthony & Christine Slade 95A Basin Road Heybridge Basin Essex
- Mrs Christine Slaven 167 Colchester Road Lawford Colchester

- Edward Smart 20 Scald Hurst Basildon Essex
- W Smith Millbeach Seaside Resort Ltd Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Roy Smith 5 Chestnut Close Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Jason Smith 51 Campion Close Rush Green Romford
- G Smith Millbeach Caravan Park Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Caroline Smith 61 Wycke Lane Tollesbury Essex
- Mrs R.A Smith 75 Cedar Chase Heybridge Essex
- Sally Smith 140 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- RW Smith 11 Memory Close Maldon Essex
- Miss E Smith 24 Browning Road Maldon Essex
- Wayne Smith 2 North Street Tolleshunt D'Arcy Essex
- Janet Smith 6 Chestnut Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Mr A Smith Flat 2 Hazelwin Lower Avenue
- Connor Smith Sunnyside Farm Risebridge Chase Romford
- Robyn Snelling 163 Willersley Avenuw Sidcup Kent
- Andy Solomons 25 Copford Avenue Rayleigh Essex
- P Southam 67 Paynins Avenue Southend-on-Sea Essex
- Martin Southwell 2 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Essex
- Christopher Spalding 11 Nash Close Lawford Manningtree
- Dan Spares 40 Tangham Walk Basildon Essex
- J Sparkes 8 Ramsey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Caroline Spong Stock Hall Ulting CM9 6QD
- Michael Spooner Flat 1 Crompton Court Electric Avenue Westcliff-On-Sea
- Anthony St Aubyn Cobbs Howe Street Chelmsford
- Sandra Staden 2 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Essex
- Mr Thomas Stagg 40 Shannon Avenue Rayleigh Essex
- Steadman 1 Heath Close Gidea Park Essex
- Alan Stern 2A Church Road Boreham CM3 3EF
- Jamie Stevens 25 Glebe Road Heybridge Essex
- G Stocker 127 Somerville Road Romford RM6 5AU
- M Stockwell Tideway Franklin Road North Fambridge
- Mr D Stokes 34 Poplars Avenue Hawkwell Essex
- Mrs Gillian Stone 8 Beeches Road Heybridge Essex
- Mr J R Stringer 84A Shaftsbury Road Epping CM16 5BJ
- C Sturgeon 60 Lambert Drive Acton Sudbury
- Neil Suckling 102 Woodlow Thundersley Benfleet
- Mr Mark Suckling 8 Laburnum Way Rayleigh Essex
- Suffolk Horse Society C/O John Fleming Suite 8 Britannia House Bentwaters Business Park
- Paul Sullivan 14 Woodruch Close Braintree Essex

- Jack Surface 17 Wrexham Road Harald Hill RM3 9HH
- Richard Sutton 9 Maritime Avenue Heybridge Basin Essex
- Tom Swahn 90 Monkwick Avenue Colchester Essex
- Richard Swan 49 Kendall Place Medbourne Milton Keynes
- Clint Swann 13 The Colliers Heybridge Basin Essex
- Mr M Sweeney 6 Mallard Close Cranham Upminster
- Patricia Sykes D1 Marsh Barrow Heybridge CM9 4RA
- Barry Sykes D1 Barrow Marsh Heybridge CM9 4RA
- Paul Tallowin Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road Heybridge
- Sam Tallowin Messrs L P Sampson Chigborough Farm Heybridge
- Heather Tate Brooklyn Ulting Road Hatfield Peverel
- Peter Tattersall 29 Riverside Drive B95 6EN
- M C Taylor C/0 Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Mr C. J Taylor 7 Blackwater Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- K Taylor C/O The Stables Pitsea View Road Crayshill
- The Occupier 7 Kentwell Close Ipswich IP4 5BQ
- The Occupier The Acorns Link Lane Bentley
- The Occupier 14 Meadow Rise Billericay Essex
- The Occupier 12 Maldon Road Hatfield Peverel CM3 2HW
- The Occupier 103 Larchcroft Road Ipswich Suffolk
- The Occupier The Cottage The Street Steeple
- The Occupier 13 Lawling Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- The Occupier 7 Gallahad Close Burnham-on-Crouch
- The Occupier 10 York Road Brightlingsea CO7 0JA
- The Occupier 166 Constance Close Witham Essex
- The Occupier 26 Pawle Close Great Baddow Cheslmford
- The Occupier Milton Mannor Upper Milton Wells
- The Occupier 34 Kiln Road Benfleet Essex
- The Occupier 70 St James Place De Grey Road Colchester
- The Occupier 16 Cairns Avenue Woodford Green Essex
- The Occupier Greenwells Wicken Bon Hunt Essex
- The Occupier 29 Wynn Close Baldock Hertfordshire
- The Occupier 1A Rodney Gardens Braintree Essex
- The Occupier Chigborough Barns Chigborough Road Heybridge
- The Occupier 14 Tylers Avenue Billericay Essex
- The Occupier 1 Churchfields Drive Steeple Bumpstead Haverhill
- The Occupier 42 Coopers Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- The Occupier 58 Highfield Road Chelmsford Essex
- The Occupier 283 Mountnessing Road Billericay Essex
- The Occupier 86 North Avenue Southend-On-Sea SS2 4EY

- The Occupier Lee Shore 95 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- The Occupier 23 Southern Drive South Woodham Ferrers Essex
- The Occupier 46 Pennine Road Chelmsford Essex
- The Occupier 49 Seaforth Avenue Southend-On-Sea Essex
- The Occupier 10 Sunflower Street Cambridge CB4 3XF
- The Occupier 11 Garden Close Althorne Essex
- The Occupier 98 St Clare Meadow Rochford Essex
- The Occupier Spinney End The Close Brentwood
- The Occupier 9 Maida Way London E4 7EL
- The Occupier Thirsley Drive Heybridge
- The Occupier 10 Azalea Ave Wickford Essex
- The Occupier 10 Tower Avenue Chelmsford Essex
- The Occupier 7 Lapwing Drive Heybridge Maldon
- The Occupier 75 Cannon Leys Chelmsford
- The Occupier 32 Sanderling Gardens Heybridge Maldon
- The Occupier 156 Constance Close Witham Essex
- The Occupier 22 Crayford Road Sudbury Suffolk
- The Occupier Britleys Margaretting Road Writtle
- The Occupier C19 Barrow Marsh Caravan & Chalet Park Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- The Occupier 4 Chislehampton Woolstone Milton Keynes
- The Occupier Audries Western Lane Silverend
- The Occupier 18 Southbourne Gardens Illford IG1 2QF
- The Occupier 20 Tythe Close Chelmsford Essex
- The Occupier 23 Merryfield Leigh-On-Sea Essex
- The Occupier 22 Fairview Close Benfleet Essex
- The Occupier Chimneys Back Lane Washbrook
- The Occupier Blackthorne Old Church Road East Hanningfield
- The Occupier Rosemary Cottage Vicarage Road Lingfield
- The Occupier 2 Dealtree Close Blackmore Road Hook End
- The Occupier 62 Castle Road Colchester CO1 1UN
- The Occupier 37 Fisher Court Rhapsody Crescent Brentwood
- The Occupier Ainslie Cottage Thrigby Road Filby
- The Occupier 167 Mundon Road Maldon Essex
- The Occupier 28 Embassy Court High Street Maldon
- The Occupier 2 4 Lock Hill Heybridge Basin CM9 4RX
- The Occupier 36 Colchester Road Heybridge Essex
- The Occupier 287 Mundon Road Maldon Essex
- The Occupier Richmond House 33 Coopers Avenue Heybridge
- The Occupier 15 Lawling Avenue Heybridge Essex

- The Occupier 17 Station Road Leigh-On-Sea Essex
- The Occupier 26790 Tullette France
- The Occupier 21 East Lane Stainforth Doncaster
- The Occupier 14 Parkside Nettleham Lincoln
- The Occupier 123 Shaftesbury Avenue Southend On Sea SS1 3AN
- The Occupier 42 Rowan Drive Heybridge Essex
- The Occupier 4 The Approach Rayleigh Essex
- The Occupier 5 Windermere Avenue Hullbridge Essex
- Daniel Thiselton 88 Station Road Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Debra Thomas 40 Mariners Way Maldon Essex
- A.R Thomas 10 Silverpoint MArine Canvey Island Essex
- Rosemary Thompson 107 Heywood Way Heybridge Maldon
- Mr Thompson C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Christopher Thorby Meadow House Church Street Goldhanger
- Mr & Mrs Thorne 24 Silverthorne Drive Hemel Hempstead Herts
- Chris Thorne 22 Clarenson Road Little Canfield Dunmow
- Hazel Throssell 41 Rushleydale Springfield Chelmsford
- Lisa Throssell 17 Chestnut Walk Witham CM8 2PR
- Frances Tidbury 9 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Wayne Todd 23 Woodfield Park Drive Leigh-On-Sea Southend-On-Sea
- R Tomlinson 7 Golf Ride South Benfleet Essex
- Barry & Lynn Tredinnick 185 Clockhouse Lane Collier Row Romford
- Helen Tredinnick 9 Tarnworth Road Romford Essex
- Mr M Treman-Coker 6 Belmont Road Faversham Kent
- Jamie Trigs 50 Wood Road Heybridge Essex
- S F Trim New Park Farm Maypole Road Tiptree
- Paul Truss 61 Russell Road Buckhurst Hill Essex
- Mark Tuck 46A Dundonald Drive Leigh-On-Sea Essex
- P Tuppenney 11 Anglesea Mansions St Leonards On Sea East Sussex
- Claire Turner Flat 3 Marys House Kelvedon CO9 5DL
- Dr Barry Turner 17 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Mrs Irene Turner 17 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- D A Turner 4 Wayleaze Bristol
- S.M Tween 17 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Essex
- Lisa Tween 17 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- R C Tween 17 St Georges Close Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Peter Unwin Leawood Hullbridge Road Rayleigh
- Matthew Utting 7 Siskin Gate Bracknell Berkshire
- Mrs Teresa Vick Lapstone House Blackgrove Road Waddesdon
- R Vincent 35 Colne Road Brightlingsea Essex

- D Vincent 34 Glendale South Woodham Ferrers CM3 5TS
- M Wade 36 King Street Maldon Essex
- Susan Wager Saltcote Hall Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Andrew Wager Saltcote Hall Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Mr P Wakelin The Haven Farm School Road Little Totham
- Christine Wakelin-Gilden Milton Manor Upper Milton Wells
- Cervinia Wakelin-Gilden 4 Coopers Court Hertford Hertfordshire
- Lucia Wakelin-Gilden Milton Manor Upper Milton Wells
- G Walker 8 Giffords Cross Avenue Corringham Essex
- Graham Walker 10 Vereker Road Kesington London
- Ryan Wallington 2 West Avenue Hullbridge Essex
- Phillip Walter 51 Chantry Way Billericay Essex
- P Ward 8 Nethan Drive Aveley Essex
- Douglas Warner 78 Billericay Road Brentwood Essex
- R Warren 35 Coriander Road Tiptree Colchester
- Graeme Warwick 1B St Giles Crescent Maldon Essex
- Darren Wase 68 Woodlands Chelmondiston IP9 1DU
- Geoffrey Wass 69 Spital Road Maldon Essex
- Ian Watts 46 Walton Gardens Folkestone Kent
- M & D Wavely 49 Stratford Road Ipswich Suffolk
- G. D Waymont Old Church House Newland Street Witham
- R. H Waymont The Old Church House Witham CM8 2BA
- M Weatherill 40 Whiston Avenue Wolverhampton WU11 2QH
- Harry Weatherill 40 Whiston Avenue Wolverhampton WU11 2QH
- Elizabeth Webb Goldhanger House Church Street Goldhanger
- Ken & Pat Webb 27 Swaffham Road Watton IP25 6LA
- D Webb 173 Harwich Road Colchester CO4 3DB
- Liam Webb 90 Monkwick Avenue Colchester Essex
- Mr P A Weight 210 Spencers Croft Harlow Essex
- John Welch Cobblers Cottage Pleshey Chelmsford
- W.N.V Weller 96 Chalkwell Avenue Westcliff-On-Sea Essex
- Mr MJ Wells 22 Devonshire Road Southminster Essex
- A J West 160 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- D West 160 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- P A Weston Frame Farm Beckingham Road Tolleshunt D'Arcy
- D J Weston Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road Heybridge
- Mr & Mrs M Wetton 44 The Turnpike Marple Stockport
- Mr Wheatland C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Ross Wheeler 12 Wentworth Meadows Maldon Essex
- Mark Wheeler 8 John Mace Road Colchester Essex

- P Whiddett 619 Main Road Harwich Essex
- Mr Ben White 154 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- Michael White 6 Buckleys Great Baddow Essex
- Cameron White 11 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- Bradleigh White 11 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Essex
- John White 5 Cox Road Alresford Colchester
- Shane Whitworth 8 Hall Road Heybridge Essex
- Mary & Chris Wild 16 Burrswood Place Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Michael Wilde 15 The Stiles Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Ursula Wilde 15 The Stiles Heybridge Basin Maldon
- Mrs Wilis Oxley Lodge 5 Oxley Hill Tolleshunt D'Arcy
- Mr P. M Willavoys 10 Beeches Road Heybridge Maldon
- Mrs J Willavoys 10 Beeches Road Heybridge Maldon
- Alan Williams 26 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- A Williams 26 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Robert Williams 7 Waterside Close London E3 2JB
- Jane Williams 21 Wellands Close Wickham Bishops Witham
- Mrs Wendy Williams 19 Burnham Road Latchingdon Chelmsford
- Mr K Williams 19 Burnham Road Latchingdon Chelmsford
- Nicola Williams 6A Egbert Gardens Wickford SS11 7BH
- Mrs R Williams-Mattin 7 Pedlards Path Danbury Chelmsford
- Mrs J Willimott Homelea 79 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Matt Willis 28 Constance Close Witham CM8 1XL
- Mrs Elizabeth Willsher 39 Wantz Road Maldon Essex
- Jackie Wilson 18 Hillary Close Heybridge Maldon
- Grant Wisbey 20 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Gillian Wisbey 20 Saltcote Maltings Heybridge Maldon
- Mr A Wisbey 6 Woolmers Way Chelmsford CM3 0DA
- Steve Witcock Wellington Field Old Road Shipston-On-Stour
- J.A Withall Ship Cottage Lock Hill Heybridge Basin
- Chris Withey 115 Station Road Stanbridge LU7 9JG
- Simon Wood 46 Freshwater Crescent Heybridge Maldon
- Mrs Pauline Wood 2 Saltcote Cottages Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Karen Wood 29 Basin Road Heybridge Basin Essex
- Raymond Wood 2 Saltcote Cottages Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Colin Woodcock 11 Petticrow Quays Belvedere Road Burnham-On-Crouch
- Norman Woodhouse 17 Cunningham Close Shoeburyness Southend-On-Sea
- Matt Woods C/o Chigborough Farm Chigborough Road
- Mr Ronald Woolgar 33 Saltcote Maltings Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- S Worrall 7 Cherry Gardens Billericay Essex

- Darren Worsell Mizzen Cottage The Street Steeple
- Winifred Wright 3 Saltcote Cottages Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Alan W Wright Le Puits Des Bruyeres 61230 Le Sap-Andre France
- Donald Wright 3 Saltcote Cottages Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Carole Wright Le Puits Des Bruyeres 61230 Le Sap-Andre France
- R Wyatt Oak Meadow Oak Lane Crays Hill
- Mr K Yates 38 Whiston Avenue Ashmore Park Estate Wednesfield
- Mrs K Yates 38 Whiston Avenue Ashmore Park Estate Wednesfield
- Mr C.J Young The Old School House 92 Basin Road Heybridge Basin

Objection Comment	Officer Response
Principle:	
A precedent will be set as the proposed location is outside of the development plan, is on greenbelt land and is in Flood Zone 3.	Noted, the site is green field and by definition not Green Belt which does not feature in Maldon District.
The proposed building is massive, overbearing and totally out of keeping with the peaceful rural setting and the character of the area. Nearby housing is single storey.	Noted, see the main report
The location is wrong and incompatible; the development is overbearing, over dominant and has no restrictions for use.	Noted, see main report. Officers have asked the applicants to provide details in the form of a business plan to understand fully the use of the site and for Members to make an informed decision.
Would damage amenities by way of encroaching urbanization due to scale of the development and commercialization of the site	Noted, see the main report
Adjacent business was not officially informed or invited to comment at any stage of the pre-planning phase.	Advertisement and notification was carried out in accordance with statutory provisions.
The opportunity to input into the design and development process was extremely limited.	Noted.
Distribution of notice of consultation was very limited; the consultation took place during the day with no additional weekend opportunity. No further public consultation despite modified plans.	Noted. Where amended details have been provided to the Council additional consultations have taken place where necessary.
The development would use good quality grade 2 agricultural land	Noted, see the main report.
The land is currently used for leisure	Currently the land is farmed for arable crops.
The application contravenes or cannot comply with NPPF and LDP.	Noted, see the main report.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
The LDP has not identified the site for	
sports/recreational or community use.	
The scheme contravenes some of the main statements in the MDCRLP(2005): S2, CC5, CC6, BE1, REC7	Noted, see the main report.
A strategic decision on the relocation of the swifts FC is notably absent from the LDP	The LDP identifies the Swifts site for residential development, the LDP does not include a future site for Swifts.
The application falls short of the required standard and is in direct contradiction of its own policies, if approved, would be the subject of a Judicial Revue	The application has been screened in accordance with the EIA Regulations and is fit for consideration.
Heybridge Swifts Football Club is not a community facility and should be located adjacent to a business park/industrial site	Noted.
The scale of the proposal is disproportionate and proposes 3 pitches, grandstands and a large clubhouse	Noted.
The design is odd and is only metres away from a business/tourist and residential location	Noted, see the main report.
There are more suitable sites available – no efforts have been made to relocate to a site with far less ecological value.	The application submission includes information from the appellants on other sites they have looked at in the Heybridge area.
Several landowners have expressed an interest but were not approached and details will be provided to show alternatives sites.	There is no information submitted by objectors on alternative sites at this time.
The sites as looked at as alternatives by the football club have been localized and should include sites further afield.	Noted, one of the alternatives said as being considered was ground share with Maldon and Tiptree Football Club.
Regulations and associated legislation would be breached if development went ahead as the Blackwater estuary is a heavily designated site.	Noted, the application has to be considered in light of National and Local planning policies and in light of areas identified in European legislation for nature/habitat conservation.
Reference to the model at Colchester and its location outside community boundaries.	Noted.
Should be refused on 'scale, bulk and height' and 'visually inharmonious and conspicuous' (as per refused application in Goldhanger).	Noted, see the main report.
Revised plans no longer show a function room – what assurances are there that this will not be incorporated into the first floor.	The development if approved would be the subject of planning conditions.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
The size of the stadium would result in a loss of privacy and overlooking.	Noted, however the development is sufficiently distance from the adjoining sites for overlooking not to occur.
The big stadium with floodlights will ruin the local street scene.	Noted, see the main report.
MDC website describes this area of Essex as "a corner of Essex that's full of charm, beauty and history". How could the reputation be conceivably sustained if this development is permitted as it would deface this tranquil and pastoral setting.	Noted, see the main report.
No suggested limitations by way of condition to the use of the function room, therefore planning permission is more for a party and function venue.	The development if approved would be the subject of planning conditions controlling hours of use.
The design should be moved further to the west and the bund should extend along the whole of the boundary with the lakes and Drapers Close.	Noted.
Proposal of this scale will spoil the peace and tranquility and have considerable implications for the wildlife it supports. [Will cause] noise pollution, light pollution, visual impact, loss of amenity and [have] adverse impacts on biodiversity.	Noted, see the main report.
The development is financially unviable and doomed to fail.	The applicants have been asked to explain both the financial viability of the development and a business plan to show how the use will operate.
The scheme will have no benefit to the existing community.	Noted.
Members should visit the site to understand its rural location.	Noted, member site visits are governed by set protocols.
Flooding	The application has been submitted with
Whilst a new ditch is to be constructed in Goldhanger Road for a 1 in 5 year storm it does not make reference to the climate change allowance of 30%	The application has been submitted with a Flood Risk Assessment. In consultation the Environment Agency and the Sustainable Urban Drainage Team at ECC raise no objections.
No form of betterment in regards to improving flooding issues that affect the area – the report concentrates on the development site.	The report concentrates on the development site as it should but in doing so will not affect the surrounding area.
Increased risk to flooding – will impact on the fragile drainage area.	Noted, see the consultation responses.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
The section of the road and land from Saltcote Maltings to Coopers Avenue regularly floods especially adjacent to the proposed new entrance.	Noted, see the consultation responses.
Goldhanger Road floods regularly and the development site is regularly seen to drain onto Goldhanger Road.	Noted, see the consultation responses.
The defined site borders are at risk from flooding from the River Blackwater, according to the EA.	Noted, see the consultation responses.
Pictures submitted show severe flooding at entrance to site – development in this area will make the situation worse. Dispute whether flooding can be successfully managed.	Noted, see the consultation responses.
MDC have accepted the 1 in 100 flooding formula [therefore] the proposed development must not increase the current risk of flooding. Covering the land adjacent to Holloway Road in concrete and brick will lead to an increase in flooding.	Noted, see the consultation responses.
Impact on Local Amenities	
Heybridge Primary school cannot grow any further. The Plume has the same issues.	The development will not increase residential occupation therefore this is relevant only to the application for the redevelopment of the existing site by application FUL/MAL/16/00154.
Doctors in Maldon are full to bursting point. NHS dentists are limited.	The development will not increase residential occupation therefore this is relevant only to the application for the redevelopment of the existing site by application FUL/MAL/16/00154.
Impact on Adjoining Caravan Site (Salto	cote Hall):
The caravan site would be overlooked on two sides and light and noise would spoil enjoyment of the site.	It is not considered that the caravan site would be overlooked due to the distances involved. For light and noise see the main report.
Impact of noise, light, traffic and possible pollution would force the [site] to close down.	Noted, see the main report.
Loss of caravan site will result in reduction of visitors to the area (tourism).	Noted, see the main report.
Loss of visitors would have financial impact on the business and local businesses.	Noted, see the main report.
The site is very popular [as] it is located in a quiet secluded spot and very close to wildlife areas, walks, fisheries	Noted, see the main report.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
The caravan site brings tourists to the	Noted, see the main report.
area to spend money in local businesses.	-
Impact on Adjoining Stud Farm (Saltcot Saltcote Hall is the last Stud in Essex that	e Hall)
breeds Suffolk Punch's and in addition provides support for owners and breeders across the UK. The owners have gained a national reputation as knowledgeable, experienced breeders.	Noted, see the main report.
The loss of this stud would be a grievous blow to the Suffolk Horse Society - this breed is listed as endangered within the Rare Breeds Survival Trust (RBST)	Noted. It is acknowledged that the Suffolk horse is a Rare Breed but it is not classified as a protected species per say and therefore not subject to specific government guidance on the protection of certain identified species.
The maintenance of breeding stallions is of vital importance as there are only approx. 350 in the UK.	Noted, see the main report.
The [development] with associated increase in light, noise and traffic is not conducive to maintenance of an equine business and it is likely that it would close.	Noted, see the main report.
Noise and bright flood lighting would affect the Suffolk horses' breeding cycle and ability to breed.	Noted, see the main report.
Car parking is proposed right behind the stables.	The car parking area is separated from the main development at Salcote Hall by a landscaped area.
Noise and light pollution will affect the safety to ride and handle horses.	Noted, see the main report.
Foaling mares need peace and quiet so the foaling boxes are located at the rear of the property. This stress free environment would be disrupted by the proposed development as it is being placed so close to the stabling and fields.	Noted, see the main report.
Proposed use as a function hall would result in fireworks, loud music, and late night noise which [gives rise] to fear for the animals safety.	Noted, see the main report.
The Stud facility provides work experience opportunities for students.	Noted, see the main report.
The modern development is not in keeping with the design of Saltcote Hall and its siting, design and materials would not enhance this very rural location	Noted, see the main report.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
The proposed height of the stadium would be higher than Saltcote Hall, would be visible from the sea wall and would result in a loss of privacy to the occupiers of Saltcote Hall who would be overlooked on the west and north boundary.	It is agreed that the floodlights and the columns would be visible from the sea wall. This and its impact is a material planning consideration. However it is not considered that this would amount to overlooking and a loss in privacy.
The proposed floodlights (15m high) would tower above Salcote Hall (12.4m high) and associated buildings.	Noted, see the main report.
The proposed 4m earth bund to the rear of Saltcote Hall will not be effective.	The bund has been removed and replaced by a landscaped strip.
Impact on Adjoining Fishery:	
The development would ruin the peace and tranquility of the fishing lakes and spoil the natural environment and adversely affect the wildlife.	Noted, see the main report.
Chigborough Lakes is the best in East Anglia as voted by Trout Fisherman magazine and was rated No.48 out of 650 fisheries in the UK for trout fishing and is open to all people of all ages.	Noted.
Very few other venues [for fishing] in the area.	Noted.
The development would not be compatible with the peacefulness of fishing, which includes night fishing on the immediately adjacent lakes, and would cause noise and light pollution to the fishery and surrounding area.	Noted, see the main report.
Would have a negative impact on the business which has been trading for over 35 years.	Noted, see the main report.
The fisheries are an important asset to the community.	Noted, see the main report.
The lakes are fragile and could be polluted with chemical run off from the pitches and car parks.	Noted, see the main report.
Impact on Economy of the Area / Touris	
Will ultimately damage this coastal economy	This has to be considered in balance as the new use will generate economic development and create jobs.
Would jeopardise an area of important tourist attraction.	Noted, see the main report.
Impact of the development which would be 200m from the sea wall should not be discounted.	Noted, see the main report.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
Traffic issues at weekends due to matches	In consultation the Highways Agency has
will result in a decrease in tourism to the	raised no objection to the development as
area and financial losses to local	proposed, which takes into account the
businesses.	impact of localized traffic impacts.
The development has no significant	This has to be considered in balance as
benefit for the creation of local	the new use will generate economic
employment.	development and create jobs.
Proposed development would have devastating effect on [my] business interests at Saltcote Hall causing me to re-locate outside of the County, leading to the closing of a longstanding business at Beckenham Business Park and redundancy of around 30 staff.	Noted, see the main report.
Ecology:	
The environmental reports are site	The reports can only cover land which is
specific and pay little attention to the	accessible to the applicants and relies on
adjoining land(s).	collected data on adjoining land.
There is a severe lack of quality	The development is submitted with two
ecological data and that submitted is	ecological surveys which have been the
deeply flawed and is not sufficient for	subject of consultation, as set out in the
any reasonable conclusions to be drawn.	main report.
Concerns that no Environmental Impact Assessment has been carried out.	Previous the applicant's through their agents submitted a Screening Opinion application to the Council with the reference 12/00038/SOR. The Council's decision was that the development of the size proposed did not warrant the submission of a full Environmental Impact Assessment.
The mature woodland would be radically changed [and have a] detrimental impact on wildlife and the nature reserve.	Noted, see the main report.
Tearing out a well-established hedgerow and copse will be detrimental to wildlife and is not good for nature and people.	Noted, see the main report.
Toxic pollutants, water and chemical run- off [could] seep into the Blackwater Ecosystem and the lakes via the ditch and road culvert.	Adequate mitigation which will be present through the details of the scheme as submitted will make this unlikely.
The application fails to protect, conserve and enhance the natural beauty, tranquility, amenity and traditional quality of the Districts landscapes.	Noted, see the main report.
Destructive to the natural beauty of the area. Will significantly change the character of the neighbourhood, urbanizing a beautiful and ecologically important area.	Noted, see the main report.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
The proposed trees for planting on the earth bund are deciduous and any effect in terms of noise and visual amenity will not be present when the trees are not in leaf.	The earth bund has been removed from consideration and does not form part of the scheme as amended.
The Chigborough site is of high conservation value.	Noted, see the main report.
The light pollution and noise will disrupt flights of ducks and waders moving between feeding grounds.	The application comes complete with a two stage ecological assessment.
The development would have a significant impact on declining, rare and protected species.	The application comes complete with a two stage ecological assessment.
Would have adverse impact on peace and tranquility and character of the area.	Noted, see the main report.
The submitted business plan is both lacking in details and proves that the club will be run for profit and not the local community. However the local community will be disenfranchised to a considerable degree.	Noted, see the main report.
Traffic and Highways:	
The proposed road design will impact on access to existing properties.	The development has been the subject of consultation with the Highways Agency and "no objection" has been raised.
Additional traffic will use surrounding roads to avoid Goldhanger Road creating dangerous rat-runs.	See above.
The transportation analysis submitted focuses on traffic flow capacity rather than junction safety.	See above.
The local road infrastructure would not be able to sustain the massive increase in traffic that would result from this development. Will lead to long tailbacks.	See above.
The junction layout onto Goldhanger	See above.
Road is totally inadequate.	
Goldhanger Road is narrow and crowded; safety and access are problems as the new access road will create a blindspot.	See above.
The new layout/junction involves an [traffic] island which is an exceedingly poor design and exceptionally dangerous. vCoaches would straddle across the carriageway.	See above.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
Traffic surveys were carried out during the 'closed' season, were done in the wrong place and are misleading, inaccurate and flawed.	See above.
The site proposes to hold up to 3,000 people, however, parking provision is inadequate. People will park on Goldhanger road and cause more danger.	The development at this time proposed the replacement of the site on a like for like basis and the average gate at the Swifts is 100 persons per game. The impact of the use, particularly in respect of the plastic pitch and the frequency of use is assessed in the report.
Additional traffic will make it more difficult to ride [horses] on the roads – there are not any bridleways in the vicinity.	The adjacent Saltcote Hall residents cross the road with their horses to the fields to the south.
Speed limit should be reduced.	The development has been the subject of consultation with the Highways Agency and "no objection" has been raised.
Pedestrian access from Basin Road is not clear and may not be safe.	See above.
It is stated that the site is currently accessed by foot from a comprehensive network of paths. There is no footpath on the side of the road by the entrance and there is no pedestrian crossing near the site entrance.	Noted, the site is not accessed by a public footpath at this time other than a pavement along the Goldhanger Road.
The amended details show a potential footpath link between both sites. This is unacceptable as they are permissive footpaths only, not owned by the applicants and can be closed at any time.	This is correct, any suggested footpath links which are on and over 3 rd party land are to be discounted.
The traffic travel plan and study lacks data and is not therefore a reliable analysis of the impact of travel.	The travel plan has been the subject of consultation with the Highways Authority.
No decent bus or rail (public transport) available: 1 bus every 2 hours is unrealistic. People will travel by car.	Noted, bus service is limited and there is no rail service to Maldon.
The Framework Travel Plan does not have to be adhered to and is not a Travel Plan	The submission of a travel plan can be secured by condition.
The traffic travel plan and study lacks data and is not therefore a reliable analysis of the impact of travel	Noted, see above.
Most spectators currently walk to the grounds, however, it is likely they will drive to the new location as it is over 30mins walking distance. It is erroneous of the applicant to suggest the behavioral activities toward car useage would reflect that at Fleetwood Town in Lancashire.	Each application has to be considered on it individual merits.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
This reference is of no relevance.	
Spectators unlikely to cycle to the new	Cycling facilities are made available by
location as Goldhanger Road has high	the development and would be available
levels of fast moving traffic- over 3000	for use. The current ground does not
car movements per day.	feature any dedicated cycle parking areas.
Health & Safety:	, , , ,
Will the boundaries be securely fenced.	Fencing will be secured by planning condition.
Flooding:	
Whilst a new ditch is to be constructed in	The devialenment comes complete with a
Goldhanger Road for a 1 in 5 year storm	The development comes complete with a Flood Risk Assessment which has been
it does not make reference to the climate	
change allowance of 30%.	the subject of consultation.
No form of betterment in regards to	The development seeks to ensure that its
improving flooding issues that affect the	impact will not be to the detriment of
area – the report concentrates on the	local flooding issues.
development site.	Total Hooding Issues.
Increased risk to flooding – will impact	See above.
on the fragile drainage area.	566 466 76.
The section of the road and land from	
Saltcote Maltings to Coopers Avenue	Noted.
regularly floods especially adjacent to the	
proposed new entrance.	
Goldhanger Road floods regularly and	
the development site is regularly seen to	Noted
drain onto Goldhanger Road. The defined site borders are at risk from	The application site is mostly within the
	The application site is mostly within the defined Flood Zone 3, with a small area
flooding from the River Blackwater, according to the EA.	to the north in Flood Zone 2.
Noise:	to the north in Plood Zone 2.
The noise level survey is not	
representative of how the club intends to	The submitted noise survey has been
utilize the site. The document is selective	analyzed by the Council's Environmental
and analyses the findings to downgrade	Health Team and there comments are in
the impact the noise will have on the	the consultations section.
surrounding areas.	
The report concedes that noise levels will	
impact on Saltcote Hall even with an	
earth bund. The noise levels beyond the	See above.
bund may not have been properly	
considered.	
No mention of the impact of noise on	The impacts are set out as apply to the
Saltcote Maltings or other properties	closest dwellings and the ones most
along Goldhanger Road.	affected.
Unacceptable to state that whilst noise	
levels will exceed those currently	The submitted noise survey has been
measured [as] this will only occur when	analyzed by the Council's Environmental
matches are staged [it] should be	Health Team and there comments are in
tolerated. This is a clear violation of	the consultations section.
planning guidance.	

Objection Comment	Officer Response
The noise analysis report is founded on a	<u>*</u>
comparison of what occurs based on 200	NT (1
spectators – this application assumes up	Noted.
to 3000 spectators.	
Increased noise pollution to a quiet area	
that will affect wildlife as well as	Noted.
residents.	
The development will have a serious impact on the tranquil setting of the estuary - they will not be able to control the noise and traffic	Noted.
No high fences or noise barriers proposed.	Noted.
Noise and light pollution would extend to very late hours and car headlights would disturb residents.	Noted.
Planting of saplings would take a considerable amount of time to be effective as a sound break and [gives] insufficient mitigation.	Noted, planting I proposed and could be secured by planning condition and retained in perpetuity.
Training and matches will take place at the weekend and evenings and impact on personal enjoyment of home and garden. Unable to sit in and enjoy the garden due to increased noise which will carry a long way	The applicant has been asked to explain the extent of the use particularly in relation to the proposed 3G pitch.
Would cause disturbance and distress to	Noted. As a matter of fact the club at this
people who currently live in quiet	time has only resulted in one recorded
surroundings.	noise complaint.
Light:	
Unclear what time the floodlights will operate to – training sessions could continue until 21:15pm	The applicant has been asked to explain the extent of the use of lighting in relation to the proposed 3G pitch. The planning application forms detail the hours the use would operate, this being from 09:00 to 22:30.
Increase in light pollution – will affect	The impact of the lighting columns on the
the peaceful environment	area is discussed in the main report.
The floodlights could potentially be visible by navigators using the Blackwater Estuary at night.	See above.
Floodlights will cause problems to wildlife and residents and will be seen for miles.	See above.
Impact on personal enjoyment of home and garden - Privacy will be compromised by the floodlights.	See above.
The additional floodlights would result in properties being surrounded by sports stadiums and floodlights.	See above.

Objection Comment	Officer Response
Footpath/cycle path:	•
Permissive access is provided on	The development as proposed can only
farmland south of the site and may be	rely of land within the applicants'
revoked.	ownership.
Public / permissive access is provided as	
part of the Countryside Stewardship	
Scheme and used by many dog walkers	Noted, see above.
and people whose enjoyment would be	
affected by the development.	
Other:	
Increase in anti-social behavior –	Noted there is no record of this being the
restrictions should be in place for	Noted, there is no record of this being the
licensing hours.	case at the existing ground.
The lodge at the fisheries is used (free of	
charge) by day centres for people with	NT 1
early onset dementia. The tranquility and	Noted.
peace is a lifeline.	
Would have a huge overall detrimental	
effect on the surrounding area of rural	Noted.
pursuits.	
Doesn't fit in with other activities around	
the application site.	Noted.
	This application falls to be considered on
Impacts of proposal could be made worse	its individual merits, as would any future
by future infilling of adjacent land.	applications on adjacent land.
Would be highly disruptive and devalue	Noted, property values are not a material
properties.	planning condition.
Would have an adverse effect on so many	
people [including] businesses and ways	Noted, see the main report
of life.	•
The long-term financial viability of the	The applicants have been asked to
venture is risky and the site could become	demonstrate viability and produce a
derelict. What evidence has been	business plan to show how the club will
submitted to ensure viability of the	operate so its impact can be fully
project.	considered.
The supporters will come on mass and	
there will be inadequate parking and no	Toilet facilities are provided for
public toilet facilities at the basin and the	supporters.
waterfront.	
Formal request that crime statistics are	
considered as the majority of recorded	Essex Police have been consulted and
crime in Heybridge currently clusters	their response is in the report.
around the existing swifts ground.	
The Swifts could merge with another	Noted, this is not a planning
club.	consideration.
No effort has been made to maintain their	
existing facility which has progressively	N
deteriorated. It would be better to	Noted.
modernize the current stadium.	

Objection Comment	Officer Response
Bloors declined to declare the extent of their investigations to relocate in a less sensitive area.	The application falls to be considered as it is submitted.
Why should football take priority over wildlife.	Noted.
The area is very precious and should be respected and left to remain peaceful.	Noted see the main report.
Presumption that football hooligans	Essex Police have been consulted and
would spoil the countryside.	their response is within the report.
Why put it right next to the fishery.	Noted, the application falls to be considered as submitted.

Petitons:	
	Officer Response
73 signatures	Noted.
Facebook petition/questionnaire received	
from Chigboro' Fisheries – comments as	Noted.
above.	

Objectors Comment	Officers Response	
Goldhanger Road Group via Agent:		
The Swifts existing site has been allocated for housing and it is a requirement that equivalent or better compensatory recreational provision is made available. The proposed site does not meet this requirement	Noted, see the main report	
The proposed 'garden suburb' to the north of Heybridge should include an allocation for a new football stadium – there would be better transport links to the A12	The Council Endorsed North Heybridge Garden Suburb Strategic Masterplan Framework does not include provision for a new football pitch/stadium.	
Query whether land falling in the ownership of Chigboro Lakes and Saltcote Hall has been included within the red line application	The application states the land as outlined in red is in the control of the applicants.	
Chigboro fisheries were not served with notice of the application	The application was consulted in accordance with the Council's agreed scheme.	
Requests explanation as to why an EIA was not required as the site is in close proximity to the SPA and RAMSAR sites on the Blackwater Estuary. The Authority should seek urgent advice from natural England and the RSPB on this matter.	The proposal was subject of a formal Screening Opinion which has the reference 12/00038/SOR under the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Regulations which found that the development did not warrant a formal EIA.	

Objectors Comment	Officers Response
The application proposes a significant amount of built and other associated development and engineering operations and will have a significant and damaging effect on the character and appearance of the local landscape.	Noted, see the main report.
No amount of screening, bunding and other earth moving / engineering works will mitigate the impacts of the noise, light spill and general activity of a busy recreational use.	Noted, see the main report.
The landscape, visual and amenity impact of the proposal on its immediate and wider surroundings will be significant and incapable of being satisfactorily mitigated.	Noted, see the main report.
The new site is 'greenfield' and in many places is characterized by well-established boundary vegetation and is adjacent to a locally significant designated wildlife site.	Noted, see the main report.
The new infrastructure for the North Heybridge garden suburb would be able to cope with a new football ground.	Noted, see the main report.
A specialist appraisal of the highways and transport assessment submitted with the application will be commissioned [which will show] the traffic and highways impact will be significant and harmful.	Noted, see the main report.
The applicants search for a new site for a football ground has been neither comprehensive nor rigorous; open or transparent; and anything but properly planned.	Noted, see the main report.

- 7.4.2 Letters were received **in support** of the application from the following and the reasons for support are summarised as set out in the table below:
 - Ben Albone 8 Centaur Way Maldon Essex
 - Cheryl Albone 8 Centaur Way Maldon Essex
 - Nick Alderidge 8 Mayflower Avenue Harwich Essex
 - Mark Allen 62 Temple Grove Park West Hanningfield Chelmsford
 - E Allen 27 Baker Avenue Hatfield Peverel Essex
 - Phil Anderson 60 Bosworth Road Dagenham Essex
 - M Andrew 3 Harvest Way Swanley Kent
 - James Aston 3 Olive Grove Colchester CO2 9NF
 - Pam Atkinson 31 Menin Road Colchester CO2 7JB

- Amanda Baldwin 2 Wadley Close Tiptree Essex
- P Baldwin 2 Wadley Close Tiptree Essex
- Ian Ball 33 Wagtail Drive Heybridge Essex
- Joe Ball 28 Crescent Road Colchester CO2 7SJ
- Luke Banner Spanders Cottage Dedham Essex
- Keith Bannister 38 Wentworth Meadows Maldon Essex
- Jack Barham 11 Lachingdon Close Rayleigh Essex
- Anthony Barnes 3 Charlton Close Sible Hedingham Halstead
- V Barnes Tudors Hackmans Lane Cock Clarks
- A Barnes Tudors Hackmans Lane Cock Clarks
- C Barnes Tudors Hackmans Lane Cock Clarks
- K Barnes Tudors Hackmans Lane Cock Clarks
- Grant Barrow 16 Beechwood Close Colchester Essex
- Andy Bates 3 Saddlers Close Great Notley Braintree
- Joe Beadle 16 Vine Road Tiptree Essex
- Peter Bellinger 20 Southey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Peter Bellinger 20 Southey Close Heybridge Essex
- Aimee Bellinger 37 Limbourne Drive Heybridge Essex
- Paul Bemrose 71 Sandmartin Crescent Lakelands Colchester
- Tracey A. Bentley 21 Blind Lane Goldhanger Essex
- Jean Betts 2 Eaton Way Great Totham Essex
- Steve Bishop Charterwood Station Road Wakes Colne
- A Bloomfield 31 Belvedere Close Danbury CM3 4RG
- R Bloomfield 17 West Belvedere Danbury Essex
- S Bloomfield 17 West Belvedere Danbury Essex
- Daniel Blore 11 Mareth Road Colchester CO2 9LW
- Wayne Bond 3 Vine Close Basildon Essex
- Mrs Dianne Bowyer 16 Southey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Rob Bowyer 29 Wagtail Drive Heybridge Essex
- Richard Bowyer 6 Willow Walk Heybridge Essex
- Nick Bowyer 16 Southey Close Heybridge Essex
- Dave Brewer 30 D'Arcy Way Tolleshunt D'Arcy Essex
- Gary Bristol 9 Mayland Close Heybridge Essex
- Elizabeth Bristol 9 Mayland Close Heybridge Essex
- K. R Broadhurst Royal Oak Fambridge Road Maldon
- Ray Brooks 137 Woodfield Cottages Heybridge Essex
- Noel Brooksbank 6 Cassino Road Colchester Essex
- Trevor Brown 16 Hayley Bell Gardens Bishop Stratford Herts
- Alan Brown 25A Belvedere Place Maldon Essex
- Jamie Brown 40 Mildred Road Erith DA8 1AL

- Rory Burke 16 Westminster Avenue Hereford HR1 1QH
- Andy Burrell 11 Alicia Avenue Wickford Essex
- Steve Buscall 9 Bois Field Terrace Halstead Essex
- Mark Calvert 2 Nursery Cottages 57 School Road Downham
- Peter Calvert 85B Heywood Way Heybridge Essex
- Tanya Campbell 10 Salerno Crescent Colchester CO2 9SG
- Ralph Campbell Atkinson 31 Menin Road Drury Meadows Colchester
- Sean Carter 79-90 Cottonwood Close Colchester CO2 9PZ
- Mrs S Carter 4 Gorse Lane Tiptree Essex
- Mr Bill Chalk 43 Maple Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Mark Chaplin 5 Beadle Place Great Totham Essex
- Sam Chaplin 8 Lodge Road Maldon Essex
- Richard Chapman 9 Rookery Lane Great Totham Essex
- Alex Chick 17 Durham Square Colchester Co1 2RS
- Michael Clahane 119 Seamore Avenue Benfleet Essex
- Mr Danny Clare 48 The Driveway Canvey Island Essex
- Tony Clare 30 Juliers Road Canvey Island SS8 7EW
- Charlie Clare 48 The Driveway Canvey Island Essex
- Stewart Clark 12 Ash Grove Heybridge Maldon
- Matthew Clark 12 Ash Grove Heybridge Essex
- Stewart Clark 12 Ash Grove Heybridge Essex
- D Clarke 21 Homefield Road Colchester CO2 7JS
- Andrew Cockett 20 Wordsworth Avenue Maldon Essex
- S.W Cockett 10 Glebe Road Heybridge Essex
- Daniel Cole 3 Eight Acre Lane Colchester CO2 9LR
- R Cole 28 Waverly Crescent SS11 7HN
- Sarah Collins 78 Wagtail Drive Heybridge Essex
- N Cooch 6 South Street Tillingham Southminster
- Mitchell Cooke Peverel Cottage The Green Hatfield Peverel
- Paul Cornwell 14 Virley Close Heybridge Essex
- John Cornwell 14 Virley Close Heybridge Maldon
- Steve Crisp 20 Larch Walk Heybridge Essex
- Mrs C.A Crisp 20 Larch Walk Heybridge Essex
- Aaron Cudby 5 Acer Grove Ipswich Suffolk
- L Cummer 4 Edmund House Hillary Close Heybridge
- Dean Curtis 33 Battle Rise Heybridge Essex
- Ivor Dallinger 54 Crescent Road Heybridge Maldon
- James Dallingor 30 Heywood Way Heybridge Maldon
- Matthew Dalton 49 Brockenhurst Way Bicknacre Essex
- C Dalton 2 Bale Close Bexhill TN39 4JT

- Chris Darling 18 Tudor Gardens Upminster Essex
- Lewis Dask 77 Coolgardie Avenue Chigwell Essex
- Michael Davies 27 Cottonwood Close Colchester Essex
- Cara Davis 3 Grange Cottages Southend Road Woodham Mortimer
- Luke Desborough 43 Foxhunter Walk Billericay Essex
- Oliver Devenish 73 Archers Way Galleywood Chelmsford
- Diane Dibley 1 The Roothings Heybridge Essex
- Jessica Dicks 12 Anchorange View St Lawrence Southminster
- Ryan Diggons 21 Elizabeth Way Heybridge Maldon
- P Dodd 16 Memory Close Maldon Essex
- Craig Downing 4 Alamein Road Colchester Essex
- Raphael Duvile 15 Willington Court Mandeville Street London
- Stephen Edmead Wentview Manor Road Hatfield Peveral
- Nicola Edmead Westview Manor Road Hatfield Peveral
- Andrew Elliott 45 Heywood Way Heybridge Maldon
- Christopher Elliott 7 Broad Street Green Road Heybridge Essex
- Mrs Elliott 7 Broad Street Green Road Heybridge Essex
- Robert Ellis 30 Stambourne Road Toppesfield Halstead
- A Ellis 143 High Street WE17 6DP
- R Ellis 17 Marsta Beek Chelmsford CM2 6RL
- M Emberton Osea Leisure Park Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- Oliver Emsden Clare House Wood End Widdington
- Dawn Enstone Lynslade West End Road Tiptree
- Robert & Tracey Evans 3 Mermaid Way Maldon Essex
- Allan Everard 2 Drayton Close Maldon Essex
- Sandra Final 157 Broadway Silver End Witham
- M Finch 81 St Giles Crescent Maldon Essex
- J Fletcher 44 New Moor Southminster Essex
- Robert Fleuty 29 Cedar Chase Heybridge Essex
- Mr Anthony Flynn 2520/821 LCPL Flynn 3 Para D Coy
- Tony Foster 11 Curlew Close Heybridge Maldon
- Wayne Foster 2 Northey View Heybridge Maldon
- Christopher Foster 11 Holly Close Colchester CO2 9LQ
- Carol Foster 17 Elizabeth Way Heybridge Essex
- Melanie Foster 2 Northey View Heybridge Essex
- Mr Thomas Fraser 25 Ramsey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Susan Fraser 25 Ramsey Close Heybridge Maldon
- Andrew Fraser 25 Ramsey Close Heybridge Essex
- A Freeman 31 Longship Way Maldon Essex
- Keith Frid 88 Hurst Road Sidcup Kent

- Stewart Fryer 10 Maryow Road Charlton
- Katie Fynes 50 St Fabians Drive Chelmsford CM1 2PR
- Joe Garwood 5 Eight Acre Lane Colchester CO2 9LR
- S Gearey 11 North Street Maldon Essex
- Brian Gee 105 Poynings Avenue Southend On Sea Essex
- Victoria George 1 Memory Close Maldon Essex
- Lewis Godbold 10 Meadow Rise Billericay Essex
- Aaron Godbold 10 Meadow Rise Billericay Essex
- Sarah Godfrey 55 Market Hill Maldon Essex
- Jason Goodchild 15 Manor Way Bromley Kent
- Alastair Gorden 6 St Fillans Road London SE6 1DG
- Evan Graham Lyndean Newtonmore Road Kingussie
- Henrietta Green The Smythes Smythes Green Layer Marney
- Stephen Hall 42 Eastern Way Cowgate Newcastle-Upon-Tyne
- Mr A. G Hallam 21 Cassino Road Colchester CO2 7UT
- S Hambrook 14 Yardwood Road Chelmsford CM2 0EJ
- Oliver Harrison 14 Milverton Court Newcastle WE3 2RP
- J Hazell Borough Arms Wantz Road Maldon
- Mr Mark Hedgecock 5 Larch Walk Heybridge Maldon
- Julian Hedgecock 5 Larch Walk Heybridge Essex
- Sam Hedgecock 26 Buckingham Drive Colchester Essex
- Mr Daniel Herbert Room 2, Flat 9 G13 Merville Barrocks Colchester
- Kyle Hill 7 Willow Walk Heybridge Maldon
- Debbie Hill 7 Willow Walk Heybridge Maldon
- Mark Hill 7 Willow Walk Heybridge Essex
- T Hill 109 Westdean Avenue London SE12 9NJ
- Chris Hill 30 Orchard Avenue Belvedere DA17 5PB
- L. J Hills 5 The Street Heybridge CM9 4NB
- Jack Hixson 148 Kenneth Road Benfleet Essex
- Mark Hixson 148 Kenneth Road Benfleet Essex
- Joe Hixson 148 Kenneth Road Benfleet Essex
- J Hodges 60 Paddock Drive CM1 6UX
- M Hodgson 4 Church Road Bradwell Village Braintree
- Sam Holden 27 Sanderling Gardens Heybridge Maldon
- John Holliday 54 Creekview Road South Woodham Ferrers Essex
- Sharon Hopkins 13 Broad Street Green Road Heybridge Maldon
- P Hopper 79 Cottonwood Close Colchester CO2 7UJ
- Steve House 32 Leddesdon Road Erith DA8 1NG
- Mr Gareth Howard 53 Bramble Road Witham CM8 2GX
- Louise Howard 28 Head Street Goldhanger Essex

- Graham Howat The Old Exchange Chapel Lane Heybridge Basin
- Richard Hughes Ashley Cottage 7 Limbourne Drive Heybridge
- Mrs M Hyam 20 Rowan Drive Heybridge Essex
- Mr A Jackson 17 Menin Road Colchester Essex
- Amy Jackson 6 Honeywood Road Halstead Essex
- Laura Janes 8 Chestnut Avenue Heybridge Essex
- James Janes 8 Chestnut Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Andy Jayne 13 Dorset Road Maldon Essex
- H Jeanes 7 Blind Lane Goldhanger Essex
- Mr & Mrs B A Jeffery 2 Becket Way South Woodham Ferrers Chelmsford
- Evan John 10 Diamond Lace 11 Maldon Road Colchester
- Theo Johnson 33 Ilex Close Colchester CO2 8QP
- Neal Jones 14 Forbes Road Rosyth Fife
- Rachel Jones 6 Willow Walk Heybridge Essex
- Anthony Keane 3 Para Merville Barrocks Colchester
- Connor Keating Glenfields Main Road Mundon
- Mr Michael Kempen 28 Coleridge Road Maldon Essex
- Ian Kennedy 38 Prince Of Wales Road Great Totham Essex
- Rosemary Kershaw 9 Provence Close Stanway CO3 0JD
- Josh Ketley 82 Hillary Close Heybridge Maldon
- Alister Ketley 10 Buchanan Way Latchingdon Chelmsford
- B KiIsby 60 Kingley Lane Benfleet Essex
- Keegan Kilpatrick 36 Eastgate Choppington Northumberland
- Sue Knight 19 Cross Road Maldon Essex
- B Larin 2 Mount Villas 38 Kelvedon Road Wickham Bishops
- Graham Lodge 30 Abell Way Chelmsford Essex
- T Lodge 24 Colderidge Road Maldon CM9 6DH
- Sam Long 150 The Drive Bexley Kent
- Paul Lucas 20 Hillside Road Southminster Essex
- James Macintyre 1 Butler Road Harrogate HG1 4PF
- G Maclachlan 3B Stock Terrace Stock Chase Heybridge
- Tony Manning 438 Havering Road Romford Essex
- Samantha Mansfield 14 Maple Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Anabella Margues 3 Emneth Acre Maldon Essex
- R Marsh 1 Heywood Way Heybridge Essex
- Ian Martinson 3 Para Merville Barracks Colchester
- Mr D.W Masyn 15 Monmouth Close Portishead Bristol
- Kirsty Matthews 26 Albemarle Gardens Braintree Essex
- Chris Matthews 18 Beechwood Close Colchester CO2 9QP
- Joe Matthews 25 Southwark Road Rochester Medway

- James McMillan 78 Oak Road Tiptree Essex
- J P Mcmillan 46 Hillary Close Heybridge Essex
- Andrew Meakin Unit 18 West Station Industrial Estate Maldon Essex
- Andrew Meakin 21 Cooper Avenue Heybridge CM9 4YY
- Lauren Meakin 21 Cooper Avenue Heybridge CM9 4YY
- Lee Meakin 35 Larch Walk Heybridge Essex
- D Meakin 35 Larch Walk Heybridge Essex
- Andrea Milton 15 Wickham Crescent Braintree CM7 3BW
- Lewis Mings 58 Whitmore Street Maidstone ME16 8JU
- Jason Monaghan 44 Mareth Road Colchester CO2 9LN
- Jennifer Monaghan 44 Mareth Road Colchester CO2 9LN
- Mr Monk 27 Pippins Road Burnham-On-Crouch Essex
- Charlie Monti 71 Maldon Road Great Totham Essex
- Gary Monti Greenways 71 Maldon Road Great Totham
- Chris Moore 54 Station Road Upminster Essex
- L Morris 44 Maldon Road Tiptree Essex
- Beverly Morton 1B Stock Terrace Stock Chase Heybridge
- Joseph Mounter 99 Hornbeam Close Colchester Essex
- V Moyles 42 Beechwood Close Colchester CO2 9QP
- Malcolm Myles 27 Ash Grove Heybridge Essex
- Lorraine Newton 62 Dorset Road Maldon Essex
- Paul Norman 28 Steed Crescent Colchester CO2 7SJ
- Steve O'Boyle 7 Warwick Road Welling Kent
- Lucinda O'Leary 95 Main Road Danbury Essex
- J Palmer 16 Park Drive Halstead Essex
- C Palmer 16 Park Drove Halstead CO9 1DR
- Daryl Parham 5 Limbourne Drive Heybridge Essex
- Wayne Parham 52 Doubleday Drive Heybridge Essex
- George Parsons 17 Durham Square CO1 2RS
- Peter Pask 7 Maple Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- Daniel Pask The Pines 70 Basin Road Heybridge Basin
- Clive Pask 32 Dorset Road Maldon Essex
- Graham Payne` 11A Tyrrel Drive Southend-On-Sea Essex
- Mr Euan Philbin 79-90 Cottonwood Close Colchester CO2 9PZ
- Mr Alexander Pink 20 Oak Drive Minsterley Shropshire
- D H Pitt 2 Shortridge Court Witham Essex
- Nicola Ponder Warwick House The Street Salcott
- Matt Ponder Warwick House The Street Salcott
- Carol Ponder 30 Goat Lodge Road Great Totham Essex
- Derek Potter 14 Warwick Crescent Maldon Essex

- M Power Lower Farm Wall Howser Road DA8 2IT
- Steve Pratt 26 Paston Close South Woodham Ferrers CM3 5UA
- Stuart Putt 102 Plantation Road Boreham Chelmsford
- R Quant 37 Beechwood Close Colchester CO2 9QP
- Eddie Randall 21 Turner Close Black Notley Braintree
- Paul Raven 18 Alexanrade Road Walstow London
- J Raymond 34 Violet Close Chelmsford CM1 6XG
- Kevin Rayner 1B Stock Terrace Stock Chase Heybridge
- Craig Riches Evelyn House 150 Goldhanger Road Heybridge
- S Ruggles 27 Tennyson Road Maldon Essex
- Anthony R. Rushbrook 3 Coleridge Road Maldon Essex
- Dave Sackon 18 Hayes Road Clacton-On-Sea CO15 1TX
- Robert Sacy 11 Broad Street Green Road Heybridge Essex
- Dlusunmade Salu 49 Alamein Road Colchester CO2 9LJ
- Mark Sambridge 114 Victoria Avenue Grays Essex
- Danny Sambridge 114 Victoria Avenue Grays Essex
- Jake Samler Amber Scraley Road CM9 8JA
- M Sanderson 10 Hospital Lane Colchester Essex
- L Saunders 16 The Avenue Witham CM8 2OJ
- David Scott Highview Rosehill Road Torquay
- Mrs J Scott 20 Mirosa Reach Maldon Essex
- Michael Scott 33 Napier Avenue Southend-On-Sea Essex
- Keith Simons Chase Side 40B London Road Maldon
- Nigel Smith 11 Spinnaker Drive Heybridge Basin Maldon
- D Smith 5 Browning Road Brantham Manningtree
- Jack Smith 13 Walnut Drive Witham Essex
- Matthew Smith 11 Spinnaker Drive Heybridge Basin Essex
- D Southwell 79 Doudica House Cottonwood Close Colchester
- Jackie Spenee 31 Coleridge Road Maldon Essex
- Steve Spreadbury 21 Harvest Way Heybridge Essex
- Steve Springett 2 Denton Road Welling Greater London
- Nylan Spurders 23 Hunt Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Mrs J Steptoe 3 Spring Lane Great Totham Essex
- Alan Stevens 69 Barbrook Lane Tiptree Essex
- Mr D Storlie 178 Albany Gardens CO2 8HQ
- Billy Sullivan 34 Tyeshurst Close Upper Abbey Wood London
- Mark Sullivan 34 Tyeshurst Close Londond SE2 0DZ
- Stephanie Sylvester 26 Hoynors Danbury Essex
- Mr Chris Teoh 3 Para Merville Barrocks Colchester
- The Occupier 2 Leigh Avenue Essex SS8 9PL

- The Occupier 165 Heywood Way Heybridge Essex
- The Occupier Westview Manor Road Hatfield Peveral
- The Occupier 66 Beardsley Drive Chelmsford Essex
- The Occupier 16 Southey Close Heybridge Essex
- The Occupier 56 Margraten Avenue Canvey Island Essex
- The Occupier 194 Parkinson Drive Chelmsford Essex
- The Occupier 102 Elmsleigh Drive Leigh On Sea Essex
- The Occupier Daffycot 33 Conduit Lane Woodham Mortimer
- The Occupier 65 Vine Road Tiptree Essex
- The Occupier 1 Oast Way Rochford Essex
- The Occupier 4 Deerbank Road Billericay Essex
- The Occupier Woodlands Maldon Road Tiptree
- The Occupier 4 D'Arcy Court Maldon Essex
- The Occupier 10 Ash Grove Heybridge Essex
- The Occupier 17 St Giles Crescent Maldon Essex
- The Occupier 29 Steeple Close Heybridge Essex
- The Occupier 18 Buxton Road Erith DA8 3BJ
- The Occupier 6 Sassoon Way Maldon Essex
- The Occupier 64 Granger Avenue Maldon Essex
- The Occupier 64 Cromwell Road Southend-On-Sea SS2 5NQ
- The Occupier 295 Avon Road Chelmsford Essex
- The Occupier 14 Grantham Avenue Great Notley Braintree
- The Occupier 49 Larch Walk Heybridge Essex
- The Occupier Saunders Cottage Manningtree Road Dedham
- The Occupier 7 Maple Avenue Heybridge Essex
- Mrs Nicola Thomas 3 Limbourne Drive Heybridge Maldon
- C Thorpe Stevelor Crown Road Cold Norton
- Peter Travers 10 Marram Close Colchester Essex
- Mr Peter Travers 10 Marrain Close Colchester CO3 0PJ
- Nicola Trivett 11 Brograve Close Galleywood Chelmsford
- Alan Troman 17 Churchwell Avenue Easthorpe Essex
- A C Tuttlebury 2 Berners End Barnston Dunmow
- Mr N Tuttlebury 2 Berners End Barnston Dunmow
- Sean Vian 139 Beechwood Close CO2 9QT
- Sam Wakefield 91 Sandpiper Close Heybridge CM9 4PY
- Glen Wakefield Glenfields Main Road Mundon
- Karen Wakefield Glenfields Main Road Mundon
- Sam Wallis 3 Braeburn Drive Maldon Essex
- S Wallis 17 Larch Walk Heybridge Essex
- Ian Wallis Warren Cottage Maypole Road Wickham Bishops

- B Wallman 1 Spruce Close Witham CM8 2NU
- D Ward 5 Taperstry Walk Braintree CM7 3FB
- Andy Warriner Ballantyne Beckingham Street Tolleshunt Major
- Justin Watt 3 Para Merville Barrocks CO2 7UT
- Tony Weeden 6 The Tabrums South Woodham Ferrer Chelmsford
- Gary Westbrook 12 Wickham Chase West Wickham BR4 0BL
- Mr Gary White Cherry Hall Blacksmiths Lane Wickham Bishops
- Robert White 24 Maple Avenue Heybridge Maldon
- Stephen White 43 Fontayne Avenue Romford Essex
- G Whiteley 121 Hillary Close Heybridge Essex
- Ian Whymark 8 Gill Close Heybridge Maldon
- Mr & Mrs Wilkinson 42 Scraley Road Heybridge Maldon
- Irene Williams 9 Rivendell Vale South Woodham Ferrers Essex
- W K Williams 9 Rivendell Vale South Woodham Ferrers Chelmsford
- Shane Wingate 3 Para Merville Barrocks Colchester
- Bob Wita 281 London Road Marks Tey Colchester
- Jason Woodcock 18 Mansell Close Leigh-On-Sea Essex
- Adam Woodward 7 Cricketers Close Erith DA8 1TU
- James Woolward 29 Montpellier Close Billericay Essex
- L Woonton 132 Woodfield Cottages Heybridge Essex
- Emma Wright 1A Head Street Goldhanger Essex
- Mrs A Wright 16 Longfellow Road Maldon Essex
- A Wright 109 Goldhanger Road Heybridge Essex
- Louise Young 5 Brooke Square Maldon Essex
- Leo Yuill 6 Fambridge Road Maldon Essex
- T Yusuf 1 The Sycamores Brentwood Road Ongar

Supporting Comment	Officer Response
Principle:	
The proposed new facility is ideally	
placed and will have the minimal impact	Noted.
on neighbours.	
The new facility [would be] an excellent	The facilities as proposed at the new
recreational area for the younger	ground would be more up to date and
generation and a social hub for the whole	provide enhanced facilities, particularly
community.	with reference to the 3G pitch
Schools and the local community will	Noted
benefit from the new facility.	Noted.
The proposal is a must for the continuing	The facility replaces the existing, making
growth and expansion needed in	way for a strategic housing site as is
Heybridge.	identified in the proposed LDP.

Supporting Comment	Officer Response
The new location cannot cause a nuisance	Officer response
as a rugby, cricket and sailing club are	
already in the area without complaints	Noted, see the main report
from nearby residents.	
A new stadium will help promote	
Heybridge and works hand in hand with	Noted.
other local retail developments.	11000
Employment:	
Zimproyment	Jobs will be created in the construction
The new facility will create some new	phase and bring economic benefits.
jobs.	Existing employment linked to the
	football club would be retained.
Facilities:	10010MI CIWO WOMAN OF TOWNSON
The facilities need updating, they are no	
longer up to acceptable standards.	Noted.
The Club would be able to offer more	
facilities to schools and the wider	Noted.
community.	1000
The new 3g pitch would allow football to	Noted, a Business Plan has been asked
be played all year round.	for to demonstrate the extent of the use.
The football club needs this facility to	
continue to accommodate the youngsters	
of the village and to expand within the	Noted.
youth area.	
Traffic:	
The timing of the matches will not impact	The application is submitted with a
the traffic.	Transport Assessment.
Lighting:	-
New, modern LED lighting would	There are no known complaints as to the
significantly reduce light pollution	There are no known complaints as to the
around the club.	lighting at the current club.
Landscaping:	
The proposed landscaping has been	Noted, the Council's Coast and
designed well to fit in with the	Countryside Officer has commented in
surroundings.	consultation.
Other:	
The new homes proposed for the current	
site are much needed in the community	Noted the cite is shown within the
	Noted, the site is shown within the
and will help towards regeneration and	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site.
and will help towards regeneration and	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site.
and will help towards regeneration and growth.	
and will help towards regeneration and growth. For the club to continue it needs to	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site. Noted.
and will help towards regeneration and growth. For the club to continue it needs to provide more modern facilities.	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site.
and will help towards regeneration and growth. For the club to continue it needs to provide more modern facilities. Heybridge is a sustainable place for new homes. The move to a new facility is the only	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site. Noted. Noted, see above.
and will help towards regeneration and growth. For the club to continue it needs to provide more modern facilities. Heybridge is a sustainable place for new homes.	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site. Noted.
and will help towards regeneration and growth. For the club to continue it needs to provide more modern facilities. Heybridge is a sustainable place for new homes. The move to a new facility is the only perceived way of sustaining the organisation.	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site. Noted. Noted, see above. Noted.
and will help towards regeneration and growth. For the club to continue it needs to provide more modern facilities. Heybridge is a sustainable place for new homes. The move to a new facility is the only perceived way of sustaining the	Proposed LDP as a strategic housing site. Noted. Noted, see above.

Supporting Comment	Officer Response
The scheme would provide a much	
needed community facility and release	Noted.
land for new housing.	
The scheme will enhance the	
sustainability of the football club as it	Noted.
needs a lot of updating and repair.	
	Correct, increasing changing facilities
There are [currently] no female facilities.	will accommodate female participants
	and officials.
It is important that the village has a social	Noted, the current facilities provide for
and sporting club for use by all the	this.
community.	uno.
The club provides an essential outlet for	
young people [and] develops a fit and	Noted.
healthy community, social abilities and	Troted.
confidence.	
The club promotes the progression of	
individuals and supports them in a	Noted.
sporting environment.	

8. REASONS FOR REFUSAL

1 The proposed site is within a sensitive rural location outside of the defined settlement boundary of Heybridge, within the open countryside, and the site is located within a Coastal Zone as defined in the Adopted Maldon Replacement Local Plan where restraint policies apply. In terms of the 2006 Maldon Landscape Character Assessment the site falls within 'D2 – Maldon Drained Estuarine Marsh' and this provides the baseline landscape character for this area, this being a drained coastal marsh landscape now isolated from tidal influences, hedgerows, isolated farmsteads and the urban / suburban influence of Maldon on eastern edges of the character area. The character area has no villages within it but is characterised by dispersed historic farmsteads and scattered agricultural buildings within an irregular field pattern. Historic footpaths and tracks link the farmlands to the main highway and the estuary. Overall this character area has a strong sense of tranquillity, particularly in areas away from Maldon / Heybridge (eastern fringe) and the main road (B1026). The provision of a football pitch and associated facilities is not connected with a coastal location and its location; siting and design would adversely affect the open, flat rural landscape of the area, its wildlife and its features. The development by reason of the associated noise, light, traffic movements would be injurious to the existing rural character and the views to the site, which are extensive in this wide flat rural landscape, affecting its intrinsic character and value. This would further be compromised by the removal of existing vegetation increasing the visibility of the development and the reliance on replacement planting would take between 10 and 15 years to establish. It would result in an urbanising form in this otherwise quiet rural area and would not represent sustainable development. The impact of this development on the adjacent Saltcote Hall, users of adjacent footpaths and public areas, and the sea wall and estuary would be significant and to the

- detriment of the area. As such the adverse impacts of the development would not outweigh the benefits and the scheme is considered to be contrary to policies CC11 of the adopted Maldon District Replacement Local Plan, Policy N2 within the Proposed Local development Framework and Paragraphs 17, 109, 110, 112 and 114 of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- The proposal is considered, on balance, to have an adverse impact on protected species and wildlife habitats. It would result in a loss of biodiversity, and impact the current tranquillity of this quiet rural area to its detriment. It is not considered that the impact of the development on harm to ecology without adequate mitigation has been successfully proven and the impact is considered the impact of the development would, therefore, be contrary to Policies CC5 in the Maldon District Replacement Local Plan, Policy N2 within the Proposed Local development Framework and Paragraphs 117, 118 and 119 of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- 3 The development stands adjacent to a property at the southern edge of the site which currently enjoys a dark, quiet rural aspect. Not only does this property enjoy residential amenity from this setting, its business also benefits from this. In addition the adjacent Chigborough Lakes and Saltcote Hall carry out their own business enterprise which is dependent on peace and quiet. The establishment of a football club with associated noise, traffic and lights late into the evening would have a detrimental impact on not only enjoyed residential amenity but also on the future success of the landowners established business's. The proposals will not only change the character of the exiting land use but have a significant lasting impact on neighbouring land providing an alien landscape feature in an otherwise flat landscape including the introduction of floodlights in an intrinsically dark landscape. As such the development is considered contrary to Policy BE1 in the Maldon District Replacement Local Plan Paragraph 123 of the National Planning Policy Framework in that the impact of the use would adversely affect the tranquillity of adjacent sites, existing business uses and enjoyed amenity.
- The detailed scale and appearance of the development does not response to the local surroundings nor integrate successfully with the existing character and appearance of the sensitive flat open rural landscape to its considerable and lasting detriment. As such the development is considered contrary to Policies BE1 and BE6 in the Maldon District Replacement Local Plan, Policy D1 within the Proposed Local Development Plan and Paragraphs 58 and 60 of the National Planning Policy Framework.
- The location of the proposed development and the available access arrangements show that the development is predominantly reliant on the motor vehicle and given the proximity to the edge of Heybridge and the use of the development by children and youths in training facilities it is devoid of safe and attractive connections for pedestrians and cyclists other than by the vehicle highway on Goldhanger Road. There is also no available route for pedestrian and cyclists to access this site from the Scraley Road site and restricted opportunities along Goldhanger Road. As such the development is considered as contrary to Policies BE1 in the Maldon District Replacement Local Plan, Policy S1 within the Proposed Local Development Plan and Paragraph 61 of the National Planning Policy Framework.